

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

AT RICHMOND, JULY 27, 2021

SCC-CLERK'S OFFICE
DOCUMENT CONTROL CENTER

2021 JUL 27 A 9:46

21073070

APPLICATION OF

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

CASE NO. PUR-2020-00269

For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Clubhouse-Dry Bread Line #2201 and Dry Bread-Lakeview Line #254 230 kV Virginia Rebuild Project

FINAL ORDER

On November 18, 2020, Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia ("Dominion" or "Company") filed with the State Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for approval and a certificate of public convenience and necessity ("CPCN") to construct and operate electric transmission facilities in Greensville County, Virginia ("Application"). Dominion filed the Application pursuant to § 56-46.1 of the Code of Virginia ("Code") and the Utility Facilities Act, Code § 56-265.1 *et seq.*

Dominion seeks to rebuild, entirely within existing right-of-way ("ROW"), (i) approximately 1.6 miles of the existing 230 kilovolt ("kV") overhead single circuit Clubhouse-Dry Bread Line #2201 on single circuit structures, which runs from Structure #2201/1A within the Company's existing Clubhouse Substation to Structure #2201/14 /#254/14 within the Company's existing Dry Bread Substation; (ii) approximately 10.9 miles of the existing 230 kV overhead single circuit Dry Bread-Lakeview Line #254 on single circuit structures, which runs from Structure #2201/14 / #254/14 within the Company's existing Dry Bread Substation to Structure #254/113 at the Virginia state line; and (iii) perform system

protection coordination studies and relay resets at Clubhouse and Dry Bread Substations, as well as line terminal upgrade work at Clubhouse Substation (the "Rebuild Project").¹

Dominion states that the Rebuild Project is necessary to maintain the structural integrity and reliability of its transmission system in compliance with mandatory North American Electric Reliability Corporation Reliability Standards.² The Company further states that the Rebuild Project will replace aging infrastructure that is at the end of its service life.³

The Company states that the desired in-service date for the Rebuild Project is October 15, 2023.⁴ Dominion represents that the estimated conceptual cost of the Rebuild Project (in 2020 dollars) is approximately \$16.42 million, which includes approximately \$16.1 million for transmission-related work and \$0.31 million for substation-related work.⁵

On December 9, 2020, the Commission issued an Order for Notice and Comment ("Procedural Order"), which, among other things, docketed the Application, directed Dominion to publish notice of its Application, and invited comments, notices of participation, and requests for hearing from interested persons. The Procedural Order further directed the Commission Staff ("Staff") to investigate the Application and to file a report ("Staff Report") containing Staff's findings and recommendations.

¹ Application at 2. The remaining approximately 5.5 miles of Line #254 that is to be rebuilt is located entirely within North Carolina, extending from the Virginia state line and concluding at the Company's existing Lakeview Substation. *Id.* at n.2.

² *Id.* at 2.

³ *Id.* at 2-3.

⁴ *Id.* at 4. Dominion requests that the Commission enter a final order by September 1, 2021, for the Company to begin construction by February 1, 2022, and complete construction by October 15, 2023. *Id.*

⁵ *Id.* at 4.

On February 16, 2021, the Sappony Tribe ("Tribe") filed its notice of participation. On March 10, 2021, the public comments of the Tribe were filed. No requests for hearing were filed.

As also directed in the Procedural Order, Staff requested the Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") to coordinate an environmental review of the Rebuild Project by the appropriate agencies and to provide a report on the review. On January 26, 2021, DEQ filed its report ("DEQ Report"), which included a Wetlands Impact Consultation prepared by DEQ. The DEQ Report provides general recommendations for the Commission's consideration that are in addition to any requirements of federal, state, or local law. Specifically, the DEQ Report contains a Summary of Recommendations regarding the Rebuild Project. According to the DEQ Report, the Company should:

- Follow DEQ's recommendations including the avoidance and minimization of impacts of wetlands and streams.
- Take all reasonable precautions to limit emissions of oxides of nitrogen and volatile organic compounds, principally by controlling or limiting the burning of fossil fuels.
- Reduce solid waste at the source, reuse it and recycle it to the maximum extent practicable, and follow DEQ's recommendations to manage waste, as applicable.
- Coordinate with the Department of Conservation and Recreation ("DCR") on the development and implementation of an invasive species plan to be included as part of the maintenance practices for the ROW.
- Consider measures to minimize the fragmentation of ecological cores to preserve the natural patterns and connectivity of habitats that are key components of biodiversity.
- Coordinate with DCR for updates to the Biotics Data System database during the final design stage of engineering and upon any major modifications of the Rebuild Project construction to avoid and minimize impacts to natural heritage resources.

- Coordinate with the Department of Wildlife Resources ("DWR") if instream work resulting in temporary or permanent impacts is proposed within Anadromous Fish Use Areas or at locations in tributaries within 1 river-mile of the designated area.
- Should suitable habitat for the Loggerhead shrike occur in the Rebuild Project area, tree and/or land clearing in areas must adhere to a time-of-year restriction from April 1 through July 31 of any year and/or further coordination should be conducted with DWR.
- Coordinate with DWR as necessary regarding the general protection of wildlife resources.
- Coordinate with the Virginia Outdoors Foundation should the Rebuild Project change or if construction does not begin within 24 months of this response.
- Employ best management practices and Spill Prevention and Control Countermeasures as appropriate for the protection of water supply sources.
- Follow the principles and practices of pollution prevention to the extent practicable.
- Limit the use of pesticides and herbicides to the extent practicable.⁶

On March 30, 2021, Staff filed its Staff Report summarizing the results of its investigation of Dominion's Application. Staff concluded that Dominion has reasonably demonstrated the need for the proposed Rebuild Project.⁷ Staff, therefore, did not oppose the issuance of the CPCN requested in the Company's Application.⁸

On April 13, 2021, Dominion filed its rebuttal testimony. The Company did not object to most of the recommendations included in the DEQ Report but requested that the Commission reject a number of DEQ's recommendations as well a number of the requests from the Tribe.

⁶ DEQ Report at 5-6.

⁷ Staff Report at 16.

⁸ *Id.*

NOW THE COMMISSION, upon consideration of this matter, is of the opinion and finds that the public convenience and necessity requires the construction of the Rebuild Project. The Commission finds that a CPCN authorizing the Rebuild Project should be issued subject to certain findings and conditions contained herein.

Approval

The statutory scheme governing the Company's Application is found in several chapters of Title 56 of the Code.

Section 56-265.2 A 1 of the Code provides that "it shall be unlawful for any public utility to construct . . . facilities for use in public utility service . . . without first having obtained a certificate from the Commission that the public convenience and necessity require the exercise of such right or privilege."

Section 56-46.1 of the Code further directs the Commission to consider several factors when reviewing the Company's Application. Subsection A of the statute provides that:

Whenever the Commission is required to approve the construction of any electrical utility facility, it shall give consideration to the effect of that facility on the environment and establish such conditions as may be desirable or necessary to minimize adverse environmental impact. . . . In every proceeding under this subsection, the Commission shall receive and give consideration to all reports that relate to the proposed facility by state agencies concerned with environmental protection; and if requested by any county or municipality in which the facility is proposed to be built, to local comprehensive plans that have been adopted Additionally, the Commission (a) shall consider the effect of the proposed facility on economic development within the Commonwealth, . . . , and (b) shall consider any improvements in service reliability that may result from the construction of such facility.

Section 56-46.1 B of the Code further provides that "[a]s a condition to approval the Commission shall determine that the line is needed and that the corridor or route chosen for the

line will avoid or reasonably minimize adverse impact to the greatest extent reasonably practicable on the scenic assets, historic resources recorded with the Department of Historic Resources, and environment of the area concerned."

The Code further requires that the Commission consider existing ROW easements when siting transmission lines. Section 56-46.1 C of the Code provides that "[i]n any hearing the public service company shall provide adequate evidence that existing rights-of-way cannot adequately serve the needs of the company." In addition, § 56-259 C of the Code provides that "[p]rior to acquiring any easement of right-of-way, public service corporations will consider the feasibility of locating such facilities on, over, or under existing easements of rights-of-way."

Public Convenience and Necessity

Dominion represents that the Rebuild Project is necessary to replace aging infrastructure that is at the end of its service life in order to comply with the Company's mandatory transmission planning criteria, thereby enabling the Company to maintain the overall long-term reliability of its transmission system.⁹ Based on information provided by the Company, Staff agrees with the Company that the Rebuild Project is needed to continue providing reliable electric transmission service.¹⁰ The Commission finds that the Company's proposed Rebuild Project is needed to replace aging infrastructure, thereby enabling the Company to maintain the overall long-term reliability of its transmission system.

⁹ See Application at 2-3.

¹⁰ Staff Report at 3-6, 16.

Economic Development

The Commission finds that the evidence in this case demonstrates that the Rebuild Project will support reliable power throughout Virginia, thereby facilitating economic growth in the Commonwealth by continuing to provide reliable electric service.¹¹

Rights-of-Way and Routing

Dominion has adequately considered usage of existing ROW. The Rebuild Project, as proposed, would be constructed on existing ROW or on Company-owned property, with no additional ROW required.¹²

Scenic Assets and Historic Resources

As noted above, the Rebuild Project would be constructed on existing ROW already owned and maintained by Dominion. The Commission finds that such construction will minimize adverse impacts on scenic assets and historic resources recorded with the Department of Historic Resources ("DHR") as required by § 56-46.1 B of the Code.¹³

Environmental Impact

DEQ Recommendations. Pursuant to § 56-46.1 A and B of the Code, the Commission is required to consider the Rebuild Project's impact on the environment and to establish such conditions as may be desirable or necessary to minimize adverse environmental impacts. The statute further provides that the Commission shall receive and give consideration to all reports that relate to the Rebuild Project by state agencies concerned with environmental protection.

¹¹ See *id.* at 12.

¹² See Application Appendix at 50. The Company represented that no alternative routes were thus proposed for the Rebuild Project. *Id.*

¹³ See Staff Report at 13-15.

The Commission finds that there are no adverse environmental impacts that would prevent the construction or operation of the Rebuild Project. This finding is supported by the DEQ Report, as nothing therein suggests that the Rebuild Project should not be constructed.

There are, however, recommendations included in the DEQ Report for the Commission's consideration.¹⁴ The Company filed a response opposing several of these recommendations.

First, the Company states that DCR's recommendation that Dominion develop an invasive species management plan is unnecessary because the Company's existing vegetation management plan adequately addresses invasive species.¹⁵ We agree that the Company should not be required to develop and implement an invasive species management plan specific to the Rebuild Project that is different from the Company's existing comprehensive integrated vegetation management plan for controlling vegetation, including invasive species, throughout the Company's service territory.¹⁶

¹⁴ See DEQ Report at 5-6. Dominion shall comply with all uncontested recommendations included in the DEQ Report. However, to the extent that Dominion and DEQ, or other appropriate state agency or municipality, reach agreement that certain recommendations included in the DEQ Report are not necessary or have been adequately addressed elsewhere, we find that Dominion need not comply with those specific recommendations.

¹⁵ Studebaker Rebuttal at 3-4.

¹⁶ The Commission has ruled similarly in other Dominion cases as well. See, e.g., *Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. State Corporation Commission, Ex Parte: Establishing 2020 RPS Proceeding for Virginia Electric and Power Company*, Case No. PUR-2020-00134, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210440236, Final Order at 23 (Apr. 30, 2021) ("We agree that the Company should not be required to develop and implement an invasive species management plan specific to the CE-1 Solar Project sites that is different from the Company's existing comprehensive integrated vegetation management plan for controlling vegetation, including invasive species, throughout the Company's service territory."); *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Lockridge 230 kV Line Loop and Lockridge Substation*, Case No. PUR-2019-00215, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 201010023, Final Order at 10 (Oct. 1, 2020); and *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric facilities: Loudoun-Ox 230 kV Transmission Line Partial Rebuild Projects*, Case No. PUE-2019-00128, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 200610043, Final Order at 9 (June 2, 2020).

The Company also requests that the Commission reject the recommendation that the Company consider measures to minimize fragmentation of ecological cores as unnecessary.¹⁷ The Company states that it already has made reasonable efforts to minimize fragmentation by proposing to construct the Rebuild Project entirely within existing ROW and that no significant tree removal is anticipated.¹⁸ Based on the specific facts and circumstances of this case, including the Company's representation that it "does not anticipate any significant tree removal and, therefore, no fragmentation of the ecological cores," the fact that the proposed route is entirely on existing cleared ROW, and Dominion's representation to work with DCR's Division of Natural Heritage to minimize fragmentation, the Commission will not require Dominion to implement this DEQ recommendation.¹⁹

DWR makes two recommendations which the Company asks the Commission to reject. DWR recommends that the corridor be assessed to determine if suitable habitat for the Loggerhead Shrike is present in the proposed Rebuild Project area and, if so, to restrict any tree and/or land clearing in areas determined to be suitable habitat from April 1 through July 1, and that any significant tree removal and ground clearing activities be restricted to occur outside of the primary songbird nesting season.²⁰ The Company requests that the Commission reject these

¹⁷ Studebaker Rebuttal at 4.

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ *Id.* at 4-5. We note that our decision regarding Dominion's CE-1 Solar Projects was based on differing facts and a different record. There, Dominion requested the Commission to reject this DEQ requirement as unnecessary because the Company already had made efforts to minimize fragmentation as practicable in siting and designing two of the three CE-1 Solar Projects. Those projects were not in already-cleared ROW, and the Company did not claim that significant tree removal was not required. *See Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. State Corporation Commission, Ex Parte: Establishing 2020 RPS Proceeding for Virginia Electric and Power Company*, Case No. PUR-2020-00134, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210440236, Final Order at 24 (Apr. 30, 2021).

²⁰ DEQ Report at 5-6; 20.

recommendations as unnecessary due to the Rebuild Project being proposed for construction in existing cleared ROW.²¹ The Company states that it believes any tree and/or ground clearing activities will not be significant and that it will coordinate with DWR to create appropriate construction restrictions to the extent significant tree or ground clearing is required during the specified times of year.²² We find that Dominion shall coordinate with DWR to create appropriate construction restrictions in the event significant clearing activities occur and songbird colonies are found during a Company survey of the Rebuild Project area.²³

Dominion requests that the Commission reject the DEQ's recommendation that the Company should consider development of an effective Environmental Management System ("EMS"). The Company asserts that it already has a comprehensive EMS Manual in place that "ensures the Company is committed to complying with environmental laws and regulations, reducing risk, minimizing adverse environmental impacts, setting environmental goals, and achieving improvements in its environmental performance, consistent with the Company's core values."²⁴ We find that the Company's existing EMS achieves the purpose of this recommendation.²⁵

²¹ Studebaker Rebuttal at 5.

²² *Id.*

²³ This decision is in keeping with our decision in *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Allied – Chesterfield 230 kV Transmission Line #2049 Partial Rebuild Project*, Case No. PUR-2020-00239, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210330038, Final Order at 8 (Mar. 23, 2021) ("We find that the Company shall coordinate with DWR to create appropriate construction restrictions in the event significant clearing activities occur and songbird colonies are found during a Company survey of the Rebuild Project area."). To the extent Dominion has not agreed to perform a survey of songbird colonies if significant clearing occurs during nesting season, we require such to be performed.

²⁴ Studebaker Rebuttal at 6.

²⁵ See also *Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval and certification of electric transmission facilities: Allied – Chesterfield 230 kV Transmission Line #2049 Partial Rebuild Project*, Case No. PUR-2020-00239, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210330038, Final Order at 8 (Mar. 23, 2021).

Comments of the Sappony Tribe. The Tribe filed comments in this proceeding in which it makes a number of requests for the Commission's consideration. The Tribe first requests "that the Commission should require that the Company complete a Phase I archaeological survey of the full [Rebuild P]project length prior to approving the route and construction design" of the Rebuild Project.²⁶ The Tribe further requests that the Commission stipulate that documentary review of the Rebuild Project area associated with cultural resources include an examination of 17th and 18th century early Indian occupations in the area, and that this research be used to assess the sites for listing under certain eligibility criteria of the National Historic Preservation Act.²⁷

In response, the Company has stated that it is preparing to conduct a Phase I survey and has committed to sharing the findings with the Tribe, including those as to potential eligibility listings under the National Historic Preservation Act. The Tribe may determine whether any of the sites previously recorded in the Rebuild Project area have any associations with the Tribe.²⁸ The Company states that it is acting consistent with DHR's *Guidelines for Assessing Impacts of Proposed Electric Transmission Lines and Associated Facilities on Historic Resources in the Commonwealth of Virginia (2008)* ("Guidelines") and recommendations and asks that the Commission not require the Company to perform activities beyond those criteria.²⁹

²⁶ Tribe Comments at 5-6.

²⁷ *Id.* at 6.

²⁸ Reid Rebuttal at 4.

²⁹ *Id.* at 4-6. The Guidelines are available at: https://www.dhr.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/DHR_Guidelines_for_Transmission_Line_Assessment.pdf.

We shall require the Company to conduct the Phase I survey in the areas of disturbance and to share those results with the Tribe as they have committed.³⁰ We also require the survey process to be coordinated with DHR, consistent with DHR Guidelines and recommendations, and also with the Tribe.³¹

Environmental Justice

The Company states that it reviewed minority, income, and education census data to identify populations within the study area that meet the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency thresholds for Environmental Justice protections ("EJ Communities").³² The Company further states the Rebuild Project is within the existing ROW and will not require any additional permanent or temporary ROW, the construction of a temporary line, an increase in operating voltage, or an over 20% average increase in structure heights. The Company states it does not

³⁰ In making this finding, we specifically require Dominion to adhere to the statement in DHR's letter attached to the DEQ Comments that "re-identification and verification of previously recorded archaeological site boundaries, and [Virginia Landmarks Register/National Register of Historic Places] VLR/NHRP-eligibility evaluations of those resources should be conducted *prior to any earth-moving or ground-disturbing activity* associated with" the Rebuild Project. (Emphasis added.) See Letter dated January 14, 2021, from Timothy Roberts, Archaeologist, Office of Review and Compliance, DHR, to John Fisher, DEQ, attached to DEQ Comments, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210130145 (Jan. 26, 2021) at 2 in this docket ("DHR Letter"). See also Application Appendix 2.H.1 (*SCC Pre-Application Analysis of Cultural Resources for the Clubhouse-Dry Bread Line #2201 and Dry Bread- Lakeview Line #254 230 kV Virginia Rebuild Project* prepared by Dutton +Associates, LLC, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 201130154, at ii ("No archaeological survey or inspection was conducted as part of this effort. It is therefore D+A's opinion that re-identification and verification of site boundaries and eligibility should be conducted *prior to any earth-moving or ground-disturbing activity* associated with the Clubhouse-Dry Bread Line #2201 and Dry Bread- Lakeview Line #254 230 kV Virginia Rebuild Project.") (Emphasis added.). To the extent allowed by DHR regulations, guidelines, or consent, Dominion may stagger its Phase I survey. That is, if acceptable to DHR, as long as the survey is completed for each portion or segment of the Rebuild Project prior to earth-moving or ground-disturbing activity, the entire Phase I survey need not be completed before the start of construction.

³¹ Dutton Rebuttal at 12. In this regard, we note specifically the following DHR recommendation: "4. Avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation of moderate to severe impacts to VLR/NHRP-eligible/listed resources by Dominion in consultation with DHR and other stakeholders." DHR Letter at 2. See also DHR's Guidelines at 4 ("Minimization and mitigation plans should be developed in consultation with DHR, the affected property owner, and any other interested party."). We direct Dominion to consult the Tribe, as a stakeholder and interested party, in this process.

³² Application Appendix at 129.

anticipate disproportionately high or adverse impacts to the surrounding community and the EJ Communities.³³

In its comments, the Tribe requests that the Commission require an environmental justice plan be developed for the Rebuild Project. Specifically, the Tribe requests "that this plan include an assessment of whether historic resources were affected during the initial construction of Line #254 and how and whether other environmental justice inequities were created through the [Rebuild Project's] initial construction, given that this line rebuild is based on that initial siting and may continue inequities present in the original design."³⁴

In response to the Tribe's concerns, Dominion states that it does not develop a separate environmental justice plan for each project but has one Environmental Justice Policy formalizing the Company's commitment to engage EJ communities "in a manner that ensures fair treatment and allows for meaningful involvement."³⁵ Concerning the Rebuild Project in particular, Dominion asserts that it conducted a desktop review of the Rebuild Project area to identify EJ communities and performed "a robust community engagement effort" that included outreach with the Tribe, along with several other Native American tribes.³⁶

The Commission is aware that the Commonwealth has adopted a policy "to promote environmental justice and ensure that it is carried out throughout the Commonwealth, with a focus on environmental justice communities and fenceline communities."³⁷ As previously

³³ *Id.*

³⁴ Tribe Comments at 6.

³⁵ Parker Rebuttal at 4.

³⁶ *Id.*

³⁷ Code § 2.2-235.

recognized by the Commission, the Commonwealth's policy on environmental justice is broad, including "the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of every person, regardless of race, color, national origin, income, faith, or disability, regarding the development, implementation, or enforcement of any environmental law, regulation, or policy."³⁸

The record in this case includes some limited information concerning environmental justice associated with the Rebuild Project and the impact on at least one EJ community.³⁹ We have considered the comments of the Tribe, Dominion's responses thereto, and the applicable law in approving this Rebuild Project.⁴⁰ We will not require Dominion to develop an environmental justice plan applicable solely to the Rebuild Project, nor will we require Dominion to assess whether historic resources were affected during initial construction of Line #254 in 1962.⁴¹ The Commission does, however, fully support the Commonwealth's Environmental Justice Policy and expects Dominion to abide by those commitments it has made to the Tribe.⁴²

³⁸ Code § 2.2-234. *See Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. State Corporation Commission, Ex Parte: Establishing 2020 RPS Proceeding for Virginia Electric and Power Company*, Case No. PUR-2020-00134, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210440236, Final Order at 25 (Apr. 30, 2021); *Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. State Corporation Commission, In re: Virginia Electric and Power Company's Integrated Resource Plan filing pursuant to Va. Code § 56-597 et seq.*, Case No. PUR-2020-00035, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 210210007, Final Order at 14-15 (Feb. 1, 2021).

³⁹ Code § 2.2-234 defines "population of color" to include Native Americans. Further, this Code section defines "environmental justice community" to include "any low-income community or community of color."

⁴⁰ *See generally*, Tribe Comments; Reid Rebuttal at 4-9; Parker Rebuttal.

⁴¹ Tribe Comments at 6; Application at 3.

⁴² Namely, Dominion has made the following commitments related to historic resources: having Dominion's consultant perform the Phase 1 survey, sharing the survey results with the Tribe, and making practicable efforts to avoid or reasonably minimize impacts to archaeological sites identified in the Phase 1 survey. *See* Reid Rebuttal at 4, 6-7. The Commission reiterates the requirement that Dominion treat the Tribe as a stakeholder in the Phase 1 survey process. *See supra* n.31.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) Dominion is authorized to construct and operate the Rebuild Project as proposed in its Application, subject to the findings and conditions imposed herein.

(2) Pursuant to §§ 56-46.1, 56-265.2, and related provisions of Title 56 of the Code, the Company's request for approval of the necessary CPCN to construct and operate the Rebuild Project is granted as provided for herein, subject to the requirements set forth herein.

(3) Pursuant to the Utility Facilities Act, § 56-265.1 *et seq.* of the Code, the Commission issues the following CPCN to Dominion:

Certificate No. ET-DEV-GVL-2021-A, which authorizes Virginia Electric and Power Company under the Utility Facilities Act to operate certificated transmission lines and facilities in Greensville County, all as shown on the map attached to the certificate, and to construct and operate facilities as authorized in Case No. PUR-2020-00269, cancels Certificate No. ET-83i, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company in Case No. PUE-2016-00078 on March 24, 2017.

(4) Within thirty (30) days from the date of this Final Order, the Company shall provide to the Commission's Division of Public Utility Regulation three copies of an appropriate map for the CPCN that shows the routing of the transmission lines approved herein.

(5) Upon receiving the maps directed in Ordering Paragraph (4), the Commission's Division of Public Utility Regulation forthwith shall provide the Company a copy of the CPCN issued in Ordering Paragraph (3) with the map attached.

(6) The Rebuild Project approved herein must be constructed and in service by December 31, 2023. No later than 90 days before the in-service date approved herein, except for good cause shown, the Company is granted leave to apply, and to provide the basis, for any extension request.

(7) This matter is dismissed.

A COPY hereof shall be sent electronically by the Clerk of the Commission to all persons on the official Service List in this matter. The Service List is available from the Clerk of the Commission.

210730070