

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

-----  
)  
In the Matter of the Application of Rocky ) DOCKET NO. 11-035-200  
Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its )  
Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah )  
and for Approval of its Proposed Electric )  
Service Schedules and Electric Service )  
Regulations )  
)  
In the Matter of the Application of Rocky ) DOCKET NO. 12-035-79  
Mountain Power for an Accounting Order to )  
Defer the Costs Related to the )  
Decommissioning of the Carbon Plant )  
)  
In the Matter of the Application of Rocky ) DOCKET NO. 12-035-80  
Mountain Power for a Deferred Accounting )  
Order Regarding Costs Incurred for )  
Naughton Unit 3 Selective Catalytic )  
Reduction System, Pulse Jet Fabric Filter ) REPORT AND ORDER  
System and Related Environmental Upgrades )  
)  
-----

ISSUED: September 19, 2012

SHORT TITLE

**Rocky Mountain Power 2012 General Rate Case**

SYNOPSIS

The Commission approves a comprehensive, multi-year, uncontested settlement stipulation addressing all components of the three cases entitled above including revenue requirement, revenue spread to classes of customers, rate design, and certain deferred accounting treatment.

The revenue requirement changes are implemented in two steps. In Step 1, the Commission increases Rocky Mountain Power's annual revenue requirement by \$100 million effective October 12, 2012, based on a forecasted test period of 12 months ending May 31, 2013. This is a 5.64 percent increase in Rocky Mountain Power's forecast of general business revenue in Utah.

In Step 2, the Commission increases Rocky Mountain Power's annual revenue requirement by \$54 million, conditionally effective September 1, 2013. This is a 2.88 percent increase in Rocky Mountain Power's forecast of general business revenue in Utah.

The Commission authorizes a 7.68 percent rate of return on rate base, based in part on an allowed 9.8 percent rate of return on common equity.

The Commission allocates approximately 39 percent of the revenue increase to residential customers and 61 percent of the revenue increase to commercial and industrial customers.

For residential customers, the Commission increases the customer charge from \$4 to \$5 per month, increases all three tiers of summer rates, and implements a two tier pricing structure for non-summer rates. The new first tier non-summer rate is lower than, and the new second tier non-summer rate is higher than, the prior single tier non-summer rate. The approved pricing structure provides the same rate for the first 400 kilowatt hours of usage per month throughout the year. The monthly impacts of the Step 1 and Step 2 increases and rate design changes to a residential customer using 767 kilowatt hours per month are 6.2 percent or \$4.86, and 3.1 percent or \$2.57, respectively.

The Commission approves deferred accounting treatment for: (1) certain changes in depreciation expense, (2) costs related to the decommissioning of the Carbon coal-fired power plant, (3) certain changes to wheeling revenue, and (4) costs related to environmental air quality upgrades to Naughton coal-fired power plant Unit 3.

The Commission approves the base levels of (1) net power cost and wheeling revenue for energy balancing account measurement, (2) renewable energy credit revenue contained in general rates, and (3) approves certain accounting treatment related to the amortization of future approved balancing account charges or refunds. The Commission also authorizes the Company to retain 10 percent of certain renewable energy credit revenue incremental to the base level set in this order.

-----

<b>APPEARANCES.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>III. SETTLEMENT STIPULATION OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>A. COST OF CAPITAL.....</b>	<b>10</b>
1. Costs of Long-term Debt, Preferred Equity, and Common Equity .....	10
2. Capital Structure.....	10
3. Rate of Return on Rate Base .....	10
<b>B. REVENUE REQUIREMENT .....</b>	<b>11</b>
1. Multi-year Revenue Requirement Increases .....	11
2. Energy Balancing Account.....	11
3. Renewable Energy Credit Balancing Account.....	12
4. Next General Rate Case .....	13
5. Deferred Accounting Issues .....	14
a. Depreciation Expense .....	14
b. Carbon Plant Decommissioning .....	15
c. Certain Wheeling Revenue .....	16
d. Naughton Unit 3 Development Costs .....	17
e. Other Deferred Accounting Orders.....	17
6. Regulatory Treatment for Klamath Dam Facilities.....	17
<b>C. COST OF SERVICE, REVENUE SPREAD AND RATE DESIGN .....</b>	<b>18</b>
1. Cost of Service .....	18
2. Revenue Spread.....	19
3. Rate Design .....	19
<b>D. PENDING MOTIONS .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>IV. PARTIES' COMMENTS .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>V. DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>VI. ORDER.....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>ATTACHMENT: SETTLEMENT STIPULATION .....</b>	<b>33</b>

**APPEARANCES**

Yvonne Rodriguez Hogle, Esq. Rocky Mountain Power	For	PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power
Patricia E. Schmid, Esq. Justin C. Jetter, Esq. Utah Attorney General's Office	"	Division of Public Utilities
Paul H. Proctor, Esq. Utah Attorney General's Office	"	Office of Consumer Services
Gary A. Dodge, Esq. Hatch, James & Dodge, PC	"	UAE Intervention Group
Vicki M. Baldwin , Esq. Parsons Behle & Latimer	"	Utah Industrial Energy Consumers
Sophie Hayes, Esq. Law Office of Sophie Hayes	"	Utah Clean Energy
Holley Rachel Smith, Esq. Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC	"	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc.
Rob Dubuc, Esq. Western Resource Advocates	"	Western Resource Advocates
Captain Samuel T. Miller Staff Attorney USAF Utility Law Field Support Center	"	Federal Executive Agencies
Jody M. Kyler, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry	"	The Kroger Co.

## **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

The procedural history for this comprehensive Report and Order is presented for each docket in the sequence shown on the title page of this Report and Order.

### **Procedural History for Docket No. 11-035-200**

On December 15, 2011, Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp (“Company”), filed its notice of intent to file a general rate case on or about February 15, 2012. In this notice, the Company also requested the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) approve its proposed forecast test period of twelve months ending May 31, 2013, which is consistent with the settlement stipulation filed and approved in Docket No. 10-035-124.<sup>1</sup> On December 30, 2011, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Forecast Test Period which provided all potential participants in this docket the opportunity to respond to the Company’s proposed forecast test period. On January 17, 2012, the Division of Public Utilities (“Division”) filed comments indicating it had no objections to the Company’s May 2013 test period, stated it was consistent with the settlement stipulation approved by the Commission in Docket No. 10-035-124, and recommended no further action regarding test period. On January 19, 2012, the Commission issued an Order Approving Test Period.

On February 15, 2012, the Company filed an application requesting authority to increase its retail rates by \$172.3 million, or approximately 9.7 percent, effective October 12, 2012 (“Application”). The Application was based on the forecast test period ending May 31, 2013, a 13-month average rate base with an historical base period, a return on equity of 10.2

---

<sup>1</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations*, Docket No. 10-035-124.

percent, and allocates total Company costs to Utah using rolled-in inter-jurisdictional allocation results, consistent with the 2010 Protocol method approved in Docket No. 02-035-04.<sup>2</sup> The Application also requested approval to increase the residential customer charge from \$4 per month to \$10 per month and to eliminate the minimum bill. In addition, the Company filed a Confidential Information Certificate Appendix A which it desired parties execute prior to obtaining access to confidential information.

On February 16, 2012, the Commission issued a Notice of Scheduling Conference to be held on February 24, 2012. On February 24, 2012, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc. (collectively, "Wal-Mart") filed a Notice of an Appearance of an Attorney Licensed in a Foreign State. On February 29, 2012, The Kroger Co. ("Kroger") filed Notice of an Appearance of an Attorney Licensed in a Foreign State. Also on February 29, 2012, the Division filed a memorandum summarizing the results of its review of the Application pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-12(2) to determine if it satisfies the requirements of a complete filing pursuant to Utah Administrative Code R746-700-1 through 23 ("Rules"). In this filing the Division identified instances where certain responses to the filing requirements were in partial compliance with the Rules, indicated it did not believe the deficiencies were significant, and recommended the Commission acknowledge the Company's filing as being complete.

Between February 24 and April 30, 2012, the following 15 parties petitioned for leave to intervene in this case which the Commission granted: Wal-Mart; Kroger; Nucor Steel-Utah, a Division of Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"); Utah Association of Energy Users, ATK Propulsion Systems, American Pacific Corporation, Anadarko Midstream, Chevron Global

---

<sup>2</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for an Investigation of Interjurisdictional Issues*, Docket No. 02-035-04 (February 3, 2012).

Power, ConocoPhillips Gas and Power, Hexcel Corporation, Intermountain Healthcare, IM Flash Technologies, LLC, May Foundry & Machine Company and Simplot Phosphates, collectively known as UAE Intervention Group (“UAE”); Holcim, Inc., Kennecott Utah Copper LLC, Kimberly-Clark Corp., Malt-O-Meal, Praxair, Inc., Proctor & Gamble, Inc., Tesoro Refining and Marketing Co., and Western Zirconium, collectively referred to as Utah Industrial Energy Consumers (“UIEC”); Utah Clean Energy (“UCE”); Western Resource Advocates (“WRA”); US Magnesium LLC (“US MAG”); Sierra Club; Federal Executive Agencies (“FEA”); Salt Lake Community Action Program (“SLCAP”); Qwest Corporation d/b/a CenturyLink (“CenturyLink”); International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 57 (“IBEW Local 57”); AARP; and the Utah Farm Bureau Federation (“Farm Bureau”).

On March 2, 2012, the Commission issued a Scheduling Order setting the procedural schedule for this docket. On March 6, 2012, Nucor filed a Notice of Appearance of Attorneys Licensed in a Foreign State. On March 28, 2012, the Company filed errata workpapers addressing fuel costs inputs filed pursuant to R746-700-23-C(8)(f). On March 29, 2012, the Sierra Club filed a Notice of Appearance of Attorneys Licensed in a Foreign State.

On April 5, 2012, the FEA filed both a Motion and Consent of Sponsoring Local Counsel for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Out of State Counsel (“FEA Motion”) and a Notice of Appearance of Attorney Licensed in a Foreign State. On April 10, 2012, the Company filed an initial list of corrections and updates to certain categories of net power costs (“NPC”) to be included in the May 11, 2012, update filing proposed in the Company’s direct testimony. On April 17 and April 19, 2012, the Division filed a Notice of Substitution of Counsel and an

Amended Notice of Substitution of Counsel, respectively. On April 19, 2012, the Commission issued an Order granting the FEA Motion.

On April 30, 2012, the Company filed an additional list of updates to certain categories of NPC to be included in the May 11, 2012, update filing proposed in the Company's direct testimony. Also on April 30, 2012, the Commission issued a memorandum with an attachment providing an integrated model replicating the Company's jurisdictional and class cost of service results filed in the Application. On May 11, 2012, the Company filed its updated NPC for the test period ending May 31, 2013, which reduces NPC from \$1,499.5 million to \$1,479.2 (\$20.3 million decrease) on a total Company basis and from \$644.7 million to \$636.0 million (\$8.7 million decrease) on a Utah-allocated basis. On May 17, 2012, the Commission issued an action request to the Division requesting review of certain cost of service issues.

On May 18, 2012, the Commission issued a Notice of Technical Conference and Agenda pertaining to its April 30, 2012, memorandum and associated model, to be held on June 4, 2012. On May 21, 2012, CenturyLink filed a Notice of Withdrawal as a Party. On May 31, 2012, the following parties filed direct testimony on cost of capital: the Division, Office, FEA, and Wal-Mart.

On June 1, 2012, UIEC filed a Motion to Disqualify or, in the Alternative, to Require the Development of Models to Assist the Commission in Evaluating the Proposals of All the Parties ("Motion to Disqualify"). On June 4, 2012, the Commission held a duly-noticed technical conference during which training was provided on the integrated model attached to the Commission's April 30, 2012, memorandum, and associated cost of service issues. On June 11, 2012, direct testimony on revenue requirement was filed by the Division, Office, UAE, UIEC,



and FEA in both original and, when necessary, redacted form. In addition, a letter was filed on behalf of the Company, Division, Office, UIEC, and UAE to communicate the intent to hold a settlement conference and to invite a Commission consultant to participate. On June 12, 2012, the Commission responded to these parties' concerns and clarified the Commission consultant's contractual obligations. On June 13, 2012, a Joint Motion for an Order Extending the Time to Respond to UIEC's Motion to Disqualify ("Joint Motion") was filed by the Company, Division, Office, UAE, and UIEC requesting the Commission extend until July 18, 2012, the deadline for responding to UIEC's Motion to Disqualify. On June 14, 2012, the Commission issued an order extending the time to respond to UIEC's Motion to Disqualify to July 18, 2012.

On June 22, 2012, the following parties filed testimony on cost of service and rate design: the Division, Office, UAE, UIEC, Farm Bureau, Kroger, Wal-Mart, UCE, WRA, and collectively, SLCAP and AARP. On June 25, 2012, UAE filed errata to its pre-filed direct testimony. On June 27, 2012, the Company and the Division filed rebuttal testimony on cost of capital.

On July 13, 2012, the Company, Division, and Office filed rebuttal testimony on revenue requirement and UIEC filed supplemental direct testimony on revenue requirement. On July 17, 2012, the Company filed a motion to strike UIEC's supplemental direct testimony ("July 17<sup>th</sup> Motion") and for sufficient time to file supplemental rebuttal testimony along with a request for an expedited schedule on the July 17<sup>th</sup> Motion. On July 18, 2012, the Division, Office, and FEA filed surrebuttal testimony on cost of capital and the Company, Division, Office, and UIEC filed a second joint motion for an order extending the time to respond to UIEC's Motion to Disqualify. On July 19, 2012, the Commission issued an Order setting July 29, 2012, as the due

date for responses to the Company's July 17<sup>th</sup> Motion. On July 23, 2012, the Commission issued a second order revising the schedule for responses to the Joint Motion to August 3, 2012.

On July 26, 2012, the Division filed a Motion to Amend Schedule Extending Filing Date for Cost of Service and Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony, from July 27 to August 2, 2012, and for Expedited Consideration. This motion was supported by the Company, Office, UAE, UIEC, UCE, Wal-Mart, and WRA, and was granted by the Commission on July 26, 2012. In addition, the Company filed a Joint Motion on Cost of Capital Testimony signed by the Company, Division, Office, Wal-Mart, and FEA, informing the Commission that parties had reached an agreement in principle on settlement of all revenue requirement issues in this case and requesting the Commission: 1) allow conditional admission of the cost of capital testimony previously filed in this docket without the necessity of witnesses appearing and without cross examination at the hearing scheduled in this matter on July 31, 2012; and 2) if the Parties are unable to reach final settlement of the revenue requirement in this matter prior to the hearing on revenue requirement scheduled to commence on August 20, 2012, schedule one day during the hearings scheduled from August 20, 2012, through August 28, 2012, for the witnesses who have previously filed testimony on cost of capital to appear and be subjected to cross examination and questions from the Commission. Finally, the Company and UIEC filed a Joint Motion for an Order Extending the Time to Respond to the Company's July 17<sup>th</sup> Motion from July 27, 2012, for responses and July 31, 2012, for replies until August 1 and August 3, 2012, respectively ("July 26<sup>th</sup> Joint Motion").

On July 30, 2012, the Commission issued an Order Granting Joint Motion to Receive Cost of Capital Testimony Conditionally and Canceling Cost of Capital Hearing, and the

Division filed a corrected exhibit relating to its June 22, 2012, cost of service testimony. On July 31, 2012, the Company and UIEC filed a Joint Motion for an Order Extending the Time to Respond to the Company's July 17th Motion from August 1, 2012, for responses and August 3, 2012, for replies until August 8 and August 10, 2012, respectively, and for expedited consideration ("July 31<sup>st</sup> Joint Motion"). Also on July 31, 2012, the Commission granted the July 26<sup>th</sup> Joint Motion.

On August 1, 2012, the Division filed a Second Motion to Amend the Schedule Extending the Filing Date for Cost of Service and Rate Design Rebuttal Testimony and for Expedited Consideration. On August 2, 2012, the Commission granted this motion along with the July 31<sup>st</sup> Joint Motion.

On August 7, 2012, the Company filed a Settlement Stipulation ("Settlement Stipulation" or "Stipulation") and related attachments for Commission approval resolving issues in this docket, and Docket Nos. 12-035-79<sup>3</sup> and 12-035-80<sup>4</sup> signed by the following parties: the Company, Division, Office, UAE, Kroger, UCE, WRA, and FEA. On August 8, 2012, the Commission set August 21, 2012, as the date for hearing testimony and public witness comments regarding the Stipulation. On August 15, 2012, the Commission issued its Order Requiring Notice of Opposition to the Settlement Stipulation. On August 20, 2012, the Company filed information related to base energy balancing account ("EBA") amounts required pursuant to the

---

<sup>3</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Accounting Order to Defer the Costs related to the Decommissioning of the Carbon Plant*, Docket No. 12-035-79.

<sup>4</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for a Deferred Accounting Order Regarding Costs Incurred for Naughton Unit 3 Selective Catalytic Reduction System, Pulse Jet Fabric Filter System and Related Environmental Upgrades*, Docket No. 12-035-80.

Commission's Order dated June 15, 2012, in Docket No. 09-035-15.<sup>5</sup> On August 21, 2012, the hearing on the Stipulation was held and opportunity for public witness statements was provided. Approximately eight public comment emails were received by the Commission in this docket.

**Procedural History for Docket No. 12-035-79**

On May 1, 2012, the Company filed an application for an accounting order to defer the costs related to the decommissioning of the Carbon Plant ("Carbon Application" or "Carbon Plant Decommissioning"). On May 7, 2012, the Commission issued an action request to the Division to evaluate the Carbon Application. On May 16, 2012, the Division filed comments recommending the Commission notice a scheduling conference in the referenced matter as soon as practicable. On May 23, 2012, the Commission issued a Notice of Scheduling Conference to be held on June 7, 2012. On June 18, 2012, the Commission issued a Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing setting the procedural schedule for this matter.

On August 8, 2012, the Company filed a Settlement Stipulation in this docket and Docket Nos. 11-035-200 and 12-035-80 for Commission approval resolving issues in this docket signed by the following parties: the Company, Division, Office, UAE, Kroger, UCE, WRA, and FEA.

**Procedural History for Docket No. 12-035-80**

On May 3, 2012, the Company filed an application for a deferred accounting order regarding costs incurred for the planned Naughton Unit 3 selective catalytic reduction system, pulse jet fabric filter system and related environmental upgrades ("Naughton Application" or "Naughton Unit 3 Development Costs"). On May 8, 2012, the Commission

---

<sup>5</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket No. 09-035-15 (March 3, 2011).

issued an Action Request to the Division to evaluate the Naughton Application. On May 16, 2012, the Division filed comments recommending the Commission notice a scheduling conference in the referenced matter as soon as practicable. On May 23, 2012, the Commission issued a Notice of Scheduling Conference to be held on June 7, 2012. On June 18, 2012, the Commission issued a Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing setting the procedural schedule for this matter.

On August 8, 2012, the Company filed a Settlement Stipulation in this docket and Docket Nos. 11-035-200 and 12-035-79 requesting Commission approval for the resolution of issues in this docket signed by the following parties: the Company, Division, Office, UAE, Kroger, UCE, WRA, and FEA.

## **II. INTRODUCTION**

Following its initial Application in Docket No. 11-035-200, the Company filed rebuttal testimony on revenue requirement, reducing its initially requested \$172.3 million increase in Utah jurisdictional revenue to \$155.7 million to achieve its requested rate of return.

Subsequent to filing surrebuttal testimony addressing cost of capital, rebuttal testimony addressing revenue requirement, and direct testimony addressing cost of service and rate design, the Company and seven other parties filed a Settlement Stipulation for Commission consideration. This Stipulation addresses revenue requirement, the allocation or spread of the revenue increase to classes of customers and rate elements, and the Carbon and Naughton Applications.

We briefly describe aspects of the Stipulation, summarize parties' comments, and provide our discussion, findings and conclusions on the Settlement Stipulation.

### **III. SETTLEMENT STIPULATION OVERVIEW**

Without modifying its terms in any way, we briefly highlight major features of the Stipulation which contains 73 numbered Paragraphs and Exhibits A, B, C, and D. The Stipulation, excluding confidential Exhibit B, is attached as an appendix to this Report and Order. The Company, Division, Office, UAE, FEA, Kroger, UCE, and WRA signed the Stipulation and are collectively referred to in this Report and Order as the “Parties.”

#### **A. COST OF CAPITAL**

##### **1. Costs of Long-term Debt, Preferred Equity, and Common Equity**

In Paragraph 29 and Table 1, the Parties agree the Company’s allowed cost of long-term debt, preferred stock, and common stock equity will be 5.37 percent, 5.43 percent, and 9.80 percent, respectively.

##### **2. Capital Structure**

In Paragraph 29 and Table 1, the Parties agree the Company’s allowed capital structure weights will be 47.6 percent long-term debt, 0.3 percent preferred stock, and 52.1 percent common stock equity.

##### **3. Rate of Return on Rate Base**

In Paragraph 29, Table 1, based on the cost of capital and capital structure weights noted above, the Parties agree the Company should be allowed to earn a 7.68 percent rate of return on rate base.

## **B. REVENUE REQUIREMENT**

### **1. Multi-year Revenue Requirement Increases**

Paragraph 25 states the Company should be allowed to implement a multi-year rate plan (“Plan”) to change rates. In Paragraphs 27 and 28, the Parties agree to the following components in this Plan:

- Step 1 general rate increase of \$100 million effective October 12, 2012;
- Step 2 general rate increase of \$54 million effective September 1, 2013, if the Mona to Oquirrh transmission line is in service. If the Mona to Oquirrh transmission line is not in service by September 1, 2013, then the Step 2 rate increase, and the corresponding changes to the base levels of NPC and renewable energy credits (“RECs”), discussed below, will be delayed until the Mona to Oquirrh transmission line is placed into service.

### **2. Energy Balancing Account**

In Paragraphs 30 through 33, the Parties agree to the following items pertaining to the EBA:

- Base NPC for the total system is \$1.479 billion annually, and \$636.0 million is allocated to Utah, effective October 12, 2012;
- the level of base EBA costs in dollars per megawatt hour in base rates by month for EBA measurement purposes is shown in Table 2 of the Stipulation and will remain the same until new monthly base NPC amounts are set in a general rate case or other proceeding filed on or after January 1, 2014;

- any balance of deferred NPC as determined by the Commission in Docket No. 12-035-67<sup>6</sup> should be collected or refunded over a two-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change in that docket, with no carrying charges during such two-year collection or refund period;
- any balance of deferred NPC as determined by the Commission in the EBA application filed by the Company in March 2013 should be collected or refunded over a two-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change in that docket, with carrying charges accruing through December 31, 2012, with no carrying charges thereafter or during such two-year collection or refund period.
- the Company agrees to report the calculation of base monthly NPC as set forth in Exhibit A1, “Utah Allocation Based on Scalar Method from Docket 10-035-124,” Exhibit A2, “Utah Allocation Based on Annual SE and SG Factors,” and Exhibit A3, “Utah Allocation Based on Monthly SE and SG Factors.”

### **3. Renewable Energy Credit Balancing Account**

In Paragraphs 34 through 39, the Parties agree to the following items pertaining to the Renewable Energy Credit (“REC”) Balancing Account:

- Base REC revenue in rates for the purpose of determining amounts accruing in the REC balancing account (“RBA”) is \$25.0 million effective October 12, 2012;
- base REC revenue in rates for RBA purposes should be set at \$10 million effective with the Step 2 rate change;

---

<sup>6</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase Rates by \$29.3 Million or 1.7 Percent Through the Energy Balancing Account*, Docket No. 12-035-67.



- any difference between base REC revenue and actual REC revenue determined by the Commission for calendar year 2012 should be collected or refunded over a one-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change, with a carrying charge;
- any difference between base REC revenue and actual REC revenue determined by the Commission for calendar year 2013 should be collected or refunded over a three-year period from the effective date of the rate change, with no carrying charges during the three-year collection or refund period;
- any difference between base REC revenue and actual REC revenue determined by the Commission for calendar year 2014 should be collected or refunded over a two-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change, with no carrying charges during the two-year collection or refund period;
- the Company should be permitted to keep ten percent of the revenue it obtains from the sales of its RECs incremental to the current Utah-allocated projected test period revenue of \$25 million through May 31, 2013, and thereafter incremental to the revenue received under contracts entered into after July 1, 2012. Certain contracts as of July 1, 2012, to be excluded from this incentive are identified in confidential Exhibit B to the Stipulation.

#### **4. Next General Rate Case**

In Paragraph 40, the Company agrees not to file its next general rate case (“2014 GRC”) or a major plant addition case in Utah prior to January 1, 2014, or with a rate effective date prior to September 1, 2014.

Paragraph 41 states that if the Company files its 2014 GRC application prior to March 1, 2014, the Company will use, and the Parties will not oppose, a forecast test period of July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015, with a 13-month average rate base. Further, if the Company files its 2014 GRC application on or after March 1, 2014, the Company will use, and Parties will not oppose, a forecast test period ending no later than 16 months from the month in which the application is filed, with a 13-month average rate base.

## **5. Deferred Accounting Issues**

### **a. Depreciation Expense**

In Paragraphs 43 and 44, the Stipulation addresses accounting treatment for changes to certain depreciation expense which may occur following the approval of a new depreciation study. As required by prior Commission order, the Company will file its depreciation study by December 31, 2012, for review during 2013.

The Parties request the Commission approve certain accounting treatment allowing the Company to defer and track for future recovery, any aggregate net increase in Utah allocated depreciation expense in excess of \$2 million annually, or for refund to customers, any aggregate net decrease in Utah allocated depreciation expense, for the period beginning on the later of January 1, 2014, or the effective date of the Commission order approving new depreciation rates (“Depreciation Order”), until the date new depreciation rates are reflected in customer rates on or after September 1, 2014. The amount to be booked into such account shall be the difference in depreciation expense calculated using depreciation rates as approved in the Depreciation Order as compared to depreciation expense calculated using depreciation rates in

effect as of the date of the Stipulation. The proposed treatment of deferred depreciation expense is illustrated in Exhibit C of the Stipulation.

In Paragraph 45, the Parties agree the Company should be allowed to recover or refund the deferred depreciation expense beginning on the effective date of the 2014 GRC, and to amortize the deferred depreciation expense over a period not to extend beyond June 30, 2031, with no carrying charge. Parties specify that depreciation relating to the Carbon Plant Decommissioning and the Klamath Dam facilities, as described in the Stipulation, should not be included in the deferred depreciation expense.

**b. Carbon Plant Decommissioning**

In Paragraph 46, Parties state the Commission should grant the Company's pending Carbon Application and enter two accounting orders: One to authorize the Company to transfer the remaining Carbon Plant balances upon retirement from electric plant in service and accumulated depreciation ("Remaining Carbon Balances"); and one to authorize the Company to book to a deferred account removal costs associated with the Carbon Plant ("Carbon Removal Costs").

In Paragraph 47, the Parties agree the amortization of prudently incurred Remaining Carbon Balances will be as stated in Paragraph 11 of the Carbon Application. Thus, Remaining Carbon Balances will be amortized from the date net plant balances are transferred to the regulatory asset through 2020.

In Paragraph 48, the Parties request the Commission's order approving this Stipulation authorize recovery from Utah ratepayers of Utah's allocated share of the prudently

incurred Carbon Removal Costs from the retirement date of the Carbon Plant, currently estimated to occur in April 2015 through 2020.

In Paragraph 49, Parties state neither the Stipulation nor a Commission order authorizing deferred accounting for any deferred Carbon Removal Costs should be construed as determining prudence, recovery or ratemaking treatment of such costs. Except as expressly stated in the Stipulation, Parties may take any positions they deem appropriate regarding the prudence or recovery of Carbon Removal Costs. Further, Parties stipulate that any changes to projected Carbon Removal Costs estimates will be specifically identified and explained as part of each Company general rate case filing.

**c. Certain Wheeling Revenue**

In Paragraph 51, Parties state the Company will defer for later refund or collection, Utah's allocated share of all revenue booked in the Company's Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Account 456.1 resulting from its pending FERC rate case in Docket No. ER11-3643-000. This will include refunds, incremental to the FERC revenue projected by the Company in this docket, for the entire period from July 1, 2012, through the effective date of the 2014 GRC, in a manner consistent with the treatment of FERC revenue in Docket No. 10-035-124. Upon the FERC's final order in Docket No. ER11-3643-000, the Company will include the deferred balance in the next annual EBA filing as a credit to the EBA balance reflecting 100 percent pass-through of all such incremental revenue to customers. The FERC deferral account will not accrue a carrying charge.

**d. Naughton Unit 3 Development Costs**

In Paragraph 52, the Parties agree the Company's Naughton Application should be approved subject to Paragraph 53 of the Stipulation. Paragraph 53 states Parties agree Utah's allocated share of the Naughton Unit 3 Development Cost of \$7.9 million will be deferred and fully amortized by September 1, 2014, thereby providing full recovery to the Company through the rates agreed to in this Stipulation prior to the effective date of new rates resulting from the 2014 GRC.

**e. Other Deferred Accounting Orders**

Except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation, the Parties agree in Paragraph 65 not to seek a new deferred accounting order for costs incurred or revenues received before September 1, 2014, unless caused by a natural disaster or emergency or is pursuant to the statutory duties of the Division or Office.

**6. Regulatory Treatment for Klamath Dam Facilities**

Paragraphs 58 through 60 address three categories of Klamath Dam Facilities costs: Accelerated depreciation, relicensing and process costs, and removal costs associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement ("KHSA"). In Paragraph 58, the Parties agree the Company should be permitted to depreciate the Klamath Dam Facilities on an accelerated basis from June 1, 2012, through December 31, 2022, at rates to fully depreciate the asset by the end of calendar year 2022. This is three years longer than originally proposed by the Company. The depreciation rate will be reset annually based on any new additions. Utah's allocated share of such facilities is included in rates agreed to in the Stipulation and should be included in future Utah rates to reflect the revised depreciation schedule.

In Paragraph 59, Parties agree recovery of Utah's allocated share of the total Company Klamath-related relicensing and process costs in the amount of \$81,814,435 are included in rates agreed to in the Stipulation. This amount should be included in future Utah rates to amortize recovery of such costs from October 12, 2012, through the end of calendar year 2022 with a carrying charge at the Company's authorized long-term cost of debt. The carrying charge should be added monthly to the unamortized balance beginning on October 12, 2012. Since carrying charges will continue to be accrued, the net unrecovered relicensing and process costs will be excluded from rate base in future rate case proceedings. Paragraph 60 addresses Parties' agreement regarding the treatment of the removal costs related to the KHSA agreement.

### **C. COST OF SERVICE, REVENUE SPREAD AND RATE DESIGN**

#### **1. Cost of Service**

For the purpose of Utah cost of service studies, the Company agrees in Paragraph 55 to propose a plan for a new stress factor study by July 1, 2013, and to request the Commission hold a technical conference to review the plan and take comments from interested parties. The Company will share its plan with intervenors to the current docket no later than two weeks prior to the scheduled technical conference. The Company is required to provide the completed study to intervenors in the current case at least two months before filing its 2014 GRC.

In Paragraph 42, the Company agrees to address issues raised in the Commission's June 25, 2012, Action Request including the treatment of cash working capital, interest expense, and income taxes, in its cost of service testimony in the 2014 GRC.

## **2. Revenue Spread**

In Paragraph 54, the Parties agree the Step 1 and Step 2 revenue increases set forth in Paragraphs 27 and 28 of the Stipulation should be allocated to customer classes and applied to customer rates as set forth in Exhibit D to the Stipulation. Exhibit D also provides monthly billing comparisons for Step 1 and 2 rate changes. Exhibit D shows about 39 percent of the Step 1 and Step 2 increases is allocated to residential customers, 39 percent to commercial customers, and 21 percent to industrial customers.

In Paragraph 62, the Parties agree the rate spread for the Step 1 and Step 2 rate increases reflect additional revenue to be received from base rate changes to special contracts in effect as of the effective date of the Stipulation. Increases for special contract customers, including those related to EBA and RBA applications, will be governed by the terms of the contracts.

## **3. Rate Design**

In Paragraph 54, Parties agree the Commission should increase the residential customer charge to \$5 per month, increase all summer tier rates, implement a two-tier non-summer rate structure, and set the price for the first 400 kilowatt hours per month as a single rate all year.

In Paragraph 56, the Parties agree the “Application” Paragraph of Electric Service Schedule No. 8 (“Schedule 8”) should be modified effective October 12, 2012, to allow any Schedule 8 customer whose peak load has not exceeded 1,000 kilowatts for a period of 18 consecutive months to be moved to Schedule 6. Currently, the tariff requires 36 months before a

customer could return to Schedule 6. Parties agree to further discussions regarding Schedule 8 provisions in Paragraph 55.

Parties agree in Paragraph 57 to discuss ways to improve bill messaging to residential customers. The Company agrees to include education about the new second tier non-summer rate in its bill insert as soon as practicable.

Parties also agree, in Paragraph 61, to request permission for the Company to add any approved surcharge to cover the costs of a Utah solar incentive program, currently under consideration in Docket No. 11-035-104,<sup>7</sup> to the Step 1 rate increase effective October 12, 2012. Further, Parties agree such surcharge should not be shown as a separate line item on customers' bills.

#### **D. PENDING MOTIONS**

Parties agree in Paragraph 64 that upon approval of the Stipulation, neither UIEC's Motion to Disqualify or, in the Alternative to Require the Development of Models to Assist the Commission in Evaluating the Proposals of All of the Parties, nor Rocky Mountain Power's Motion to Strike Pre-Filed Supplemental Direct Testimony of J. Robert Malko filed in the 2012 GRC need be resolved by the Commission, and no Party need respond to the motions.

#### **IV. PARTIES' COMMENTS**

The Parties represent the Stipulation is "just and reasonable in result, will result in rates that are just and reasonable and will provide the Company a reasonable opportunity to earn

---

<sup>7</sup> See *In the Matter of the Investigation into Extending and Expanding the Solar Incentive Program and Possible Development of an Ongoing Program*, Docket No. 11-035-104.



its authorized return.”<sup>8</sup> Five of the Parties, the Company, Division, Office, UAE, and UCE testify recommending the Commission approve the Stipulation.

The Company provides an overview of the process leading to the Stipulation. The Company argues substantial evidence was presented and reviewed prior to engaging in settlement discussions. For example, the Company filed the direct or rebuttal testimony of 20 witnesses, including 2,200 pages of testimony and exhibits in support of its requested rate increase. Eleven intervening parties filed the testimony of 27 witnesses. The Company responded to over 2,800 data requests in addition to filing the 160 items required by rule. Following settlement discussions, the Parties agreed to the terms and conditions in the Stipulation. The Company notes Parties considered and relied on different factors in coming to agreement on the terms of the Stipulation and moreover, other than as set forth in the Stipulation, Parties have not agreed to specific adjustments, or principles which were at issue in the docket.

The Company testifies the multi-year rate plan will provide a measure of certainty to customers, while allowing the Company a reasonable opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return and recover its cost of service through August 2014. The Company provides an overview of the Stipulation, and notes the Mona to Oquirrh transmission line is currently scheduled to be in service in May 2013, well in advance of the September 2013 timeline required for the Step 2 rate increase. Following its overview of the Stipulation, the Company explained the Parties worked hard to come to agreement and did so by negotiating in good faith. The Company believes the Stipulation is in the public interest and recommends the Commission approve it as filed.

---

<sup>8</sup> See Stipulation Page 2, Paragraph 2.

The Division testifies the multi-year settlement largely mitigates the uncertainty in the regulatory and business community that comes from having “back-to-back” rate cases. The Division contends the Step 1 increase of \$100 million, coupled with certain rate design changes, is just and reasonable because it is consistent with the Division’s filed position in the case. The Division argues the outcome in the second step is consistent with its understanding of what future rate cases would entail.

The Division explains the Stipulation contains several rate impact mitigation features. These include the following: 1) by setting base NPC a little bit higher than the Division’s initial recommendation, future EBA rate impacts will be mitigated; 2) the \$8.9 million at issue in Docket No. 12-035-67 will be collected or refunded over a two-year period; 3) in the March 2013 EBA filing, interest will only accrue over the deferral period, not over the amortization period; 4) base REC revenue is set at \$10 million during the Step 2 increase thereby addressing the Division’s expectation REC revenue will decline in the future; and 5) RBA accruals that occur in 2013 will be amortized over three years and those in 2014 over two years so amortization will end approximately the same time and without any carrying charges on either the 2013 or 2014 deferrals during that amortization period.

The Division maintains it is in the public interest to address the treatment of the Klamath Dam Facilities in this case which the Stipulation accomplishes. Further, the Stipulation agreement regarding removal costs associated with the KHSAs provides further rate mitigation. For all of the foregoing reasons, the Division concludes approval of the Stipulation provides for fair and reasonable rates and a reasonable opportunity for the Company to earn its allowed rate of return as defined in the Stipulation. The Division recommends its approval.

The Office testifies it reviewed all aspects of the general rate case and filed the testimony of seven witnesses covering cost of capital, return on equity, revenue requirement, NPC, Klamath Dam costs, cost of service, and residential rate design. It then fully participated in all settlement discussions on behalf of residential, small commercial and irrigation customers.

The Office identifies certain factors leading to its support for the Stipulation. First, the Office believes the \$100 million Step 1 increase is reasonable because it is similar to the amount the Office would have advocated absent the Stipulation. The Office testifies its recommended increase for this case, based on the 9.8 percent return on equity agreement in the Stipulation, would have been about \$97 million. Further, the Stipulation provides a reasonable compromise of the Klamath Dam issues, including depreciation life, process costs at a lower carrying cost than originally requested, and the explicit exclusion of the Klamath Dam removal costs. The Office states the agreement to exclude Klamath Dam removal costs not only saves \$7.4 million in the Step 1 increase, but saves about \$75 million over the next ten years.

The Office testifies \$40 million of the \$54 million increase in Step 2 incorporates a full year's revenue requirement for the new Mona-Oquirrh transmission line, and resets the level of REC revenue in base rates. The Office believes it is in the public interest to agree to this increase now rather than pursue a full rate case next year. The Office states it has reviewed Company business plans and other confidential documents which clearly support an additional rate increase of \$14 million. The Office testifies it also calculated the value of concessions the Company made in this case and concludes it more than offsets the additional \$14 million. Therefore, the Office is comfortable supporting the Step 2 increase as part of the Stipulation.

The Office supports the increase in residential customer charge from \$4 to \$5 per month because it is tied to cost causation. However, \$5 is slightly higher than the Office's calculation for this component of cost of service, therefore, the Office does not support further increases unless a higher level is justified with cost of service evidence. The Office supports the creation of a two-tiered non-summer energy rate because it provides better price signals throughout the year, matches cost of service principles, results in a much fairer bill impact for low-use customers, and provides for gradualism because the second tier of the non-summer rate is lower than the second tier of the summer rate. In conclusion, the Office believes the Stipulation is in the public interest and will result in just and reasonable rates and therefore recommends the Commission approve the Stipulation.

UAE testifies the Stipulation is in the public interest because it minimizes the level of rate increase for customers yet affords the Company an opportunity to earn a reasonable return on investment. UAE testifies the Stipulation takes a holistic view of multiple interrelated issues, including: 1) the going forward revenue requirement including recovery of investment and NPC; 2) proper treatment of deferred accounting requests for the Carbon and Naughton power plants; 3) anticipated changes in future depreciation rates; and 4) the overall impact on customer rates over a multi-year period. Thus, UAE argues the resulting Stipulation enables the Company to recover its prudently-incurred costs, while smoothing out and minimizing the overall rate impact on customers.

UAE explains its support for the Step 2 increase is based on its evaluation of the likely cost recovery the Company would receive if it were to file another rate case after the conclusion of this case. Like the Division and Office, UAE expects REC revenue to decline and

this change, coupled with the in-service date of the Mona-Oquirrh transmission line, accounts for \$40 million of the \$54 million Step 2 rate change. Together with the other considerations in the package, including base rate certainty, UAE testifies the two-step rate increase is reasonable. Of considerable importance to UAE is the emphasis on reducing the potential for later rate adjustments, particularly in the EBA. UAE also supports the Stipulation's proposed spread of the revenue increase to classes which includes projections of revenue from special contracts thus mitigating the increase to rate schedules.

UCE also testifies the Stipulation is just and reasonable and in the public interest. UCE concludes the stipulated residential rate design, while a compromise for UCE, will promote energy efficiency and conservation. Though the residential rate structure maintains a minimum bill, it remains \$7 per month and therefore does not undermine Utah's net metering policy. Further, UCE explains the Stipulation provides stronger price signals to conserve energy all year because of the higher increases to the second and third tier summer rates compared with the first tier summer rate, and through the addition of a second tier non-summer rate. UCE states the Stipulation is a step in the right direction for appropriate energy policies and recommends the Commission approve it.

FEA, WRA, Kroger, and UIEC provide no witnesses at hearing but each provided statements supporting the Stipulation and recommending its approval. Wal-Mart also appeared stating it does not oppose the Stipulation.

## **V. DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS**

The Stipulation addresses three dockets, and the Parties represent settlement discussions between June and August 2012 were held to which all intervening parties to the three

dockets were invited. The Parties also represent drafts of the Stipulation were circulated to all intervening parties for review and comment.

The eight Parties signing the Stipulation represent a diversity of interests and all of the major customer groups. These Parties agree the Settlement Stipulation is in the public interest, and all of its terms and conditions will produce fair, just and reasonable results. Five of the signing Parties, the Company, Division, Office, UAE and UCE testified at hearing, describing the basis for their support for the Stipulation, and recommending the Commission's approval. As noted above, three of the signing Parties, FEA, WRA, and Kroger provided statements at hearing supporting Commission approval of the Stipulation. UIEC provided a statement fully supporting the Stipulation and recommending Commission approval. Wal-Mart stated at hearing it does not oppose approval of the Stipulation. No intervening party opposes approval of the Stipulation.

As we have noted in previous orders, settlements of matters before the Commission are, by statute, encouraged at any stage of our proceedings.<sup>9</sup> The Commission may approve a stipulation or settlement after considering the interests of the public and other affected persons, if it finds the stipulation or settlement in the public interest.<sup>10</sup> In reviewing a settlement, the Commission may also consider whether it was the result of good faith, arms length

---

<sup>9</sup> See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1. See also, *In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Adjust Rates for Natural Gas Service in Utah*, Docket No. 04-057-04 (January 6, 2006) at 26.

<sup>10</sup> See also *Utah Dept. of Admin. Services v. Public Service Comm'n*, 658 P.2d 601, 613-14 (Utah 1983).

negotiations.<sup>11</sup> When reviewing a settlement involving a rate increase, the Commission may limit factors and issues to be considered in its determination of just and reasonable rates.<sup>12</sup>

Our consideration of the Settlement Stipulation is guided by Utah statutory provisions in Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1 et seq. encouraging informal resolution of matters brought before the Commission. Based on our consideration of the evidence before us, the testimony and recommendations of the parties, and the applicable legal standards, we find approval of the Settlement Stipulation to be in the public interest and find it constitutes a reasonable and lawful basis for establishing just and reasonable rates. Based upon the foregoing, the Commission approves the Settlement Stipulation.

Our approval of the Settlement Stipulation, as in similar cases, is not intended to alter any existing Commission policy or to establish any Commission precedent. In this instance, however, we note a minor inconsistency which may arise from implementation of the Settlement Stipulation. Specifically, the calculation of base NPC shown in Exhibit A2 of the Settlement Stipulation is inconsistent with the method required in our March EBA Order in Docket No. 09-035-15. From testimony at hearing, it is clear the intent of this exhibit is to comply with our March EBA Order. While the differences may be immaterial, we will continue to require the Company to also perform the base and actual EBA cost per megawatt hour for each month correctly, that is, by applying the appropriate annual allocation factor to each category of cost in each month. The purpose of this requirement is to consistently evaluate this method during the EBA pilot program period.

---

<sup>11</sup> See *Utah Dept. of Admin. Services*, 658 P.2d at 614, n.24.

<sup>12</sup> See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1(4).

**VI. ORDER**

Wherefore, pursuant to the foregoing discussion, findings and conclusions made herein, we order:

1. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Stipulation filed in these matters on August 7, 2012, are hereby approved.
2. The Company shall file appropriate tariff revisions increasing Utah jurisdictional revenue by \$100 million, effective October 12, 2012.
3. The tariff revisions shall reflect the determinations and the decisions contained in this Report and Order. The Division shall review the tariff revisions for compliance with the terms of this Report and Order.
4. A revenue increase of \$54 million in Utah jurisdictional revenue is conditionally approved, effective September 1, 2013, subject to the conditions set forth in the Settlement Stipulation.
5. The Company shall file appropriate tariff revisions increasing Utah jurisdictional revenue by \$54 million, 60 days in advance of the desired effective date of the revenue increase, for review and approval. The Division shall review the tariff revisions for compliance with the terms of this Report and Order.
6. Deferred accounting treatment as described in the Settlement Stipulation for the Carbon Plant Decommissioning, Naughton Unit 3 Development Costs, certain wheeling revenue, and certain depreciation expense as described in this Report and Order is approved. Recovery from Utah ratepayers of Utah's allocated share



of the prudently incurred Carbon Removal Costs from the retirement date of the Carbon Plant is authorized.

7. The Company is authorized to transfer the Remaining Carbon Balances upon retirement from electric plant in service and accumulated depreciation.
8. All pending motions in Docket No. 11-035-200 are moot pursuant to Paragraph 64 of the Settlement Stipulation.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 19<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012.

/s/ Ted Boyer, Chairman

/s/ Ric Campbell, Commissioner

/s/ Ron Allen, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg  
Commissionary Secretary  
D#234039

Notice of Opportunity for Agency Review or Rehearing

Pursuant to §§ 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15 of the Utah Code, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing of this Order by filing a written request with the Commission within 30 days after the issuance of this Order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the Commission does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of the request, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the requirements of §§ 63G-4-401 and 63G-4-403 of the Utah Code and Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Report and Order was served upon the following as indicated below:

By Electronic Mail:

David L. Taylor ([dave.taylor@pacificorp.com](mailto:dave.taylor@pacificorp.com))  
Yvonne R. Hogle ([yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com](mailto:yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com))  
Rocky Mountain Power

Data Request Response Center ([datarequest@pacificorp.com](mailto:datarequest@pacificorp.com))  
PacifiCorp

D. Matthew Moscon ([dmmoscon@stoel.com](mailto:dmmoscon@stoel.com))  
Stoel Rives LLP

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq. ([kboehm@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:kboehm@BKLawfirm.com))  
Jody M. Kyler, Esq. ([jkyler@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:jkyler@BKLawfirm.com))  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry

Stephen J. Baron ([sbaron@jkenn.com](mailto:sbaron@jkenn.com))  
J. Kennedy & Associates

Holly Rachel Smith, Esq. ([holly@raysmithlaw.com](mailto:holly@raysmithlaw.com))  
Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC

Ryan L. Kelly ([ryan@kellybramwell.com](mailto:ryan@kellybramwell.com))  
Kelly & Bramwell, PC

Steve W. Chriss ([stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com](mailto:stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com))  
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Peter J. Mattheis ([pjm@bbrslaw.com](mailto:pjm@bbrslaw.com))  
Eric J. Lacey ([elacey@bbrslaw.com](mailto:elacey@bbrslaw.com))  
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.

Gerald H. Kinghorn ([ghk@pkhlawyers.com](mailto:ghk@pkhlawyers.com))  
Jeremy R. Cook ([jrc@pkhlawyers.com](mailto:jrc@pkhlawyers.com))  
Parsons Kinghorn Harris, P.C.

Gary A. Dodge ([gdodge@hjdllaw.com](mailto:gdodge@hjdllaw.com))  
Hatch, James & Dodge

Kevin Higgins ([khiggins@energystrat.com](mailto:khiggins@energystrat.com))  
Neal Townsend ([ntownsend@energystrat.com](mailto:ntownsend@energystrat.com))  
Energy Strategies

Robert F. Reeder ([bobreeder@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:bobreeder@parsonsbehle.com))  
William J. Evans ([bevans@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:bevans@parsonsbehle.com))  
Vicki M. Baldwin ([vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com))  
Parsons Behle & Latimer

Sophie Hayes ([sophie@utahcleanenergy.org](mailto:sophie@utahcleanenergy.org))  
Utah Clean Energy

Steven S. Michel ([smichel@westernresources.org](mailto:smichel@westernresources.org))  
Nancy Kelly ([nkelly@westernresources.org](mailto:nkelly@westernresources.org))  
Rob Dubuc ([rdubuc@westernresources.org](mailto:rdubuc@westernresources.org))  
Western Resource Advocates

Roger Swenson ([roger.swenson@prodigy.net](mailto:roger.swenson@prodigy.net))  
US Magnesium LLC

Travis Ritchie ([travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org](mailto:travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org))  
Jeff Speir ([jeff.speir@sierraclub.org](mailto:jeff.speir@sierraclub.org))  
Sierra Club  
Environmental Law Program

Capt. Samuel T. Miller ([samuel.miller@tyndall.af.mil](mailto:samuel.miller@tyndall.af.mil))  
USAF Utility Law Field Support Center

Betsy Wolf ([bwolf@slcap.org](mailto:bwolf@slcap.org))  
Charles Johnson ([cjohnson@ieee.org](mailto:cjohnson@ieee.org))  
Salt Lake Community Action Program

Torry R. Somers ([torry.r.somers@centurylink.com](mailto:torry.r.somers@centurylink.com))  
CenturyLink

Arthur F. Sandack, Esq. ([asandack@msn.com](mailto:asandack@msn.com))  
IBEW Local 57

Bruce Plenk ([bplenk@igc.org](mailto:bplenk@igc.org))  
Law Office of Bruce Plenk

DOCKET NOS. 11-035-200, 12-035-79, AND, 12-035-80

- 32 -

Janee Briesemeister ([jbriesemeister@aarp.org](mailto:jbriesemeister@aarp.org))  
Charles Johnson ([cjohnson@ieee.org](mailto:cjohnson@ieee.org))  
AARP

Randy N. Parker ([rparker@fbfs.com](mailto:rparker@fbfs.com))  
Leland Hogan ([leland.hogan@fbfs.com](mailto:leland.hogan@fbfs.com))  
Utah Farm Bureau Federation

By Hand-Delivery:

Division of Public Utilities  
160 East 300 South, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Office of Consumer Services  
160 East 300 South, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

---

Administrative Assistant

DOCKET NOS. 11-035-200, 12-035-79, AND, 12-035-80

- 33 -

**ATTACHMENT: SETTLEMENT STIPULATION**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH**

---

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR )  
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RETAIL )  
ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE RATES IN ) Docket No. 11-035-200  
UTAH AND FOR APPROVAL OF ITS )  
PROPOSED ELECTRIC SERVICE )  
SCHEDULES AND ELECTRIC SERVICE )  
REGULATIONS )**

---

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR AN )  
ACCOUNTING ORDER TO DEFER THE ) Docket No. 12-035-79  
COSTS RELATED TO THE )  
DECOMMISSIONING OF THE CARBON )  
PLANT )**

---

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR A )  
DEFERRED ACCOUNTING ORDER )  
REGARDING COSTS INCURRED FOR ) Docket No. 12-035-80  
NAUGHTON UNIT 3 SELECTIVE )  
CATALYTIC REDUCTION SYSTEM, PULSE )  
JET FABRIC FILTER SYSTEM AND )  
RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL UPGRADES )**

---

**SETTLEMENT STIPULATION**

---

This Settlement Stipulation (“Stipulation”) is entered into in Docket Nos. 11-035-200, 12-035-79 and 12-035-80 by and among the parties whose signatures appear on the signature pages hereof (collectively referred to herein as the “Parties” and individually as a “Party”).

1. The Parties have conducted settlement discussions over the course of several days and had meetings on June 28, 2012, July 18, 23, 25 and 31, 2012, and August 1, 2012 to which all intervening parties to the dockets that are the subject of this Stipulation were invited. In

addition, drafts of this Stipulation were circulated to all intervening parties for review and comment on July 25, 30 and 31, 2012, and August 1, 3 and 6, 2012 and there have been further discussions among various parties. This Stipulation has been entered into by the Parties after consideration of the views of all intervening parties expressed during that process. No intervening party opposes this Stipulation.

2. The Parties represent that this Stipulation is just and reasonable in result, will result in rates that are just and reasonable and will provide the Company a reasonable opportunity to earn its authorized return. The Parties recommend that the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) approve the Stipulation and all of its terms and conditions. The Parties request that the Commission make findings of fact and reach conclusions of law based on the evidence and on this Stipulation and issue an appropriate order thereon.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **Docket No. 11-035-200**

3. On February 15, 2012, Rocky Mountain Power (“Company” or “Rocky Mountain Power”) filed an application, together with pre-filed testimony and exhibits from eighteen witnesses, and revised tariff sheets, in Docket No. 11-035-200 (“2012 GRC”) requesting authority to increase its retail electric utility service rates in Utah by approximately \$172.3 million per annum or an average overall increase of 9.7 percent including a requested return on equity of 10.2%, effective October 12, 2012. Rocky Mountain Power’s request was based upon a forecast test period ending May 31, 2012, using a 13 month average rate base with a historical base period of twelve months ending June 30, 2011.

4. On February 16, 2012, the Commission issued its Notice of Scheduling Conference setting a scheduling conference to be held February 24, 2012.

5. On March 2, 2012, the Commission issued its Scheduling Order setting a procedural schedule. Hearings were scheduled to begin July 31, 2012 on cost of capital, August 20, 2012 on revenue requirement and August 29, 2012 on cost of service, rate spread and rate design.

6. On April 10, 2012, the Company filed corrections and updates to limited categories of its net power costs (“NPC”).

7. On April 30, 2012, the Company filed a second set of corrections and updates to the same limited categories of its NPC.

8. On May 11, 2012, the Company filed its NPC Update pursuant to the Scheduling Order.

9. On May 31, 2012, intervenors filed cost of capital direct testimony.

10. On June 11, 2012, intervenors filed revenue requirement direct testimony. In their testimony, intervenors proposed numerous adjustments to the Company’s requested rate increase.

11. On June 22, 2012, intervenors filed cost of service direct testimony.

12. On June 27, 2012, the Company and intervenors filed cost of capital rebuttal testimony.

13. On June 28, 2012, parties held settlement discussions.

14. On July 13, 2012, parties filed revenue requirement rebuttal testimony. The Company’s rebuttal testimony reduced its requested rate increase to \$155.7 million, based on updates and corrections to its direct testimony and acceptance of certain adjustments proposed by intervenors.

15. On July 18, 2012, parties held settlement discussions and intervenors filed cost of capital surrebuttal testimony.



16. On July 23, 25 and 31, 2012 and August 1, 2012, parties held further settlement discussions.

17. The Parties have reached a compromise as specified herein on the rate increase that should be approved in the 2012 GRC on the terms and conditions provided in this Stipulation.

18. On July 23, 26, 30 and 31, 2012 and August 2, 2012, the Commission granted motions to amend the schedule in this docket to change the filing date for cost of service and rate design rebuttal testimony and other matters based on the Parties ongoing settlement discussions.

**Docket No. 12-035-79**

19. On May 1, 2012, Rocky Mountain Power filed an application for an accounting order in Docket No. 12-035-79 to defer costs related to the decommissioning of the Carbon Plant (hereinafter “Carbon Plant Deferred Accounting docket”).

20. On May 16, 2012, the Division of Public Utilities (“DPU”) filed comments on the application.

21. On June 18, 2012, the Commission issued its scheduling order setting a procedural schedule in the case, scheduling hearings for November 28, 2012.

**Docket No. 12-035-80**

22. On May 3, 2012, Rocky Mountain Power filed an application for a deferred accounting order in Docket No. 12-035-80 regarding costs incurred for Naughton Unit 3 Selective Catalytic Reduction System, Pulse Jet Fabric Filter System, and Related Environmental Upgrades (hereinafter “Naughton 3 Development Costs docket”).

23. On May 16, 2012, the DPU filed comments on the application.

24. On June 18, 2012, the Commission issued its scheduling order setting a procedural schedule in the case, scheduling hearings for November 28, 2012, immediately

following the hearing in Docket No. 12-035-79, and reserving November 29, 2012 in the event it is necessary to continue the hearing.

### **SETTLEMENT TERMS**

For purposes of this Stipulation, the Parties agree and recommend the Commission approve the following:

25. The Parties agree that the Company should be allowed to implement a multi-year rate plan (“Plan”) that will provide a measure of rate certainty to customers while affording the Company a reasonable opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return and recover its costs of service through at least August 31, 2014. In reaching this Stipulation, various Parties have considered and relied upon many different factors and considerations, including but not limited to a) the 2012 GRC as a justification for the stipulated two-step rate increase, b) Company representations as to the Company’s business plan and its implications for the Company’s next projected rate case, c) the projected in-service date of the Mona to Oquirrh transmission line, d) timing considerations, e) the Carbon Plant Deferred Accounting docket, f) the Naughton 3 Development Costs docket, g) the next depreciation study anticipated to be filed in 2012, and h) various other factors.

26. Other than as set forth in this Stipulation, the Parties have not agreed on any specific adjustments or regulatory principles at issue in this Docket. The components are as follows:

#### **Step 1 Rate Change**

27. The Parties agree that Rocky Mountain Power should be permitted to implement a Step 1 general rate increase in the amount of \$100.0 million for service effective on and after October 12, 2012.

## Step 2 Rate Change

28. The Parties agree that Rocky Mountain Power should be permitted to implement a Step 2 general rate increase in the amount of \$54.0 million for service effective on and after September 1, 2013, if the Mona-Oquirrh transmission line is in service. If the Mona-Oquirrh transmission line is not in service by September 1, 2013, then the Step 2 rate increase, and the corresponding changes to the base levels of net power costs (“NPC”) and renewable energy credits (“RECs”), discussed below, will be delayed until the Mona-Oquirrh transmission line is placed into service.

## Cost of Capital

29. The Parties agree that the Company’s allowed cost of capital and capital structure will be as shown in Table 1 below:

**Table 1**

<b>Overall Cost of Capital</b>			
Component	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Average
Long-term Debt	47.6%	5.37%	2.56%
Preferred Stock	0.3%	5.43%	0.02%
Common Stock Equity	52.1%	9.80%	5.11%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>7.68%</b>

## Net Power Costs

30. The Parties agree that a base NPC amount of \$1.479 billion annually total Company, or \$636.0 million annually on a Utah-allocated basis, should be established as the base NPC beginning on the Step 1 rate effective date of October 12, 2012. Table 2 below reflects the stipulated level of base Energy Balancing Account (“EBA”) costs (the base NPC less wheeling revenue) in dollars per megawatt hour (“\$/MWh”), in base rates by month for EBA measurement purposes. Exhibit A1 to this Stipulation provides details showing the stipulated \$/MWh calculations and the allocation of EBA costs among rate schedules. EBA costs allocated

to special contracts, whether or not they're included in the composite NPC allocator in Exhibit A1, will be subject to the terms of the contracts. The monthly base NPC amounts for the purpose of EBA filings will be the monthly test period base NPC amounts stated in Table 2 below until such time as new base NPC amounts are set in a general rate case or other proceeding filed on or after January 1, 2014.

**Table 2**

	<b>Utah EBA \$/MWh</b>
<b>June</b>	\$ 26.694
<b>July</b>	26.819
<b>August</b>	27.685
<b>September</b>	27.648
<b>October</b>	25.293
<b>November</b>	24.260
<b>December</b>	23.286
<b>January</b>	23.870
<b>February</b>	24.191
<b>March</b>	24.723
<b>April</b>	24.899
<b>May</b>	25.114
<b>Total</b>	<u><u>\$ 25.439</u></u>

31. The Parties agree that any balance of deferred NPC as determined by the Commission and associated carrying charges as determined by the Commission in the EBA application previously filed by the Company in Docket No. 12-035-67, will be collected or refunded over a two-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change in that Docket, with no carrying charges during such two-year collection or refund period.

32. The Parties agree that any balance of deferred NPC as determined by the Commission in the next EBA application to be filed by the Company in March 2013 will be

collected or refunded over a two-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change in that Docket, with carrying charges accruing through December 31, 2012 but no carrying charges thereafter or during such two-year collection or refund period.

33. The Company agrees that, in addition to reporting the calculation of base monthly NPC as set forth in Exhibit A1, the Company will also report the calculation of base monthly NPC by the alternative methods set forth in Exhibit A2 and Exhibit A3.

### **Renewable Energy Credits (REC) Revenues in 2013 and 2014 RBA**

34. The Parties agree that the base REC revenues in rates for RBA purposes should be set at \$25.0 million effective with the Step 1 rate increase on October 12, 2012.

35. The Parties agree that the base REC revenues in rates for RBA purposes should be set at \$10.0 million effective with the Step 2 rate increase, anticipated to be September 1, 2013, subject to Paragraph 28.

36. The Parties agree that any difference between base REC revenues and actual REC revenues as determined by the Commission for calendar year 2012 should be recovered or returned over a one-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change to collect or refund such balance, with a carrying charge.

37. The Parties agree that any difference between base REC revenues and actual REC revenues as determined by the Commission for calendar year 2013 should be recovered or returned over a three-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change to collect or refund such balance, with no carrying charges during such three-year collection or refund period.

38. The Parties agree that any difference between base REC revenues and actual REC revenues as determined by the Commission for calendar year 2014 should be recovered or returned over a two-year period from the effective date of the approved rate change to collect or refund such balance, with no carrying charges during such two-year collection or refund period.

39. The Parties agree that, as an incentive for the Company to aggressively market RECs and obtain additional value, the Company should be permitted to keep ten percent (10%) of the revenues it obtains from the sales of its RECs incremental to the current Utah-allocated projected test year revenues of \$25 million through May 31, 2013, and thereafter incremental to the revenues received under contracts entered into after July 1, 2012. A table listing the contracts as of July 1, 2012 to be excluded from this incentive is included as Confidential Exhibit B to this Stipulation.

#### **Future Rate Cases**

40. The Company agrees that it will not file its next general rate case (“2014 GRC”) or a major plant addition case in Utah (a) prior to January 1, 2014 or (b) with a rate effective date prior to September 1, 2014.

41. The Parties agree that in the Company’s 2014 GRC application, the Company will use, and the Parties will not oppose, use of a forecast test period of July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015, with a 13-month average rate base, if the Company files its application prior to March 1, 2014. If the Company files its application on or after March 1, 2014, the Company will use, and the Parties will not oppose, use of a forecast test period ending no later than 16 months from the month in which the application is filed, with a 13-month average rate base.

42. The Parties agree that in the Company’s next general rate case application, the Company shall address in its cost of service testimony issues raised in the Commission’s June 25, 2012 Action Request including the treatment of cash working capital, interest expense and income taxes. The Company agrees to specifically identify in its direct testimony any changes to its model or otherwise, that address these issues.

## Depreciation Study

43. As required by prior Commission order in Docket No. 07-035-13, the Company will file its required depreciation study by December 31, 2012, for review during 2013. The Company will request that the new depreciation rates have an effective date of January 1, 2014, for purposes of financial reporting; however, the effective date for purposes of financial reporting will ultimately be determined by Commission order. The Parties agree that the Commission-approved depreciation rates should not be reflected in customer rates in Utah until new base rates are implemented on or after September 1, 2014.

44. The Parties request Commission approval for the Company to establish an accounting order that will allow it to monthly defer and track (i) for future recovery, any aggregate net increase in Utah allocated depreciation expense in excess of \$2.0 million annually, or (ii) for refund to customers, any aggregate net decrease in Utah allocated depreciation expense, for the period beginning on the latter of January 1, 2014, or the effective date of the Commission Order approving new depreciation rates (“Depreciation Order”), until the date that new depreciation rates are reflected in customer rates on or after September 1, 2014. The amount to be booked into such account shall be the difference in depreciation expense calculated using depreciation rates as approved in the Depreciation Order as compared to depreciation expense calculated using depreciation rates in effect as of the date of this Stipulation. The proposed treatment of this deferred depreciation expense is further described in Exhibit C to this Stipulation.

45. The Parties further agree that the Company should be allowed to recover or be required to refund the deferred depreciation expense beginning on the effective date of the 2014 GRC, as modified by future cost of service studies in future rate cases, and shall be amortized over a period not to extend beyond June 30, 2031, with no carrying charge. Any such recovery

or refund shall be allocated to customers as determined by the Commission in the 2014 GRC. The Company agrees to propose an allocation of any deferred amount in the 2014 GRC and all Parties reserve their right to respond. Depreciation relating to the Carbon Plant and the Klamath dam facilities should not be included in this deferral and nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as applying to the accounting treatment of the Carbon Plant or the Klamath dam facilities, both of which are described below. Other than as expressly stated in this Stipulation, nothing in this Stipulation shall limit the Parties' rights to take such positions as they deem appropriate in the Company's depreciation filing.

### **Carbon Plant**

46. The Parties agree that the Company's pending application for a Deferred Accounting Order for the Carbon Plant should be granted and that two accounting orders should be entered, one to authorize the Company to transfer the remaining Carbon Plant balances upon retirement from electric plant in service and accumulated depreciation ("Remaining Carbon Balances"), and one to authorize the Company to book to a deferred account removal costs associated with the Carbon Plant ("Carbon Removal Costs").

47. The Parties agree that the amortization of the prudently incurred Remaining Carbon Balances shall be as set forth in Paragraph 11 of the Company's pending application for a Deferred Accounting Order for the Carbon Plant in Docket No. 12-035-79 resulting in the Remaining Carbon Balances being amortized from the date of transfer of the net plant balances to the regulatory asset through 2020.

48. The Parties agree that the Commission's order approving this Stipulation should authorize recovery from Utah ratepayers of Utah's allocated share of the prudently incurred Carbon Removal Costs from the retirement date of the Carbon Plant, currently estimated to occur in April 2015, through 2020. The filed depreciation study will calculate a depreciation rate



based on the remaining plant balance using an end of life date for the Carbon Plant currently estimated to be 2015. The projected removal costs will be identified in the calculation of the new depreciation expense and excluded from Carbon depreciation rates in Utah and recorded as removal costs in the Carbon Removal Costs regulatory asset addressed in this Stipulation. The difference between the depreciation rate effective in 2014 and the current depreciation rate based on the prior decommissioning date of 2020 will be included in the Remaining Carbon Balances regulatory asset.

49. Neither this Stipulation nor a Commission Order authorizing a deferred accounting order for such costs should be construed as determining prudence, recovery or ratemaking treatment of any deferred Carbon Removal Costs. The Parties agree that the Company should propose updates to the deferred Carbon Removal Costs balance with each future rate case filing, based on the best available removal cost projection. Any balances or adjustments approved by the Commission in future rate case orders should be amortized over the period as determined by the Commission in such dockets. Any changes to projected Carbon Removal Cost estimates will be specifically identified and explained as part of each Company general rate case filing, including the 2014 GRC. Other than as expressly stated in this Stipulation, nothing in this Stipulation shall limit the Parties' rights to take such positions they deem appropriate regarding the prudence or recovery of Carbon Removal Costs.

50. The Parties agree not to argue against cost recovery of Remaining Carbon Balances or Carbon Removal Costs on "used and useful" grounds, i.e., because costs are being recovered after the plant is closed.

#### **FERC Rate Case Deferred Revenues**

51. The Parties agree that the Company will defer for later refund to or collection from Utah ratepayers Utah's allocated share of all revenues booked in the Company's FERC

Account 456.1 resulting from its pending Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) rate case in FERC Docket No. ER11-3643-000 including refunds, incremental to the FERC revenues projected by the Company in this docket, for the entire period from July 1, 2012 through the effective date of the 2014 GRC, in a manner consistent with the treatment of FERC revenues in Docket No. 10-035-124. Once FERC has issued a final order in FERC Docket No. ER11-3643-000, the Company will include the deferred balance in the next annual EBA filing as a credit to the EBA balance to reflect a 100 percent pass-through of all such incremental revenues to customers. The FERC deferral account will not accrue a carrying charge.

### **Naughton Unit 3 Development Costs**

52. The Parties agree that the pending Naughton Unit 3 Development Costs application wherein the Company requested an accounting order authorizing it to record a regulatory asset associated with the development, design, engineering and initial procurement costs incurred to meet state and federal emission requirements as set forth in Docket No. 12-035-80 should be approved in accordance with Paragraph 53 below.

53. The Parties agree that Utah’s allocated share of the Naughton Unit 3 development costs of \$7.9 million incurred prior to the Company’s decision to convert the unit to natural gas will be deferred and fully amortized by September 1, 2014, thereby providing full recovery to the Company from the rates agreed to in this Stipulation prior to the effective date of new rates resulting from the 2014 GRC.

### **Cost of Service, Rate Spread and Rate Design**

54. The Step 1 and Step 2 rate increases set forth in Paragraphs 27 and 28 above should be allocated to general tariff customer classes and applied to general tariff customer rates as set forth in Exhibit D to this Stipulation. Exhibit D also includes the monthly billing comparisons for the Step 1 and 2 rate changes. Special contract rates are not established by this

Stipulation, and will be governed by the terms of the applicable contract approved by the Commission. The Parties agree the customer charge should increase to \$5 per month for single-phase residential customers and to \$10 per month for 3-phase residential customers until there is a change to the customer charge by Commission order.

55. For purpose of Utah cost of service studies, the Company agrees to propose a plan for a new Stress Factor study by July 1, 2013 and to request that the Commission hold a technical conference to review the plan and take comments from interested parties. The Company's study plan shall be shared with interveners to the current docket no later than two weeks prior to the scheduled technical conference. The Company shall provide the completed study to intervenors in the current case at least two months before its next general rate case.

56. The Parties agree that the "Application" paragraph of tariff Schedule 8 should be modified effective October 12, 2012 to allow any Schedule 8 customer whose peak load has not exceeded 1,000 kW for a period of 18 consecutive months to be moved to Schedule 6. Prior to the filing date of the 2014 GRC, interested parties agree to discuss alternative qualification provisions for Schedules 6 and 8, and to solicit input from other interested parties on any proposed modifications to the same. If there is no consensus among the parties during the discussions, the Company agrees in connection with its 2014 GRC filing to provide Parties with revenue requirement and cost of service results using both the qualification/Application provisions specified herein and an alternative qualification/Application provision requested by the Utah Association of Energy Users ("UAE") following such discussions.

57. Any of the Parties that are interested will meet by November 1, 2012 to discuss potential ways to improve bill messaging to residential customers, including the cost of implementing such changes. The topics of discussion will include, but not be limited to, (1) potential renaming of the residential energy blocks to identify higher usage, (2) prominent

language on residential bills identifying usage with greatest efficiency and conservation opportunities and the cost of electricity associated with that usage and directing customers to Company websites for information about energy efficiency opportunities and incentives, and (3) ways to improve clarity for customers in understanding their bills. Other parties who may have interest in this discussion will also be invited to attend. Discussions will be completed by February 1, 2013. If changes are agreed to by the Company, the Company will make its best efforts to implement such changes on bills prior to the 2013 summer season. Following approval of this stipulation by the Commission, the Company also agrees to include education about the new second tier non-summer rate in its bill insert as soon as practicable, as close to the start of the non-summer season as possible.

#### **Klamath Depreciation, Relicensing and Allocation of KHSA Dam Removal**

58. The Parties agree the Company should be permitted to depreciate the Klamath Dam facilities on an accelerated basis from June 1, 2012 through December 31, 2022 at rates that will fully depreciate the asset by the end of calendar year 2022. The depreciation rate will be reset annually based on any new additions. Utah's allocated share of such facilities is included in rates agreed to in this Stipulation and should be included in future Utah rates to reflect the revised depreciation schedule. The Company may recover a return on and return of such investment by including the depreciation and/or amortization in expense and the net unrecovered balance in rate base through calendar year 2022, even if the plant is shutdown prior to 2022. The depreciation life may be reconsidered if there are material changes in circumstances with respect to the relicensing or decommissioning of Klamath Dam facilities.

59. The Parties agree that recovery of Utah's allocated share of total Company Klamath-related relicensing and process costs in the amount of \$81,814,435 are included in rates agreed to in this Stipulation and should be included in future Utah rates to amortize recovery of

such costs from October 12, 2012 through the end of calendar year 2022 with a carrying charge at the authorized long-term cost of debt. The carrying charge should be added to the unamortized balance monthly beginning on October 12, 2012. Since carrying charges will continue to be accrued, the net unrecovered relicensing and process costs will be excluded from rate base in future rate case proceedings.

60. Notwithstanding the preceding paragraphs 58 and 59, the Company agrees that it may not recover from Utah ratepayers in this or any other proceeding any dam removal or removal related costs associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (“KHSA”), including but not limited to “Facilities Removal”, the “Secretarial Determination”, the “State Cost Cap”, or the implementation of the “Definite Plan” or “Detailed Plan” related to the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, and whether funded or incurred by a “Party” or “Parties”, “States”, or the “Dam Removal Entity,” as these terms are defined and used in the KHSA. The Company’s agreement includes, without limitation, no recovery from Utah ratepayers of any dam removal or removal related cost resulting from any amendment to or substitute agreement for the KHSA, or dispute resolution, alternate or substitute funding, financing mechanism substitution, or shortfall funding described by the KHSA. Nothing in this paragraph shall preclude the Company from applying for recovery from Utah ratepayers of Utah’s allocated share of costs that are prudently incurred by the Company in connection with: (i) “Decommissioning”, as defined in the KHSA, and (ii) operation and maintenance of the Klamath Project for continued generation. Nothing in this paragraph, paragraphs 58 or 59, or in this Stipulation shall (i) preclude the Company from applying for recovery from Utah ratepayers of Utah’s allocated share of costs that are prudently incurred by the Company in connection with potential future proceedings before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to relicense or decommission and/or remove the Klamath Project facilities, or (ii) be construed as approval or

disapproval of any such future Company application for recovery from Utah ratepayers of costs identified in the immediately preceding sentence, nor as a waiver, compromise or limit of any party's rights, defenses, remedies, duties, or jurisdictional objections available under Federal or Utah law in connection with any such application.

### **Utah Solar Program**

61. A proposed Utah Solar Incentive Program ("Solar Program") is being considered by certain Parties and the Commission in Docket No. 11-035-104. Assuming a Solar Program is approved by the Commission prior to the Step 1 effective date of October 12, 2012, the Parties request permission for the Company to add any approved surcharge to recover costs of such Solar Program to the Step 1 rate increase effective October 12, 2012. Parties agree that such surcharge should not be shown as a separate line item on the bill. This Stipulation does not imply any Party's support for or opposition to any Solar Program.

### **Special Contracts**

62. The Parties agree that the rate spread for the Step 1 and Step 2 rate increases as shown in Exhibit D reflect additional revenues to be received from base rate changes to special contracts in effect as of the effective date of this Stipulation. Increases for special contract customers, including those related to EBA and RBA applications, shall be governed by the terms of their contracts.

### **Other Items**

63. The Parties stipulate to the admission into evidence in the 2012 GRC of all pre-filed testimony that has been filed to date in the cost of capital, revenue requirement and cost of service phases of this case. This stipulation to the admission of the testimony does not represent an agreement by the Parties as any positions taken in such testimony.

64. The Parties agree that, conditioned upon Commission approval of this Stipulation, neither UIEC's Motion to Disqualify or, in the Alternative to Require the Development of Models to Assist the Commission in Evaluating the Proposals of All of the Parties, nor Rocky Mountain Power's Motion to Strike Pre-Filed Supplemental Direct Testimony of J. Robert Malko filed in the 2012 GRC need be resolved by the Commission and, no Party need respond to said Motions.

65. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Parties agree not to seek a new deferred accounting order for costs incurred or revenues received before September 1, 2014, unless the need for the order is caused by a natural disaster or emergency, or the request results from the Division or the Office carrying out their statutory duties. The Parties agree that EBA and RBA mechanism filings will continue on their normal schedules.

#### **GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

66. Not all Parties agree that each aspect of this Stipulation is warranted or supportable in isolation. Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement so long as the settlement is just and reasonable in result. While the Parties are not able to agree that each specific component of this Stipulation is just and reasonable in isolation, all of the Parties agree that this Stipulation as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.

67. All negotiations related to this Stipulation are confidential, and no Party shall be bound by any position asserted in negotiations. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulation, and in accordance with Utah Admin. Code R746-100-10.F.5, neither the execution of this Stipulation nor the order adopting it shall be deemed to constitute an admission or acknowledgment by any Party of the validity or invalidity of any principle or practice of regulatory accounting or ratemaking; nor shall they be construed to constitute the

basis of an estoppel or waiver by any Party; nor shall they be introduced or used as evidence for any other purpose in a future proceeding by any Party except in a proceeding to enforce this Stipulation.

68. The Parties agree that no part of this Stipulation or the formulae and methodologies used in developing the same or a Commission order approving the same shall in any manner be argued or considered as precedential in any future case except with regard to issues expressly called-out and resolved by this Stipulation. This Stipulation does not resolve and does not provide any inferences regarding, and the Parties are free to take any position with respect to any issues not specifically called-out and settled herein.

69. The Parties request that the Commission hold a hearing on this Stipulation. Rocky Mountain Power, the DPU, and the OCS each will, and other Parties may, make one or more witnesses available to explain and offer further support for this Stipulation. The Parties shall support the Commission's approval of this Stipulation. As applied to the Division and the Office, the explanation and support shall be consistent with their statutory authority and responsibility.

70. The Parties agree that if any person challenges the approval of this Stipulation or requests rehearing or reconsideration of any order of the Commission approving this Stipulation, each Party will use its best efforts to support the terms and conditions of this Stipulation. As applied to the DPU and the OCS, the phrase "use its best efforts" means that they shall do so in a manner consistent with their statutory authority and responsibility. In the event any person seeks judicial review of a Commission order approving this Stipulation, no Party shall take a position in that judicial review proceeding in opposition to the Stipulation.

71. Except with regard to the obligations of the Parties under the four immediately preceding paragraphs of this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall not be final and binding on



the Parties until it has been approved without material change or condition by the Commission.

72. This Stipulation is an integrated whole, and any Party may withdraw from it if it is not approved without material change or condition by the Commission or if the Commission's approval is rejected or materially conditioned by a reviewing court. If the Commission rejects any part of this Stipulation or imposes any material change or condition on approval of this Stipulation or if the Commission's approval of this Stipulation is rejected or materially conditioned by a reviewing court, the Parties agree to meet and discuss the applicable Commission or court order within five business days of its issuance and to attempt in good faith to determine if they are willing to modify the Stipulation consistent with the order. No Party shall withdraw from the Stipulation prior to complying with the foregoing sentence. If any Party withdraws from the Stipulation, any Party retains the right to seek additional procedures before the Commission, including presentation of testimony and cross-examination of witnesses, with respect to issues resolved by the Stipulation, and no party shall be bound or prejudiced by the terms and conditions of the Stipulation.

73. This Stipulation may be executed by individual Parties through two or more separate, conformed copies, the aggregate of which will be considered as an integrated instrument.

*[the remainder of this page is intentionally left blank]*

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of August 2012.

<p>UTAH OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES</p> <p><u>/s/ Michele Beck</u> Michele Beck Director Office of Consumer Services 160 East 300 South, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114</p>	<p>ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER</p> <p><u>/s/ Mark C. Moench</u> Mark C. Moench SVP and General Counsel Rocky Mountain Power 201 S. Main St., Suite 2400 Salt Lake City, UT 84111</p>
<p>UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES</p> <p><u>/s/ Chris Parker</u> Chris Parker Utah Division of Public Utilities 160 East 300 South, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114</p>	<p>UTAH INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS</p> <hr/> <p>F. Robert Reeder William J. Evans Vicki M. Baldwin Parsons Behle &amp; Latimer 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 <i>Attorneys for Utah Industrial Energy Consumers</i></p>
<p>UTAH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY USERS INTERVENTION GROUP</p> <p><u>/s/ Gary A. Dodge</u> Gary A. Dodge Hatch James &amp; Dodge 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 <i>Attorney for Utah Association of Energy Users Intervention Group</i></p>	<p>KROGER CO.</p> <p><u>/s/ K. Boehm</u> Kurt Boehm, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz &amp; Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 <i>Attorney for Kroger Co.</i></p>
<p>UTAH CLEAN ENERGY</p> <p><u>/s/ Sophie Hayes</u> Sophie Hayes Utah Clean Energy 1014 Second Avenue Salt Lake City, UT 84103 <i>Attorney for Utah Clean Energy</i></p>	<p>WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES</p> <p><u>/s/ Charles R. Dubuc</u> Charles R. Dubuc Western Resource Advocates 150 S 600 E, Ste 2A Salt Lake City, UT 84102 <i>Attorney for Western Resource Advocates</i></p>

FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

/s/ Samuel T. Miller

Capt Samuel Miller

USAF Utility Law Field Support Center

139 Barnes Ave. Suite 1

Tyndall AFB, FL 32403

*Attorney for FEA*

# **Exhibit A**

**Net Power Cost Calculation**  
**Utah Allocation Based on Scalar Method from Docket 10-035-124**

	<u>Total Company</u>						
	<u>Rebuttal Net Power Costs</u>	<u>Total Company MWh</u>	<u>EBA (NPC only) \$/MWh</u>	<u>Utah Allocation Scalar</u>	<u>Utah EBA (NPC only) \$/MWh</u>	<u>Utah MWh</u>	<u>Utah NPC Base</u>
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
<b>Jun-2012</b>	\$ 123,896,957	4,713,146	\$ 26.288	99.919%	\$ 26.266	2,045,533	\$ 53,728,239
<b>Jul-2012</b>	141,773,973	5,411,203	26.200	99.919%	26.179	2,424,358	63,466,697
<b>Aug-2012</b>	144,558,297	5,356,314	26.988	99.919%	26.966	2,475,599	66,758,059
<b>Sep-2012</b>	127,875,043	4,710,723	27.146	99.919%	27.123	2,106,012	57,122,257
<b>Oct-2012</b>	118,467,640	4,742,413	24.980	99.919%	24.960	2,039,255	50,900,050
<b>Nov-2012</b>	114,387,234	4,755,748	24.052	99.919%	24.033	1,986,044	47,730,264
<b>Dec-2012</b>	118,233,498	5,126,920	23.061	99.919%	23.043	2,109,251	48,602,502
<b>Jan-2013</b>	122,818,180	5,204,459	23.599	99.919%	23.579	2,120,961	50,011,065
<b>Feb-2013</b>	111,057,434	4,620,579	24.035	99.919%	24.016	1,914,786	45,985,167
<b>Mar-2013</b>	120,279,486	4,920,291	24.446	99.919%	24.426	2,043,215	49,906,973
<b>Apr-2013</b>	114,965,906	4,664,058	24.649	99.919%	24.629	1,986,137	48,917,079
<b>May-2013</b>	120,850,885	4,882,346	24.753	99.919%	24.732	2,137,811	52,873,368
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,479,164,534	59,108,200	\$ 25.025		\$ 25.050	25,388,962	\$ 636,001,721
	[note 1]	[note 2]	[(a) / (b)]	[note 4]	[(c) * (d)]	[note 2]	[(e) * (f)]

Footnotes: (1) GND-1R

(2) SRM-2R, page 11.16, loads at input used in calculating allocation factors.

(3) Total per SRM-3, page 3.1.5; monthly per pricing backup.

(4) The scalar is calculated by iteration to achieve the annual Utah allocated NPC amount. This adjustment is necessary because not all costs use an SE factor. The scalar will be dynamically calculated using actual Utah allocated NPC for the EBA.

**Utah Net Power Cost Calculation  
Utah Allocation Based on Scalar Method**

**Wheeling Revenues**

	<b>Utah NPC Base</b>	<b>Total Company</b>	<b>Utah Allocated</b>	<b>Utah EBA Base</b>	<b>Utah Retail Sales MWh</b>	<b>Utah EBA \$/MWh</b>
	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)
<b>Jun-2012</b>	\$ 53,728,239	\$ (6,225,839)	\$ (2,684,824)	\$ 51,043,415	1,912,132	\$ 26.694
<b>Jul-2012</b>	63,466,697	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	60,781,873	2,266,364	26.819
<b>Aug-2012</b>	66,758,059	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	64,073,235	2,314,402	27.685
<b>Sep-2012</b>	57,122,257	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	54,437,433	1,968,926	27.648
<b>Oct-2012</b>	50,900,050	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	48,215,226	1,906,260	25.293
<b>Nov-2012</b>	47,730,264	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	45,045,439	1,856,770	24.260
<b>Dec-2012</b>	48,602,502	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	45,917,678	1,971,891	23.286
<b>Jan-2013</b>	50,011,065	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	47,326,241	1,982,627	23.870
<b>Feb-2013</b>	45,985,167	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	43,300,343	1,789,930	24.191
<b>Mar-2013</b>	49,906,973	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	47,222,149	1,910,070	24.723
<b>Apr-2013</b>	48,917,079	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	46,232,255	1,856,810	24.899
<b>May-2013</b>	52,873,368	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	50,188,543	1,998,460	25.114
<b>Total</b>	\$ 636,001,721 [column (g)]	\$ (74,710,064) [see detail below]	\$ (32,217,891)	\$ 603,783,830 [(h) + (j)]	23,734,643 [note 3]	\$ 25.439 [(k) / (l)]

**Utah Allocated Wheeling Revenues**

<u>SRM-3 Page 3.2.4</u>	
Firm Wheeling	\$ (63,278,091)
Utah SG Allocation	43.1547% \$ (27,307,470)
Non-firm Wheeling	\$ (11,431,973)
Utah SE Allocation	42.9534% \$ (4,910,421)

**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Cost Of Service By Rate Schedule**  
**State of Utah**  
**2010 Protocol (Non Wgt)**  
**12 Months Ended May 2013**

FERC ACCT	DESCRIPTION	COS Factor	Utah Jurisdiction Normalized	Residential Sch 1	General Large Dist. Sch 6	General +1 MW Sch 8	Street & Area Lighting Sch. 7,11,12	General Trans Sch 9	Irrigation Sch 10	Traffic Signals Sch 15	Outdoor Lighting Sch 15	General Small Dist. Sch 23	Industrial Cust 1	Industrial Cust 2	
447	Sales for Resale Demand	F10	196,905,820	68,283,146	55,518,902	16,810,211	408,210	33,476,891	1,481,447	41,888	75,885	13,601,217	3,794,300	3,413,722	
456	Other Electric Revenue Demand Energy	F10 F30	27,307,458 4,910,418	9,469,700 1,476,952	7,699,519 1,347,124	2,331,288 458,398	56,612 18,951	4,642,670 976,837	205,451 41,769	5,809 1,263	10,524 3,505	1,886,255 316,551	526,204 116,000	473,425 153,068	
501	Fuel Related Cholla	F30 F30	294,771,805 23,419,803	88,661,238 7,044,191	80,867,676 6,424,987	27,517,600 2,186,290	1,137,640 90,386	58,639,386 4,658,936	2,507,379 199,213	75,815 6,024	210,432 16,719	19,002,503 1,509,761	6,963,458 553,251	9,188,679 730,046	
503	Steam From Other Sources	F30	1,496,421	450,092	410,528	139,694	5,775	297,685	12,729	385	1,068	96,467	35,350	46,647	
547	Fuel Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine	F30 F30	153,144,261 8,933,493	46,062,614 2,687,009	42,013,586 2,450,814	14,296,355 833,961	591,044 34,478	30,465,212 1,777,153	1,302,671 75,990	39,389 2,298	109,327 6,377	9,872,465 575,899	3,617,760 211,038	4,773,840 278,476	
555	Purchased Power Demand Energy	F10 F30	280,635,059 12,154,101	97,318,833 3,655,701	79,126,917 3,334,355	23,958,330 1,134,612	581,792 46,907	47,712,096 2,417,833	2,111,395 103,385	59,700 3,126	108,154 8,677	19,384,792 783,516	5,407,731 287,119	4,865,321 378,870	
565	Transm of Electricity by Others Energy	F10 F30	55,768,007 2,584,592	19,339,271 777,392	15,724,160 709,057	4,761,017 241,277	115,614 9,975	9,481,383 514,157	419,578 21,985	11,864 665	21,492 1,845	3,852,160 166,616	1,074,628 61,056	966,840 80,567	
<b>TOTAL EBA COSTS</b>			<b>603,783,847</b>	<b>186,766,543</b>	<b>166,496,534</b>	<b>55,469,240</b>	<b>2,129,838</b>	<b>116,867,442</b>	<b>5,025,658</b>	<b>150,304</b>	<b>394,176</b>	<b>39,440,154</b>	<b>13,774,886</b>	<b>17,269,071</b>	
<b>Class % of NPC</b>			<b>100.00%</b>	<b>30.93%</b>	<b>27.58%</b>	<b>9.19%</b>	<b>0.35%</b>	<b>19.36%</b>	<b>0.83%</b>	<b>0.02%</b>	<b>0.07%</b>	<b>6.53%</b>	<b>2.28%</b>	<b>2.86%</b>	
<b>Demand Related</b>			<b>75%</b>	84,142,341 13.94%	29,178,943 15.62%	23,724,491 14.25%	7,183,386 12.95%	174,438 8.19%	14,305,438 12.24%	633,056 12.60%	17,900 11.91%	32,428 8.23%	5,812,110 14.74%	1,621,391 11.77%	1,458,761 8.45%
<b>Energy Related</b>				519,641,506 86.06%	157,587,599 84.38%	142,772,042 85.75%	48,285,854 87.05%	1,955,400 91.81%	102,562,004 87.76%	4,392,601 87.40%	132,405 88.09%	361,749 91.77%	33,628,045 85.26%	12,153,496 88.23%	15,810,310 91.55%
<b>TOTAL EBA COSTS</b>			<b>603,783,847</b>	<b>186,766,543</b>	<b>166,496,534</b>	<b>55,469,240</b>	<b>2,129,838</b>	<b>116,867,442</b>	<b>5,025,658</b>	<b>150,304</b>	<b>394,176</b>	<b>39,440,154</b>	<b>13,774,886</b>	<b>17,269,071</b>	

		Sch 1	Sch 6	Sch 8	Sch. 7,11,12	Sch 9	Sch 10	Sch 15	Sch 15	Sch 23	Cust 1	Cust 2
F10	Coin Peak, Sys	0.34678	0.28196	0.08537	0.00207	0.17001	0.00752	0.00021	0.00039	0.06907	0.01927	0.01734
F30	MWH @ Input	0.30078	0.27434	0.09335	0.00386	0.19893	0.00851	0.00026	0.00071	0.06447	0.02362	0.03117

**Utah Energy Balancing Account Base  
Utah Allocation Based on Annual SE and SG Factors**

	Rebuttal Net Power Costs		Wheeling Revenues		Utah EBA Base	MWh	\$/MWh
	Total Company	Utah Allocated	Total Company	Utah Allocated			
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
Jun-2012	\$ 123,896,957	\$ 53,272,422	\$ (6,225,839)	\$ (2,684,824)	\$ 50,587,598	1,912,132	\$ 26.456
Jul-2012	141,773,973	60,959,068	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	58,274,243	2,266,364	25.713
Aug-2012	144,558,297	62,156,254	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	59,471,429	2,314,402	25.696
Sep-2012	127,875,043	54,982,895	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	52,298,070	1,968,926	26.562
Oct-2012	118,467,640	50,937,959	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	48,253,135	1,906,260	25.313
Nov-2012	114,387,234	49,183,492	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	46,498,668	1,856,770	25.043
Dec-2012	118,233,498	50,837,285	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	48,152,460	1,971,891	24.419
Jan-2013	122,818,180	52,808,577	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	50,123,753	1,982,627	25.281
Feb-2013	111,057,434	47,751,766	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	45,066,942	1,789,930	25.178
Mar-2013	120,279,486	51,717,005	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	49,032,181	1,910,070	25.670
Apr-2013	114,965,906	49,432,306	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	46,747,482	1,856,810	25.176
May-2013	120,850,885	51,962,692	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	49,277,868	1,998,460	24.658
Total	<u>\$ 1,479,164,534</u>	<u>\$ 636,001,721</u>	<u>\$ (74,710,064)</u>	<u>\$ (32,217,891)</u>	<u>\$ 603,783,830</u>	<u>23,734,643</u>	<u>\$ 25.439</u>
	[note 1]	[note 2]	[see detail below]		[(b) + (d)]	[note 3]	[(c) / (d)]

Footnotes: (1) GND-1R

(2) Total per SRM-2R, page 2.2. Monthly amounts calculated using ratio of totals (Column B/Column A).

(3) Total per SRM-3, page 3.1.5; monthly per pricing backup.

Utah Allocated Wheeling Revenues

<u>SRM-3 Page 3.2.4</u>	
Firm Wheeling	\$ (63,278,091)
Utah SG Allocation	43.1547%
Non-firm Wheeling	\$ (11,431,973)
Utah SE Allocation	42.9534%



**Utah Energy Balancing Account Base  
Utah Allocation Based on Monthly SE and SG Factors**

	<u>Rebuttal Net Power Costs</u>		<u>Wheeling Revenues</u>		<u>Utah EBA Base</u>	<u>Utah Retail Sales</u>	<u>Utah EBA</u>
	<u>Total Company</u>	<u>Utah Allocated</u>	<u>Total Company</u>	<u>Utah Allocated</u>			
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
<b>Jun-2012</b>	\$ 123,896,957	\$ 54,344,975	\$ (6,225,839)	\$ (2,684,824)	\$ 51,660,151	1,912,132	\$ 27.017
<b>Jul-2012</b>	141,773,973	63,720,158	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	61,035,334	2,266,364	26.931
<b>Aug-2012</b>	144,558,297	66,891,132	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	64,206,308	2,314,402	27.742
<b>Sep-2012</b>	127,875,043	57,505,637	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	54,820,813	1,968,926	27.843
<b>Oct-2012</b>	118,467,640	50,594,579	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	47,909,754	1,906,260	25.133
<b>Nov-2012</b>	114,387,234	47,745,574	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	45,060,750	1,856,770	24.268
<b>Dec-2012</b>	118,233,498	48,542,898	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	45,858,074	1,971,891	23.256
<b>Jan-2013</b>	122,818,180	49,303,186	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	46,618,361	1,982,627	23.513
<b>Feb-2013</b>	111,057,434	45,475,670	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	42,790,845	1,789,930	23.906
<b>Mar-2013</b>	120,279,486	49,321,842	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	46,637,017	1,910,070	24.416
<b>Apr-2013</b>	114,965,906	48,151,326	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	45,466,502	1,856,810	24.486
<b>May-2013</b>	120,850,885	54,404,744	(6,225,839)	(2,684,824)	51,719,920	1,998,460	25.880
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 1,479,164,534</u>	<u>\$ 636,001,721</u>	<u>\$ (74,710,064)</u>	<u>\$ (32,217,891)</u>	<u>\$ 603,783,830</u>	<u>23,734,643</u>	<u>\$ 25.439</u>
	[note 1]	[note 2]	[see detail below]		[(b) + (d)]	[note 3]	[(e) / (f)]

Footnotes: (1) GND-1R

(2) See Exhibit A3 page 2 of 2

(3) Total per SRM-3, page 3.1.5; monthly per pricing backup.

Utah Allocated Wheeling Revenues

<u>SRM-3 Page 3.2.4</u>	
Firm Wheeling	\$ (63,278,091)
Utah SG Allocation	43.1547%
Non-firm Wheeling	\$ (11,431,973)
Utah SE Allocation	42.9534%

Utah Energy Balancing Account Base  
Utah Allocation Based on Monthly SE and SG Factors

TOTAL COMPANY NPC BY FERC ACCOUNT

FERC Account	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-13	May-13	Total	
<b>Sales for Resale</b>														
Existing Firm PPL	447	1,453,612	2,380,897	2,877,934	1,812,097	1,193,803	1,072,242	1,081,163	2,082,574	2,075,530	1,985,530	2,020,414	1,721,293	21,557,001
Existing Firm Demand PPL	447	2,894,592	2,776,554	2,757,022	1,979,348	3,226,887	2,319,320	2,579,523	2,762,963	2,413,555	2,301,815	1,748,673	2,045,690	30,106,809
Post-Merger Firm	447	21,891,684	30,937,642	33,269,983	38,752,168	50,508,821	51,390,307	52,119,838	34,236,189	29,056,944	28,596,149	19,010,444	16,759,982	404,617,359
Non-Firm	447	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Sales for Resale</b>	447	28,940,068	36,065,004	36,604,948	40,529,811	54,932,612	54,777,969	56,777,624	39,061,726	33,866,129	39,893,463	22,779,631	21,126,734	456,279,470
<b>Purchased Power</b>														
Existing Firm Demand PPL	555	512,621	388,528	269,340	171,326	158,420	163,105	197,227	304,963	303,389	346,458	406,011	467,005	3,688,393
Existing Firm Demand UPL	555	4,960,624	4,707,186	4,647,985	3,794,756	4,159,684	4,156,605	4,460,476	4,625,704	4,189,634	4,167,869	3,100,231	51,657,480	51,657,480
Existing Firm Energy	555	3,116,237	2,716,075	2,776,818	1,880,197	1,753,709	1,906,198	2,043,973	2,334,415	2,283,842	2,516,511	2,525,162	2,933,442	28,299,044
Post-Merger Firm	555	42,873,835	54,055,107	55,892,525	69,172,111	54,656,609	51,100,959	57,197,833	44,107,742	41,598,847	41,493,485	47,223,461	40,634,691	594,354,511
<b>Total Purchased Power</b>	555	51,013,316	61,866,895	63,086,475	55,016,359	61,387,791	67,415,855	69,888,409	51,372,831	48,373,713	54,497,324	53,238,885	57,433,575	678,596,479
<b>Wheeling Expense</b>														
Firm Wheeling	565	11,019,287	10,870,010	10,335,499	10,250,962	10,800,008	11,107,792	10,876,642	10,786,862	11,221,052	10,901,123	10,960,407	10,396,529	126,228,175
Non-Firm Wheeling	565	1,075,015	547,332	451,829	472,324	438,314	530,243	294,910	408,484	361,030	238,307	461,229	638,388	6,917,204
<b>Total Wheeling Expense</b>	565	12,094,302	11,417,342	10,787,328	10,723,285	11,038,322	11,747,036	11,171,552	11,195,345	11,582,082	11,039,430	11,421,637	11,024,917	133,245,379
<b>Fuel Expense</b>														
Fuel Consumed - Coal	501	47,910,054	58,452,894	61,194,949	67,402,365	58,926,513	58,979,336	62,213,270	61,282,076	66,197,848	66,204,667	62,473,257	63,778,999	603,076,898
Fuel Consumed - Coal (Cholla)	501	4,312,959	4,542,068	4,647,970	4,439,523	4,567,935	4,478,515	4,849,356	4,898,787	4,401,031	4,482,094	4,014,923	52,238,755	52,238,755
Fuel Consumed-Gas	501	-	2,264,093	2,281,816	1,720,943	1,759,974	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,467,395	5,467,395
Natural Gas Consumed	547	32,183,659	37,357,608	39,433,453	38,155,728	35,079,122	34,711,363	30,200,199	31,355,541	22,541,052	25,239,370	16,519,130	15,558,681	356,334,867
Simple Cycle CT	547	2,149,654	1,893,048	1,849,914	1,855,789	1,935,236	1,533,204	1,573,366	1,255,145	1,410,378	1,327,566	1,374,973	1,699,324	18,099,324
Steam From Other Sources	503	273,391	292,459	292,440	292,251	300,962	299,734	309,681	309,773	278,837	309,681	291,651	348,827	3,483,827
<b>Total Fuel Expense</b>	501/547/503	88,729,426	104,582,740	109,489,542	120,657,009	100,993,139	100,002,212	98,955,091	99,326,730	84,657,768	87,026,228	73,063,615	73,616,127	1,121,901,867
<b>TOTAL COMPANY NET POWER COST</b>		123,896,957	141,773,973	144,588,297	127,875,043	118,467,640	114,387,234	118,233,498	122,818,180	111,057,434	120,270,486	114,965,006	120,850,885	1,479,164,534

MONTHLY ALLOCATION TO UTAH

FERC Account	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-13	May-13	Total	
Monthly Utah SC	SC	46.3222%	46.2649%	47.3020%	47.5941%	41.1888%	42.9757%	41.4117%	36.8197%	39.0731%	39.3411%	40.2774%	48.7765%	43.3218%
Monthly Utah SE	SE	43.4026%	44.8026%	46.2103%	44.7698%	43.004%	41.7699%	41.1407%	40.7326%	41.4404%	41.5263%	42.5839%	43.7869%	42.3634%
Monthly Utah SG	SG	45.6918%	45.9144%	47.0311%	46.8723%	41.6415%	42.6720%	41.3446%	37.7964%	39.8649%	39.8974%	40.8540%	47.5290%	43.1547%
<b>Sales for Resale</b>														
SC Existing Firm PPL	447	662,738	1,093,132	1,259,461	849,371	497,118	457,547	446,998	787,181	823,267	791,976	625,430	616,114	9,312,301
SG Existing Firm UPL	447	1,182,621	1,274,871	1,296,958	927,765	1,344,874	897,963	1,066,477	1,044,257	957,374	918,134	714,403	1,267,261	12,873,252
SG Post-Merger Firm	447	9,980,902	14,204,818	15,694,214	17,217,202	21,032,680	21,920,268	21,547,165	12,940,746	11,929,370	11,406,262	7,796,529	7,965,857	173,215,014
SE Non-Firm	447	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Sales for Resale</b>	447	11,926,550	16,572,787	18,250,331	18,994,338	22,874,772	23,374,809	23,060,638	14,772,284	13,310,001	13,116,372	8,306,353	10,041,332	195,500,567
<b>Purchased Power</b>														
SC Existing Firm Demand PPL	555	233,713	178,390	126,673	80,304	65,966	69,600	81,541	115,271	120,339	138,193	165,872	221,963	1,597,829
SG Existing Firm Demand UPL	555	2,079,270	2,161,274	2,186,001	1,778,668	2,019,182	1,773,279	1,840,003	1,748,444	1,661,814	1,662,455	1,266,596	2,095,287	22,272,267
SE Existing Firm Energy	555	1,352,485	1,217,788	1,052,214	840,562	754,101	799,032	840,782	991,339	946,433	1,045,014	1,078,721	1,284,453	12,159,884
SG Post-Merger Firm	555	19,524,153	24,816,052	26,296,945	33,949,987	22,738,067	21,844,211	23,647,519	16,672,042	16,499,342	16,933,149	19,291,450	23,585,134	286,891,043
SE Non-Firm	555	23,189,601	28,376,494	29,651,735	25,747,641	25,578,399	24,493,123	26,409,945	19,487,096	19,227,928	21,778,611	21,802,622	27,187,897	292,921,032
<b>Total Purchased Power</b>	555	54,960,453	52,326,114	50,689,530	50,106,020	46,602,911	50,068,870	46,818,163	42,444,900	40,900,430	44,072,248	46,714,176	52,215,519	561,833,022
<b>Wheeling Expense</b>														
SC Firm Wheeling	565	5,023,890	4,890,895	4,860,895	4,804,859	4,414,004	4,738,916	4,496,835	4,078,022	4,450,818	4,308,288	4,477,766	4,941,369	55,587,557
SE Non-Firm Wheeling	565	466,503	245,219	208,735	211,151	188,907	266,954	121,328	186,468	149,612	98,960	196,409	275,190	2,985,455
<b>Total Wheeling Expense</b>	565	5,490,453	5,236,114	5,069,630	5,016,020	4,602,911	5,006,870	4,618,163	4,264,490	4,600,430	4,407,248	4,674,176	5,216,559	56,183,022
<b>Fuel Expense</b>														
SE Fuel Consumed - Coal	501	20,793,248	26,188,393	28,283,260	25,662,740	25,338,615	24,630,306	26,594,979	24,974,346	23,288,605	23,384,639	22,345,143	22,672,241	293,136,543
SE Fuel Consumed - Coal (Cholla)	501	1,828,323	2,034,964	2,149,214	1,984,946	1,978,959	1,970,268	1,912,778	1,992,316	1,816,700	1,827,959	1,058,972	1,979,629	22,427,369
SE Fuel Consumed-Gas	501	-	1,023,568	1,054,617	322,310	77,390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,477,005
SE Natural Gas Consumed	547	13,667,898	16,731,173	18,225,487	17,085,191	15,064,150	14,495,781	12,424,911	12,778,251	9,341,099	10,490,979	7,034,465	6,812,611	154,460,678
SE Simple Cycle CT	547	332,962	745,088	762,101	740,250	820,978	640,280	649,551	608,490	520,137	585,678	563,329	602,053	8,172,867
SE Steam From Other Sources	503	118,653	125,562	130,539	128,190	129,286	125,197	127,487	126,241	115,965	128,882	111,514	127,660	1,493,978
<b>Total Fuel Expense</b>	501/547/503	37,641,083	46,855,798	50,604,248	45,894,628	43,427,418	41,781,832	40,709,106	40,476,914	35,062,506	36,387,937	31,113,442	32,191,495	482,149,137
<b>TOTAL COMPANY ALLOCATED NET POWER COST</b>		64,494,695	63,895,678	67,075,282	67,653,949	60,733,865	47,877,017	48,676,536	49,438,917	46,600,863	49,457,624	48,283,886	54,554,619	637,792,624
<b>TOTAL UTAH ALLOCATED NET POWER COST (SCALED)</b>		84,344,975	63,720,188	66,891,132	67,505,637	60,694,679	47,745,574	48,542,898	49,383,186	46,476,670	49,321,842	48,161,328	54,404,744	636,001,721

# **Exhibit C**

Rocky Mountain Power  
Utah General Rate Case  
Depreciation Study Impact Example

The following illustrates the impact of Paragraph 44 of the Stipulation. This example is based on the assumption that the next general rate case filed by the Company is effective September 1, 2014. The figures shown are presented for illustrative purposes only. All figures in this exhibit represent Utah allocated amounts.

Date	(A) UT Allocated Depreciation Expense (Note 1)	(B) \$2 million Deadband (Note 2)	(C) = (A) - (B) UT Allocated Depreciation Expense Deferral	(D) Months Remaining	(E) No. of Months Amortized (Note 3)	(F) = (C) / (E) Amortization Amount	(G) = (D) * (F) Jan - Aug 2014 Amortization
Jan-2014	\$ 200,000	\$ 166,667	\$ 33,333	8	210	\$ 159	\$ 1,270
Feb-2014	202,000	166,667	35,333	7	209	169	1,183
Mar-2014	204,000	166,667	37,333	6	208	179	1,077
Apr-2014	206,000	166,667	39,333	5	207	190	950
May-2014	208,000	166,667	41,333	4	206	201	803
Jun-2014	210,000	166,667	43,333	3	205	211	634
Jul-2014	212,000	166,667	45,333	2	204	222	444
Aug-2014	214,000	166,667	47,333	1	203	233	233
Total	\$ 1,656,000	\$ 1,333,333	\$ 322,667				\$ 6,595

Total CY 2014 Deferred Balance	\$ 322,667	(H) = Sum Column (C)
CY 2014 Amortization of Deferred Balance	\$ (6,595)	(I) = Sum Column (G)
August 31, 2014 Remaining Deferred Balance (Note 4)	\$ 316,072	(J) = (H) + (I)
Remaining Months to Amortize Balance by June 30, 2031	202	(K)
Monthly Amortization - September 1, 2014 through June 30, 2031 (Note 5)	\$ 1,565	(L) = (J) / (K)

**Notes:**

- 1) Represents the difference in the depreciation expense using pre-2014 depreciation rates versus those effective starting January 1, 2014.
- 2) Equals \$2,000,000 deadband as defined in paragraph 44 of the stipulation divided by 12 months. If the implementation of new depreciation rates in the CY 2014 results in lower depreciation expense (a benefit to customers), the deadband would be zero and the entire amount showing in column A would be deferred.
- 3) The months remaining in order to fully amortize the deferred balance by June 30, 2031.
- 4) The unamortized balance remaining as of September 1, 2014 that would be collected from customers.
- 5) This represents the monthly amortization that would be included in customer rates beginning September 1, 2014, assuming the Company's next general rate case would be effective on that date.

# **Exhibit D**

**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Estimated Effect of Proposed Prices**  
**On Revenues from Electric Sales to Ultimate Consumers in Utah**  
**Base Period 12 Month Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

Line No.	Description	Sch No.	Present	Step 1 Increase		Step 2 Increase	
			Revenues (\$000)	10/12/2012 (\$000)	(%)	9/1/2013 (\$000)	(%)
<b>Residential</b>							
1	Residential	1,3	\$649,670	\$39,445	6.07%	\$21,255	3.08%
2	Residential-Optional TOD	2	\$311	\$19	6.07%	\$10	3.08%
3	AGA/Revenue Credit	--	\$37	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
4	<b>Total Residential</b>		<u>\$650,017</u>	<u>\$39,464</u>	<u>6.07%</u>	<u>\$21,266</u>	<u>3.08%</u>
<b>Commercial &amp; Industrial &amp; OSPA</b>							
5	General Service-Distribution	6	\$443,566	\$22,496	5.07%	\$11,179	2.40%
6	General Service-Distribution-Energy TOD	6A	\$29,859	\$1,514	5.07%	\$753	2.40%
7	General Service-Distribution-Demand TOD	6B	\$1,657	\$84	5.07%	\$42	2.40%
8	<i>Subtotal Schedule 6</i>		<u>\$475,083</u>	<u>\$24,094</u>	<u>5.07%</u>	<u>\$11,974</u>	<u>2.40%</u>
9	General Service-Distribution > 1,000 kW	8	\$141,559	\$8,595	6.07%	\$4,631	3.08%
10	General Service-High Voltage	9	\$226,409	\$13,747	6.07%	\$7,408	3.08%
11	General Service-High Voltage-Energy TOD	9A	\$2,912	\$177	6.07%	\$95	3.08%
12	<i>Subtotal Schedule 9</i>		<u>\$229,321</u>	<u>\$13,923</u>	<u>6.07%</u>	<u>\$7,503</u>	<u>3.08%</u>
13	Irrigation	10	\$11,991	\$728	6.07%	\$392	3.08%
14	Irrigation-Time of Day	10TOD	\$1,183	\$72	6.07%	\$39	3.08%
15	<i>Subtotal Irrigation</i>		<u>\$13,175</u>	<u>\$800</u>	<u>6.07%</u>	<u>\$431</u>	<u>3.08%</u>
16	Electric Furnace	21	\$343	\$21	6.07%	\$11	3.08%
17	General Service-Distribution-Small	23	\$129,898	\$6,588	5.07%	\$3,274	2.40%
18	Back-up, Maintenance, & Supplementary	31	\$4,870	\$296	6.07%	\$159	3.08%
19	Contract 1 <sup>1</sup>	--	\$24,225	\$910	3.75%	\$947	3.77%
20	Contract 2 <sup>2</sup>	--	\$26,946	\$1,688	6.27%	\$1,853	6.47%
21	Contract 3	--	\$59,056	\$3,586	6.07%	\$1,932	3.08%
22	AGA/Revenue Credit	--	\$4,490	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
23	<b>Total Commercial &amp; Industrial &amp; OSPA</b>		<u>\$1,108,965</u>	<u>\$60,500</u>	<u>5.46%</u>	<u>\$32,715</u>	<u>2.80%</u>
24	<b>Total Commercial &amp; Industrial (excluding Contracts 1, 2, AGA)</b>		<u>\$1,053,304</u>	<u>\$57,902</u>	<u>5.50%</u>	<u>\$29,915</u>	<u>2.69%</u>
<b>Public Street Lighting</b>							
25	Security Area Lighting	7	\$2,965	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
26	Street Lighting - Company Owned	11	\$5,089	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
27	Street Lighting - Customer Owned	12	\$4,059	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
28	Metered Outdoor Lighting	15	\$1,145	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
29	Traffic Signal Systems	15	\$585	\$36	6.07%	\$19	3.08%
30	<i>Subtotal Public Street Lighting</i>		<u>\$13,842</u>	<u>\$36</u>	<u>0.26%</u>	<u>\$19</u>	<u>0.14%</u>
31	Security Area Lighting-Contracts (PTL)	--	\$1	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
32	Street Lighting-Contract (77)	--	\$17	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
33	AGA/Revenue Credit	--	\$5	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
34	<b>Total Public Street Lighting</b>		<u>\$13,865</u>	<u>\$36</u>	<u>0.26%</u>	<u>\$19</u>	<u>0.14%</u>
35	<b>Total Sales to Ultimate Customers</b>		<u>\$1,772,847</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>	<u>5.64%</u>	<u>\$54,000</u>	<u>2.88%</u>
36	<b>Total Sales to Ultimate Customers (excluding Contracts 1, 2, AGA)</b>		<u>\$1,717,127</u>	<u>\$97,402</u>	<u>5.67%</u>	<u>\$51,200</u>	<u>2.82%</u>

Notes:

- Contract 1 Step 1 increase reflects the Step 1 percentage increase to total sales to ultimate customers multiplied by the percentage of the year the period Jan 1, 2013-Aug 31, 2013 comprises. Contract 1 Step 2 increase reflects the Step 2 percentage increase to total sales to ultimate customers applied to Contract 1's 2013 revenues, plus the incremental Step 1 increase for the period Sep 1, 2013-Dec 31, 2013.
- Contract 2 Step 1 increase reflects the Step 1 percentage increase to Sch 9 multiplied by the percentage of the year the period Jan 1, 2013-Aug 31, 2013 comprises. Contract 2 Step 2 increase reflects the Step 2 percentage increase to Sch 9 applied to Contract 2's 2013 revenues, plus the incremental Step 1 increase for the period Sep 1, 2013-Dec 31, 2013.

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

	<u>Present</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013</u> <u>Price</u>
[Type text]			
<b>Schedule No. 1- Residential Service</b>			
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$5.00
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$10.00
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	8.4004 ¢	8.5684 ¢	8.8498 ¢
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	10.3481 ¢	11.1759 ¢	11.5429 ¢
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	12.8709 ¢	13.9914 ¢	14.4508 ¢
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	8.7035 ¢		
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	8.7035 ¢	8.5684 ¢	8.8498 ¢
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	8.7035 ¢	9.5739 ¢	9.8913 ¢
Minimum 1 Phase	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$7.00
Minimum 3 Phase	\$14.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
Minimum Seasonal	\$84.00	\$84.00	\$84.00
<b>Schedule No. 3- Residential Service</b>			
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$5.00
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$10.00
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	8.4004 ¢	8.5684 ¢	8.8498 ¢
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	10.3481 ¢	11.1759 ¢	11.5429 ¢
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	12.8709 ¢	13.9914 ¢	14.4508 ¢
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	8.7035 ¢		
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	8.7035 ¢	8.5684 ¢	8.8498 ¢
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	8.7035 ¢	9.5739 ¢	9.8913 ¢
Minimum 1 Phase	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$7.00
Minimum 3 Phase	\$14.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
Minimum Seasonal	\$84.00	\$84.00	\$84.00
<b>Schedule No. 2 - Residential Service Optional Time-of-Day</b>			
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$5.00
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$10.00
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	4.1300 ¢	4.2415 ¢	4.3560 ¢
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	(1.5487) ¢	(1.5905) ¢	(1.6334) ¢
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	8.4004 ¢	8.5684 ¢	8.8498 ¢
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	10.3481 ¢	11.1759 ¢	11.5429 ¢
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	12.8709 ¢	13.9914 ¢	14.4508 ¢
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	8.7035 ¢		
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	8.7035 ¢	8.5684 ¢	8.8498 ¢
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	8.7035 ¢	9.5739 ¢	9.8913 ¢
Minimum 1 Phase	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$7.00
Minimum 3 Phase	\$14.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
Minimum Seasonal	\$84.00	\$84.00	\$84.00

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]

	<u>Present</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013</u> <u>Price</u>
<b>Schedule No. 6 - Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$50.00	\$53.00	\$54.00
All kW (May - Sept)	\$16.84	\$17.69	\$18.12
All kW (Oct - Apr)	\$13.52	\$14.20	\$14.54
Voltage Discount	(\$0.87)	(\$0.91)	(\$0.93)
All kWh			
kWh (May - Sept)	3.5439 ¢	3.7230 ¢	3.8127 ¢
kWh (Oct - Apr)	3.2659 ¢	3.4321 ¢	3.5143 ¢
Seasonal Service	\$600.00	\$636.00	\$648.00
<b>Schedule No. 6B - Demand Time-of-Day Option - Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$50.00	\$53.00	\$54.00
All On-peak kW (May - Sept)	\$16.84	\$17.69	\$18.12
All On-peak kW (Oct - Apr)	\$13.52	\$14.20	\$14.54
Voltage Discount	(\$0.87)	(\$0.91)	(\$0.93)
All kWh			
kWh (May-Sept)	3.5439 ¢	3.7230 ¢	3.8127 ¢
kWh (Oct-Apr)	3.2659 ¢	3.4321 ¢	3.5143 ¢
Seasonal Service	\$600.00	\$636.00	\$648.00
<b>Schedule No. 6A - Energy Time-of-Day Option - Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$50.00	\$53.00	\$54.00
Facilities kW (May - Sept)	\$5.96	\$6.26	\$6.41
Facilities kW (Oct - Apr)	\$5.00	\$5.25	\$5.38
Voltage Discount	(\$0.56)	(\$0.59)	(\$0.60)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	10.9051 ¢	11.4530 ¢	11.7307 ¢
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	3.2832 ¢	3.4482 ¢	3.5318 ¢
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	9.1155 ¢	9.5735 ¢	9.8056 ¢
Off-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	2.7525 ¢	2.8920 ¢	2.9603 ¢
<b>Schedule No. 7 - Security Area Lighting</b>			
<i>MERCURY VAPOR LAMPS</i>			
4,000 Lumen Energy Only	\$5.68	\$5.68	\$5.68
7,000 Lumen	\$16.38	\$16.38	\$16.38
7,000 Lumen Energy Only	\$8.05	\$8.05	\$8.05
20,000 Lumen	\$26.78	\$26.78	\$26.78
<i>SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS</i>			
5,600 Lumen New Pole	\$14.60	\$14.60	\$14.60
5,600 Lumen No New Pole	\$12.23	\$12.23	\$12.23
9,500 Lumen New Pole	\$15.47	\$15.47	\$15.47
9,500 Lumen No New Pole	\$13.31	\$13.31	\$13.31
16,000 Lumen New Pole	\$19.46	\$19.46	\$19.46
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$17.13	\$17.13	\$17.13
22,000 Lumen	\$21.07	\$21.07	\$21.07



**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]	<u>Present Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013 Price</u>
27,500 Lumen New Pole	\$23.51	\$23.51	\$23.51
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	\$21.23	\$21.23	\$21.23
50,000 Lumen New Pole	\$28.30	\$28.30	\$28.30
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$25.99	\$25.99	\$25.99
<i>SODIUM VAPOR FLOOD LAMPS</i>			
16,000 Lumen New Pole	\$19.46	\$19.46	\$19.46
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$17.13	\$17.13	\$17.13
27,500 Lumen New Pole	\$23.51	\$23.51	\$23.51
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	\$21.23	\$21.23	\$21.23
50,000 Lumen New Pole	\$28.30	\$28.30	\$28.30
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$25.99	\$25.99	\$25.99
<i>METAL HALIDE LAMPS</i>			
12,000 Lumen New Pole	\$29.40	\$29.40	\$29.40
12,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$21.79	\$21.79	\$21.79
19,500 Lumen New Pole	\$34.34	\$34.34	\$34.34
19,500 Lumen No New Pole	\$27.43	\$27.43	\$27.43
32,000 Lumen New Pole	\$36.69	\$36.69	\$36.69
32,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$29.72	\$29.72	\$29.72
107,000 Lumen New Pole	\$57.58	\$57.58	\$57.58
107,000 Lumen No New Pole	\$49.10	\$49.10	\$49.10
<b>Schedule No. 8 – Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$62.00	\$66.00	\$68.00
Facilities kW	\$4.22	\$4.48	\$4.62
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	\$13.81	\$14.65	\$15.10
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	\$9.94	\$10.54	\$10.87
Voltage Discount	(\$1.01)	(\$1.07)	(\$1.10)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	4.4812 ¢	4.7533 ¢	4.8999 ¢
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	3.5078 ¢	3.7208 ¢	3.8356 ¢
Off-Peak kWh	3.0227 ¢	3.2050 ¢	3.3019 ¢
<b>Schedule No. 9 – Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$226.00	\$240.00	\$247.00
Facilities kW	\$1.94	\$2.06	\$2.12
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	\$12.18	\$12.92	\$13.32
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	\$8.26	\$8.76	\$9.03
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	4.0588 ¢	4.3052 ¢	4.4379 ¢
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	3.0520 ¢	3.2373 ¢	3.3371 ¢
Off-Peak kWh	2.5488 ¢	2.7033 ¢	2.7873 ¢

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

	<u>Present Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013 Price</u>
[Type text]			
<b>Schedule No. 9A - Energy TOD - Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$226.00	\$240.00	\$247.00
Facilities Charge per kW	\$1.94	\$2.06	\$2.12
On-Peak kWh	7.4985 ¢	7.9520 ¢	8.2002 ¢
Off-Peak kWh	3.2236 ¢	3.4185 ¢	3.5251 ¢
<b>Schedule No. 10 - Irrigation</b>			
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	\$110.00	\$117.00	\$121.00
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	\$34.00	\$36.00	\$37.00
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
All On-Season kW	\$6.44	\$6.83	\$7.04
Voltage Discount	(\$1.80)	(\$1.91)	(\$1.97)
First 30,000 kWh	6.4140 ¢	6.8016 ¢	7.0156 ¢
All add'l kWh	4.7409 ¢	5.0274 ¢	5.1855 ¢
Post Season			
Customers	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
kWh	4.3934 ¢	4.6589 ¢	4.8055 ¢
<b>Schedule No. 10-TOD</b>			
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	\$110.00	\$117.00	\$121.00
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	\$34.00	\$36.00	\$37.00
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
All On-Season kW	\$6.44	\$6.83	\$7.04
Voltage Discount kW	(\$1.80)	(\$1.91)	(\$1.97)
On-Peak kWh	12.6719 ¢	13.4376 ¢	13.8603 ¢
Off-Peak kWh	3.6644 ¢	3.8815 ¢	4.0252 ¢
Post Season			
Customers	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
kWh	4.3934 ¢	4.6589 ¢	4.8055 ¢
<b>Schedule No. 11 - Street Lighting - Company-Owned System</b>			
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps (HPS)</i>			
5,600 Lumen - Functional	\$11.80	\$11.80	\$11.80
9,500 Lumen - Functional	\$12.78	\$12.78	\$12.78
9,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	\$11.50	\$11.50	\$11.50
9,500 Lumen - S1	\$46.54	\$46.54	\$46.54
9,500 Lumen - S2	\$38.05	\$38.05	\$38.05
16,000 Lumen - Functional	\$16.94	\$16.94	\$16.94
16,000 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	\$15.25	\$15.25	\$15.25
16,000 Lumen - S1	\$47.83	\$47.83	\$47.83
16,000 Lumen - S2	\$39.34	\$39.34	\$39.34
27,500 Lumen - Functional	\$21.14	\$21.14	\$21.14
27,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	\$19.03	\$19.03	\$19.03
27,500 Lumen - S1	\$51.48	\$51.48	\$51.48

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]	<u>Present Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013 Price</u>
27,500 Lumen - S2	\$43.01	\$43.01	\$43.01
50,000 Lumen - Functional	\$26.02	\$26.02	\$26.02
125,000 Lumen	\$51.54	\$51.54	\$51.54
<i>Metal Halide Lamps (MH)</i>			
9,000 Lumen - S1	\$48.74	\$48.74	\$48.74
9,000 Lumen - S2	\$40.27	\$40.27	\$40.27
12,000 Lumen - Functional	\$20.13	\$20.13	\$20.13
12,000 Lumen - S1	\$50.65	\$50.65	\$50.65
12,000 Lumen - S2	\$42.17	\$42.17	\$42.17
19,500 Lumen - Functional	\$22.13	\$22.13	\$22.13
19,500 Lumen - S1	\$53.69	\$53.69	\$53.69
19,500 Lumen - S2	\$45.20	\$45.20	\$45.20
32,000 Lumen - Functional	\$25.78	\$25.78	\$25.78
32,000 Lumen - S1	\$55.33	\$55.33	\$55.33
32,000 Lumen - S2	\$46.86	\$46.86	\$46.86
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps (No New Service) (MV)</i>			
4,000 Lumen	\$11.09	\$11.09	\$11.09
7,000 Lumen	\$13.83	\$13.83	\$13.83
10,000 Lumen	\$19.40	\$19.40	\$19.40
10,000 Lumen @ 90%	\$17.46	\$17.46	\$17.46
20,000 Lumen	\$24.43	\$24.43	\$24.43
<i>Incandescent Lamps (No New Service) (INC)</i>			
500 Lumen	\$11.99	\$11.99	\$11.99
600 Lumen	\$4.24	\$4.24	\$4.24
2,500 Lumen	\$17.11	\$17.11	\$17.11
4,000 Lumen	\$20.43	\$20.43	\$20.43
6,000 Lumen	\$23.82	\$23.82	\$23.82
10,000 Lumen	\$31.47	\$31.47	\$31.47
<i>Fluorescent Lamps (No New Service) (FLOUR)</i>			
21,000 Lumen	\$27.85	\$27.85	\$27.85
<i>Special Service (No New Service)</i>			
50,000 Lumen - Flood	\$39.04	\$39.04	\$39.04

**Schedule No. 12 - Street Lighting - Customer-Owned System**

**1. Energy Only, No Maintenance**

*High Pressures Sodium Vapor Lamps*

5,600 Lumen	\$1.83	\$1.83	\$1.83
9,500 Lumen	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$2.50
16,000 Lumen	\$3.66	\$3.66	\$3.66
27,500 Lumen	\$6.52	\$6.52	\$6.52
50,000 Lumen	\$10.02	\$10.02	\$10.02

*Metal Halide Lamps*

9,000 Lumen	\$2.55	\$2.55	\$2.55
12,000 Lumen	\$4.46	\$4.46	\$4.46

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]	<u>Present Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013 Price</u>
19,500 Lumen	\$6.17	\$6.17	\$6.17
32,000 Lumen	\$9.77	\$9.77	\$9.77
<i>Non-listed Luminaries kWh</i>	6.5279 ¢	6.5279 ¢	6.5279 ¢
<b>2a - Partial Maintenance (No New Service)</b>			
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>			
2,500 Lumen or Less	\$8.96	\$8.96	\$8.96
2,500 Lumen or Less @ 85%	\$7.62	\$7.62	\$7.62
4,000 Lumen	\$12.19	\$12.19	\$12.19
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>			
4,000 Lumen	\$4.64	\$4.64	\$4.64
7,000 Lumen	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$7.00
10,000 Lumen	\$9.08	\$9.08	\$9.08
20,000 Lumen	\$13.33	\$13.33	\$13.33
54,000 Lumen	\$28.38	\$28.38	\$28.38
<i>High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>			
5,600 Lumen	\$4.08	\$4.08	\$4.08
9,500 Lumen	\$5.37	\$5.37	\$5.37
9,500 Lumen @ 85%	\$4.56	\$4.56	\$4.56
9,500 Lumen - Decorative	\$6.96	\$6.96	\$6.96
16,000 Lumen	\$6.52	\$6.52	\$6.52
16,000 Lumen @ 85%	\$5.54	\$5.54	\$5.54
16,000 Lumen - Decorative	\$8.27	\$8.27	\$8.27
22,000 Lumen	\$8.26	\$8.26	\$8.26
27,500 Lumen	\$9.59	\$9.59	\$9.59
27,500 Lumen @ 85%	\$8.16	\$8.16	\$8.16
27,500 Lumen - Decorative	\$11.93	\$11.93	\$11.93
50,000 Lumen	\$14.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
50,000 Lumen @ 85%	\$11.89	\$11.89	\$11.89
50,000 Lumen - Decorative	\$15.56	\$15.56	\$15.56
125,000 Lumen	\$26.38	\$26.38	\$26.38
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>			
9,000 Lumen - Decorative	\$9.19	\$9.19	\$9.19
12,000 Lumen	\$13.57	\$13.57	\$13.57
12,000 Lumen @ 85%	\$11.54	\$11.54	\$11.54
12,000 Lumen - Decorative	\$11.09	\$11.09	\$11.09
19,500 Lumen	\$13.71	\$13.71	\$13.71
19,500 Lumen - Decorative	\$14.13	\$14.13	\$14.13
32,000 Lumen	\$14.58	\$14.58	\$14.58
32,000 Lumen - Decorative	\$15.79	\$15.79	\$15.79
<i>Fluorescent Lamps</i>			
1,000 Lumen	\$3.75	\$3.75	\$3.75
21,800 Lumen	\$13.92	\$13.92	\$13.92

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]	<u>Present Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013 Price</u>
<b>2b - Full Maintenance (No New Service)</b>			
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>			
6,000 Lumen	\$17.73	\$17.73	\$17.73
10,000 Lumen	\$23.40	\$23.40	\$23.40
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>			
7,000 Lumen	\$8.03	\$8.03	\$8.03
20,000 Lumen	\$15.30	\$15.30	\$15.30
54,000 Lumen	\$32.48	\$32.48	\$32.48
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>			
5,600 Lumen	\$4.68	\$4.68	\$4.68
9,500 Lumen	\$6.16	\$6.16	\$6.16
9,500 Lumen @ 90%	\$5.55	\$5.55	\$5.55
16,000 Lumen	\$7.47	\$7.47	\$7.47
16,000 Lumen @ 90%	\$6.72	\$6.72	\$6.72
22,000 Lumen	\$9.44	\$9.44	\$9.44
27,500 Lumen	\$10.99	\$10.99	\$10.99
50,000 Lumen	\$16.02	\$16.02	\$16.02
50,000 Lumen @ 90%	\$14.42	\$14.42	\$14.42
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>			
12,000 Lumen	\$15.58	\$15.58	\$15.58
19,500 Lumen	\$15.73	\$15.73	\$15.73
32,000 Lumen	\$16.72	\$16.72	\$16.72
107,000 Lumen	\$33.05	\$33.05	\$33.05
<b>Schedule 15.1 - Metered Outdoor Nighttime Lighting</b>			
Annual Facility Charge	\$11.00	\$11.00	\$11.00
Annual Customer Charge	\$72.50	\$72.50	\$72.50
Annual Minimum Charge	\$127.50	\$127.50	\$127.50
Monthly Customer Charge	\$6.20	\$6.20	\$6.20
All kWh	5.3437 ¢	5.3437 ¢	5.3437 ¢
<b>Schedule 15.2 - Traffic Signal Systems</b>			
Customer Charge	\$5.00	\$5.50	\$5.50
All kWh	7.7025 ¢	8.0670 ¢	8.4049 ¢

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]	<u>Present</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012</u> <u>Price</u>	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013</u> <u>Price</u>
<b>Schedule No. 21 - Electric Furnace Operations - Limited Service - Industrial</b>			
<i>Primary Voltage</i>			
Customer Charge	\$110.00	\$117.00	\$121.00
Charge per kW (Facilities)	\$3.75	\$3.98	\$4.10
First 100,000 kWh	5.9694 ¢	6.3315 ¢	6.5264 ¢
All add'l kWh	5.0123 ¢	5.3163 ¢	5.4799 ¢
<i>44KV or Higher</i>			
Customer Charge	\$110.00	\$117.00	\$121.00
Charge per kW (Facilities)	\$3.75	\$3.98	\$4.10
First 100,000 kWh	4.6964 ¢	4.9813 ¢	5.1346 ¢
All add'l kWh	4.1149 ¢	4.3418 ¢	4.4977 ¢
<b>Schedule No. 23 - Distribution Voltage - Small Customer - Composite</b>			
Customer Charge	\$9.00	\$10.00	\$10.00
kW over 15 (May - Sept)	\$8.00	\$8.35	\$8.55
kW over 15 (Oct - Apr)	\$8.05	\$8.40	\$8.60
Voltage Discount	(\$0.45)	(\$0.47)	(\$0.48)
First 1,500 kWh (May - Sept)	10.8148 ¢	11.3180 ¢	11.6096 ¢
All Add'l kWh (May - Sept)	6.0632 ¢	6.3453 ¢	6.5088 ¢
First 1,500 kWh (Oct - Apr)	9.9544 ¢	10.4175 ¢	10.6859 ¢
All Add'l kWh (Oct - Apr)	5.5772 ¢	5.8409 ¢	5.9947 ¢
Seasonal Service	\$108.00	\$120.00	\$120.00

**Price Summary**  
**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**

[Type text]	<u>Present</u> Price	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012</u> Price	<u>Step 2 - 9/1/2013</u> Price
<b>Schedule No.31 - Back-Up, Maintenance, and Supplementary Power - Composite</b>			
<i>Secondary Voltage</i>			
Customer Charge per month	\$116.00	\$123.00	\$127.00
Facilities Charge, per kW month	\$4.26	\$4.52	\$4.66
Back-up Power Charge			
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	\$0.5868	\$0.6224	\$0.6419
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	\$0.2934	\$0.3112	\$0.3210
Excess Power, per kW month	\$55.28	\$58.64	\$60.48
<i>Primary Voltage</i>			
Customer Charge per month	\$527.00	\$559.00	\$577.00
Facilities Charge, per kW month	\$3.35	\$3.55	\$3.66
Back-up Power Charge			
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	\$0.5710	\$0.6032	\$0.6248
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	\$0.2855	\$0.3016	\$0.3124
Excess Power, per kW month	\$39.84	\$42.26	\$43.59
<i>Transmission Voltage</i>			
Customer Charge per month	\$590.00	\$626.00	\$646.00
Facilities Charge, per kW month	\$1.90	\$2.02	\$2.08
Back-up Power Charge			
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	\$0.4485	\$0.4757	\$0.4906
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	\$0.2243	\$0.2379	\$0.2453
Excess Power, per kW month	\$38.36	\$40.69	\$41.97
<b>Rate No. 77 - Security Lighting, 08THIK0077</b>			
20,000 Mercury Vapor	\$17.775	\$17.7751	\$17.7751
	1		
<b>Lighting Contract - Post Top Lighting - 08PTLD000N/08PTLD000R</b>			
Energy Only Res	\$2.18	\$2.18	\$2.18
Energy Only Non-Res	\$2.1858	\$2.1858	\$2.1858

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No. 1- Residential Service</b>					
Total Customer	8,214,897				
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	8,074,116	\$4.00	\$32,296,464	\$5.00	\$40,370,580
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	7,581	\$8.00	\$60,648	\$10.00	\$75,810
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	1,248,801,465	8.4004 ¢	\$104,904,318	8.5684 ¢	\$107,002,305
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	1,034,266,177	10.3481 ¢	\$107,026,898	11.1759 ¢	\$115,588,554
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	584,936,494	12.8709 ¢	\$75,286,591	13.9914 ¢	\$81,840,805
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	3,463,390,447	8.7035 ¢	\$301,436,188		
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	1,745,473,822	8.7035 ¢		8.5684 ¢	\$149,559,179
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	1,717,916,625	8.7035 ¢		9.5739 ¢	\$164,471,620
Minimum 1 Phase	133,006	\$7.00	\$931,042	\$7.00	\$931,042
Minimum 3 Phase	194	\$14.00	\$2,714	\$14.00	\$2,714
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0	\$84.00	\$0
kWh in Minimum	1,397,932				
kWh in Minimum - Summer	619,354				
kWh in Minimum - Winter	778,578				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,332,792,515</b>		<b>\$621,944,863</b>		<b>\$659,842,609</b>
<b>Schedule No. 3- Residential Service</b>					
Total Customer	420,054				
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	418,089	\$4.00	\$1,672,356	\$5.00	\$2,090,445
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	240	\$8.00	\$1,920	\$10.00	\$2,400
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	69,051,504	8.4004 ¢	\$5,800,603	8.5684 ¢	\$5,916,609
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	45,544,092	10.3481 ¢	\$4,712,948	11.1759 ¢	\$5,089,962
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	14,206,867	12.8709 ¢	\$1,828,552	13.9914 ¢	\$1,987,740
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	157,368,786	8.7035 ¢	\$13,696,592		
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	88,771,226	8.7035 ¢		8.5684 ¢	\$7,606,274
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	68,597,560	8.7035 ¢		9.5739 ¢	\$6,567,462
Minimum 1 Phase	1,725	\$7.00	\$12,073	\$7.00	\$12,073
Minimum 3 Phase	0	\$14.00	\$0	\$14.00	\$0
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0	\$84.00	\$0
kWh in Minimum	20,531				
kWh in Minimum - Summer	8,862				
kWh in Minimum - Winter	11,669				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>286,191,780</b>		<b>\$27,725,044</b>		<b>\$29,272,965</b>



**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No. 2 - Residential Service Optional Time-of-Day</b>					
Total Customer	4,325				
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	4,240	\$4.00	\$16,960	\$5.00	\$21,200
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	0	\$8.00	\$0	\$10.00	\$0
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	285,071	4.1300 ¢	\$11,773	4.2415 ¢	\$12,091
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	1,033,062	(1.5487) ¢	(\$15,999)	(1.5905) ¢	(\$16,431)
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	682,832	8.4004 ¢	\$57,361	8.5684 ¢	\$58,508
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	470,837	10.3481 ¢	\$48,723	11.1759 ¢	\$52,620
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	199,280	12.8709 ¢	\$25,649	13.9914 ¢	\$27,882
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,906,444	8.7035 ¢	\$165,927		
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	941,736	8.7035 ¢		8.5684 ¢	\$80,692
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	964,708	8.7035 ¢		9.5739 ¢	\$92,360
Minimum 1 Phase	85	\$7.00	\$598	\$7.00	\$598
Minimum 3 Phase	0	\$14.00	\$0	\$14.00	\$0
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0	\$84.00	\$0
kWh in Minimum	582				
kWh in Minimum - Summer	236				
kWh in Minimum - Winter	346				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,259,975</b>		<b>\$310,992</b>		<b>\$329,520</b>
<b>Schedule No. 6 - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	161,759	\$50.00	\$8,087,950	\$53.00	\$8,573,227
All kW (May - Sept)	7,236,066	\$16.84	\$121,855,351	\$17.69	\$128,006,008
All kW (Oct - Apr)	8,823,903	\$13.52	\$119,299,169	\$14.20	\$125,299,423
Voltage Discount	612,787	(\$0.87)	(\$533,125)	(\$0.91)	(\$557,636)
All kWh	5,746,434,279				
kWh (May - Sept)	2,584,270,137	3.5439 ¢	\$91,583,949	3.7230 ¢	\$96,212,377
kWh (Oct - Apr)	3,162,164,142	3.2659 ¢	\$103,273,119	3.4321 ¢	\$108,528,636
Seasonal Service	0	\$600.00	\$0	\$636.00	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,746,434,279</b>		<b>\$443,566,413</b>		<b>\$466,062,035</b>
<b>Schedule No. 6B - Demand Time-of-Day Option - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	384	\$50.00	\$19,200	\$53.00	\$20,352
All On-peak kW (May - Sept)	28,701	\$16.84	\$483,325	\$17.69	\$507,721
All On-peak kW (Oct - Apr)	32,100	\$13.52	\$433,992	\$14.20	\$455,820
Voltage Discount	0	(\$0.87)	\$0	(\$0.91)	\$0
All kWh	21,133,170				
kWh (May-Sept)	11,014,981	3.5439 ¢	\$390,360	3.7230 ¢	\$410,088
kWh (Oct-Apr)	10,118,189	3.2659 ¢	\$330,450	3.4321 ¢	\$347,266
Seasonal Service	0	\$600.00	\$0	\$636.00	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,133,170</b>		<b>\$1,657,327</b>		<b>\$1,741,247</b>
<b>Schedule No. 6A - Energy Time-of-Day Option - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	28,731	\$50.00	\$1,436,550	\$53.00	\$1,522,743
Facilities kW (May - Sept)	861,704	\$5.96	\$5,135,756	\$6.26	\$5,394,267

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
Facilities kW (Oct - Apr)	1,039,237	\$5.00	\$5,196,185	\$5.25	\$5,455,994
Voltage Discount	32,411	(\$0.56)	(\$18,150)	(\$0.59)	(\$19,122)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	57,731,948	10.9051 ¢	\$6,295,727	11.4530 ¢	\$6,612,040
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	58,399,436	3.2832 ¢	\$1,917,370	3.4482 ¢	\$2,013,729
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	85,611,702	9.1155 ¢	\$7,803,935	9.5735 ¢	\$8,196,036
Off-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	75,991,996	2.7525 ¢	\$2,091,680	2.8920 ¢	\$2,197,689
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>277,735,082</b>		<b>\$29,859,053</b>		<b>\$31,373,376</b>

**Schedule No. 7 - Security Area Lighting**

*MERCURY VAPOR LAMPS*

4,000 Lumen Energy Only	23	\$5.68	\$131	\$5.68	\$131.00
7,000 Lumen	44,936	\$16.38	\$736,052	\$16.38	\$736,052
7,000 Lumen Energy Only	265	\$8.05	\$2,133	\$8.05	\$2,133
20,000 Lumen	11,546	\$26.78	\$309,202	\$26.78	\$309,202

*SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS*

5,600 Lumen New Pole	3,488	\$14.60	\$50,925	\$14.60	\$50,925
5,600 Lumen No New Pole	1,747	\$12.23	\$21,366	\$12.23	\$21,366
9,500 Lumen New Pole	23,053	\$15.47	\$356,630	\$15.47	\$356,630
9,500 Lumen No New Pole	22,349	\$13.31	\$297,465	\$13.31	\$297,465
16,000 Lumen New Pole	2,610	\$19.46	\$50,791	\$19.46	\$50,791
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	2,641	\$17.13	\$45,240	\$17.13	\$45,240
22,000 Lumen	118	\$21.07	\$2,486	\$21.07	\$2,486
27,500 Lumen New Pole	3,232	\$23.51	\$75,984	\$23.51	\$75,984
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	3,175	\$21.23	\$67,405	\$21.23	\$67,405
50,000 Lumen New Pole	1,165	\$28.30	\$32,970	\$28.30	\$32,970
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	1,834	\$25.99	\$47,666	\$25.99	\$47,666

*SODIUM VAPOR FLOOD LAMPS*

16,000 Lumen New Pole	4,676	\$19.46	\$90,995	\$19.46	\$90,995
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	5,069	\$17.13	\$86,832	\$17.13	\$86,832
27,500 Lumen New Pole	1,127	\$23.51	\$26,496	\$23.51	\$26,496
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	1,609	\$21.23	\$34,159	\$21.23	\$34,159
50,000 Lumen New Pole	9,901	\$28.30	\$280,198	\$28.30	\$280,198
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	11,569	\$25.99	\$300,678	\$25.99	\$300,678

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<b>METAL HALIDE LAMPS</b>					
12,000 Lumen New Pole	0	\$29.40	\$0	\$29.40	\$0
12,000 Lumen No New Pole	242	\$21.79	\$5,273	\$21.79	\$5,273
19,500 Lumen New Pole	104	\$34.34	\$3,571	\$34.34	\$3,571
19,500 Lumen No New Pole	92	\$27.43	\$2,524	\$27.43	\$2,524
32,000 Lumen New Pole	415	\$36.69	\$15,226	\$36.69	\$15,226
32,000 Lumen No New Pole	535	\$29.72	\$15,900	\$29.72	\$15,900
107,000 Lumen New Pole	23	\$57.58	\$1,324	\$57.58	\$1,324
107,000 Lumen No New Pole	104	\$49.10	\$5,106	\$49.10	\$5,106
Subtotal	157,648		\$2,964,728		\$2,964,728
kWh Included	12,321,574				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Customers	7,865				
Total (kWh)	12,321,574		\$2,964,728		\$2,964,728
<b>Schedule No. 8 – Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	3,565	\$62.00	\$221,030	\$66.00	\$235,290
Facilities kW	4,772,324	\$4.22	\$20,139,207	\$4.48	\$21,380,012
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	1,975,920	\$13.81	\$27,287,455	\$14.65	\$28,947,228
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	2,667,179	\$9.94	\$26,511,759	\$10.54	\$28,112,067
Voltage Discount	1,901,244	(\$1.01)	(\$1,920,256)	(\$1.07)	(\$2,034,331)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	250,201,729	4.4812 ¢	\$11,212,040	4.7533 ¢	\$11,892,839
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	596,020,623	3.5078 ¢	\$20,907,211	3.7208 ¢	\$22,176,735
Off-Peak kWh	1,230,693,339	3.0227 ¢	\$37,200,168	3.2050 ¢	\$39,443,722
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	2,076,915,691		\$141,558,614		\$150,153,562
<b>Schedule No. 9 – Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	1,710	\$226.00	\$386,460	\$240.00	\$410,400
Facilities kW	8,310,024	\$1.94	\$16,121,447	\$2.06	\$17,118,649
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	3,430,491	\$12.18	\$41,783,380	\$12.92	\$44,321,944
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	4,733,270	\$8.26	\$39,096,810	\$8.76	\$41,463,445
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	471,006,782	4.0588 ¢	\$19,117,223	4.3052 ¢	\$20,277,784
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,240,617,545	3.052 ¢	\$37,863,647	3.2373 ¢	\$40,162,512
Off-Peak kWh	2,826,442,915	2.5488 ¢	\$72,040,377	2.7033 ¢	\$76,407,231
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	4,538,067,242		\$226,409,344		\$240,161,965
<b>Schedule No. 9A - Energy TOD - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	108	\$226.00	\$24,408	\$240.00	\$25,920
Facilities Charge per kW	247,208	\$1.94	\$479,584	\$2.06	\$509,248
On-Peak kWh	24,112,579	7.4985 ¢	\$1,808,082	7.9520 ¢	\$1,917,432
Off-Peak kWh	18,605,127	3.2236 ¢	\$599,755	3.4185 ¢	\$636,016
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	42,717,706		\$2,911,829		\$3,088,616
<b>Schedule No. 10 - Irrigation</b>					
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	6	\$110.00	\$660	\$117.00	\$702

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	2,641	\$34.00	\$89,794	\$36.00	\$95,076
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	11,758	\$13.00	\$152,854	\$14.00	\$164,612
All On-Season kW	374,044	\$6.44	\$2,408,843	\$6.83	\$2,554,721
Voltage Discount	4,469	(\$1.80)	(\$8,044)	(\$1.91)	(\$8,536)
First 30,000 kWh	79,033,048	6.4140 ¢	\$5,069,180	6.8016 ¢	\$5,375,512
All add'l kWh	49,786,304	4.7409 ¢	\$2,360,319	5.0274 ¢	\$2,502,957
Total On Season	<u>128,819,352</u>		<u>\$10,073,606</u>		<u>\$10,685,044</u>
Post Season					
Customers	5,098	\$13.00	\$66,274	\$14.00	\$71,372
kWh	42,136,180	4.3934 ¢	\$1,851,211	4.6589 ¢	\$1,963,082
Total Post Season	<u>42,136,180</u>		<u>\$1,917,485</u>		<u>\$2,034,454</u>
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
TOTAL RATE 10	<u>170,955,532</u>		<u>\$11,991,091</u>		<u>\$12,719,498</u>

**Schedule No. 10-TOD**

Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	3	\$110.00	\$330	\$117.00	\$351
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	260	\$34.00	\$8,840	\$36.00	\$9,360
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	1,144	\$13.00	\$14,872	\$14.00	\$16,016
All On-Season kW	46,123	\$6.44	\$297,032	\$6.83	\$315,020
Voltage Discount kW	2,564	(\$1.80)	(\$4,615)	(\$1.91)	(\$4,897)
On-Peak kWh	2,538,780	12.6719 ¢	\$321,712	13.4376 ¢	\$341,151
Off-Peak kWh	9,267,796	3.6644 ¢	\$339,609	3.8815 ¢	\$359,730
Total On Season	<u>11,806,576</u>		<u>\$977,780</u>		<u>\$1,036,731</u>
Post Season					
Customers	551	\$13.00	\$7,163	\$14.00	\$7,714
kWh	4,517,896	4.3934 ¢	\$198,489	4.6589 ¢	\$210,484
Total Post Season	<u>4,517,896</u>		<u>\$205,652</u>		<u>\$218,198</u>
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
TOTAL RATE 10-TOD	<u>16,324,472</u>		<u>\$1,183,432</u>		<u>\$1,254,929</u>

**Schedule No. 11 - Street Lighting - Company-Owned System**

*Sodium Vapor Lamps (HPS)*

5,600 Lumen - Functional	40,532	\$11.80	\$478,278	\$11.80	\$478,278
9,500 Lumen - Functional	220,174	\$12.78	\$2,813,824	\$12.78	\$2,813,824
9,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	136	\$11.50	\$1,564	\$11.50	\$1,564
9,500 Lumen - S1	301	\$46.54	\$14,009	\$46.54	\$14,009
9,500 Lumen - S2	170	\$38.05	\$6,469	\$38.05	\$6,469
16,000 Lumen - Functional	19,524	\$16.94	\$330,737	\$16.94	\$330,737
16,000 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	84	\$15.25	\$1,281	\$15.25	\$1,281
16,000 Lumen - S1	1,223	\$47.83	\$58,496	\$47.83	\$58,496
16,000 Lumen - S2	742	\$39.34	\$29,190	\$39.34	\$29,190
27,500 Lumen - Functional	26,455	\$21.14	\$559,259	\$21.14	\$559,259
27,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	42	\$19.03	\$799	\$19.03	\$799
27,500 Lumen - S1	1,173	\$51.48	\$60,386	\$51.48	\$60,386

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
27,500 Lumen - S2	0	\$43.01	\$0	\$43.01	\$0
50,000 Lumen - Functional	11,790	\$26.02	\$306,776	\$26.02	\$306,776
125,000 Lumen	0	\$51.54	\$0	\$51.54	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps (MH)</i>					
9,000 Lumen - S1	42	\$48.74	\$2,047	\$48.74	\$2,047
9,000 Lumen - S2	513	\$40.27	\$20,659	\$40.27	\$20,659
12,000 Lumen - Functional	117	\$20.13	\$2,355	\$20.13	\$2,355
12,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$50.65	\$0	\$50.65	\$0
12,000 Lumen - S2	1,540	\$42.17	\$64,942	\$42.17	\$64,942
19,500 Lumen - Functional	337	\$22.13	\$7,458	\$22.13	\$7,458
19,500 Lumen - S1	84	\$53.69	\$4,510	\$53.69	\$4,510
19,500 Lumen - S2	373	\$45.20	\$16,860	\$45.20	\$16,860
32,000 Lumen - Functional	10	\$25.78	\$258	\$25.78	\$258
32,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$55.33	\$0	\$55.33	\$0
32,000 Lumen - S2	0	\$46.86	\$0	\$46.86	\$0
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps (No New Service)</i>					
<i>(MV)</i>					
4,000 Lumen	8,417	\$11.09	\$93,345	\$11.09	\$93,345
7,000 Lumen	10,540	\$13.83	\$145,768	\$13.83	\$145,768
10,000 Lumen	281	\$19.40	\$5,451	\$19.40	\$5,451
10,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$17.46	\$0	\$17.46	\$0
20,000 Lumen	1,685	\$24.43	\$41,165	\$24.43	\$41,165
<i>Incandescent Lamps (No New Service)</i>					
<i>(INC)</i>					
500 Lumen	0	\$11.99	\$0	\$11.99	\$0
600 Lumen	126	\$4.24	\$534	\$4.24	\$534
2,500 Lumen	21	\$17.11	\$359	\$17.11	\$359
4,000 Lumen	332	\$20.43	\$6,783	\$20.43	\$6,783
6,000 Lumen	550	\$23.82	\$13,101	\$23.82	\$13,101
10,000 Lumen	21	\$31.47	\$661	\$31.47	\$661
<i>Fluorescent Lamps (No New Service)</i>					
<i>(FLOUR)</i>					
21,000 Lumen	10	\$27.85	\$279	\$27.85	\$279
<i>Special Service (No New Service)</i>					
50,000 Lumen - Flood	42	\$39.04	\$1,640	\$39.04	\$1,640
Subtotal	347,387		\$5,089,243		\$5,089,243
kWh Included	17,077,687				
Customers	834				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	17,077,687		\$5,089,243		\$5,089,243

**Schedule No. 12 - Street Lighting - Customer-Owned System**

**1. Energy Only, No Maintenance**

*High Pressures Sodium Vapor Lamps*

5,600 Lumen	112,356	\$1.83	\$205,611	\$1.83	\$205,611
9,500 Lumen	141,986	\$2.50	\$354,965	\$2.50	\$354,965
16,000 Lumen	126,742	\$3.66	\$463,876	\$3.66	\$463,876
27,500 Lumen	54,240	\$6.52	\$353,645	\$6.52	\$353,645
50,000 Lumen	74,391	\$10.02	\$745,398	\$10.02	\$745,398

*Metal Halide Lamps*

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
9,000 Lumen	6,486	\$2.55	\$16,539	\$2.55	\$16,539
12,000 Lumen	18,071	\$4.46	\$80,597	\$4.46	\$80,597
19,500 Lumen	29,386	\$6.17	\$181,312	\$6.17	\$181,312
32,000 Lumen	28,769	\$9.77	\$281,073	\$9.77	\$281,073
<i>Non-listed Luminaries kWh</i>	8,033,000	6.5279 ¢	\$524,386	6.5279 ¢	\$524,386
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	48,815,242		\$3,207,402		\$3,207,402
<i>Unbilled</i>					
<i>Total</i>	48,815,242		\$3,207,402		\$3,207,402
<i>Customer</i>	445				
<b>2a - Partial Maintenance (No New Service)</b>					
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>					
2,500 Lumen or Less	78	\$8.96	\$699	\$8.96	\$699
2,500 Lumen or Less @ 85%	0	\$7.62	\$0	\$7.62	\$0
4,000 Lumen	35	\$12.19	\$427	\$12.19	\$427
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>					
4,000 Lumen	48	\$4.64	\$223	\$4.64	\$223
7,000 Lumen	563	\$7.00	\$3,941	\$7.00	\$3,941
10,000 Lumen	0	\$9.08	\$0	\$9.08	\$0
20,000 Lumen	82	\$13.33	\$1,093	\$13.33	\$1,093
54,000 Lumen	0	\$28.38	\$0	\$28.38	\$0
<i>High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>					
5,600 Lumen	29,589	\$4.08	\$120,723	\$4.08	\$120,723
9,500 Lumen	12,331	\$5.37	\$66,217	\$5.37	\$66,217
9,500 Lumen @ 85%	10	\$4.56	\$46	\$4.56	\$46
9,500 Lumen - Decorative	7,256	\$6.96	\$50,502	\$6.96	\$50,502
16,000 Lumen	3,205	\$6.52	\$20,897	\$6.52	\$20,897
16,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$5.54	\$0	\$5.54	\$0
16,000 Lumen - Decorative	954	\$8.27	\$7,890	\$8.27	\$7,890
22,000 Lumen	0	\$8.26	\$0	\$8.26	\$0
27,500 Lumen	5,284	\$9.59	\$50,674	\$9.59	\$50,674
27,500 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$8.16	\$0	\$8.16	\$0
27,500 Lumen - Decorative	107	\$11.93	\$1,277	\$11.93	\$1,277
50,000 Lumen	9,005	\$14.00	\$126,070	\$14.00	\$126,070
50,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$11.89	\$0	\$11.89	\$0
50,000 Lumen - Decorative	156	\$15.56	\$2,427	\$15.56	\$2,427
125,000 Lumen	0	\$26.38	\$0	\$26.38	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>					
9,000 Lumen - Decorative	458	\$9.19	\$4,209	\$9.19	\$4,209
12,000 Lumen	943	\$13.57	\$12,797	\$13.57	\$12,797
12,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$11.54	\$0	\$11.54	\$0
12,000 Lumen - Decorative	642	\$11.09	\$7,120	\$11.09	\$7,120
19,500 Lumen	575	\$13.71	\$7,883	\$13.71	\$7,883
19,500 Lumen - Decorative	4,421	\$14.13	\$62,469	\$14.13	\$62,469
32,000 Lumen	397	\$14.58	\$5,788	\$14.58	\$5,788
32,000 Lumen - Decorative	471	\$15.79	\$7,437	\$15.79	\$7,437

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<i>Fluorescent Lamps</i>					
1,000 Lumen	3	\$3.75	\$11	\$3.75	\$11
21,800 Lumen	68	\$13.92	\$947	\$13.92	\$947
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	4,499,863		\$561,767		\$561,767
<i>Unbilled</i>					
<i>Total</i>	4,499,863		\$561,767		\$561,767
<i>Customer</i>	235				
<b>2b - Full Maintenance (No New Service)</b>					
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>					
6,000 Lumen	32	\$17.73	\$567	\$17.73	\$567
10,000 Lumen	11	\$23.40	\$257	\$23.40	\$257
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>					
7,000 Lumen	42	\$8.03	\$337	\$8.03	\$337
20,000 Lumen	0	\$15.30	\$0	\$15.30	\$0
54,000 Lumen	85	\$32.48	\$2,761	\$32.48	\$2,761
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>					
5,600 Lumen	5,268	\$4.68	\$24,654	\$4.68	\$24,654
9,500 Lumen	16,472	\$6.16	\$101,468	\$6.16	\$101,468
9,500 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$5.55	\$0	\$5.55	\$0
16,000 Lumen	5,387	\$7.47	\$40,241	\$7.47	\$40,241
16,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$6.72	\$0	\$6.72	\$0
22,000 Lumen	0	\$9.44	\$0	\$9.44	\$0
27,500 Lumen	3,409	\$10.99	\$37,465	\$10.99	\$37,465
50,000 Lumen	2,395	\$16.02	\$38,368	\$16.02	\$38,368
50,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$14.42	\$0	\$14.42	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>					
12,000 Lumen	1,064	\$15.58	\$16,577	\$15.58	\$16,577
19,500 Lumen	628	\$15.73	\$9,878	\$15.73	\$9,878
32,000 Lumen	853	\$16.72	\$14,262	\$16.72	\$14,262
107,000 Lumen	85	\$33.05	\$2,809	\$33.05	\$2,809
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	2,114,324		\$289,644		\$289,644
<i>Unbilled</i>					
<i>Total</i>	2,114,324		\$289,644		\$289,644
<i>Customer</i>	102				
kWh Street Lighting	55,429,429		\$4,058,813		\$4,058,813
Customers	782				
Unbilled			\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>55,429,429</b>		<b>\$4,058,813</b>		<b>\$4,058,813</b>

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule 15.1 - Metered Outdoor Nighttime Lighting</b>					
Annual Facility Charge	20,558	\$11.00	\$226,138	\$11.00	\$226,138
Annual Customer Charge	531	\$72.50	\$38,498	\$72.50	\$38,498
Annual Minimum Charge	0	\$127.50	\$0	\$127.50	\$0
Monthly Customer Charge	6,467	\$6.20	\$40,095	\$6.20	\$40,095
All kWh	15,717,486	5.3437 ¢	\$839,895	5.3437 ¢	\$839,895
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	<u>15,717,486</u>		<u>\$1,144,626</u>		<u>\$1,144,626</u>
<b>Schedule 15.2 - Traffic Signal Systems</b>					
Customer Charge	29,744	\$5.00	\$148,720	\$5.50	\$163,592
All kWh	5,662,763	7.7025 ¢	\$436,174	8.0670 ¢	\$456,815
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	<u>5,662,763</u>		<u>\$584,894</u>		<u>\$620,407</u>
<b>Schedule No. 21 - Electric Furnace Operations - Limited Service – Industrial</b>					
<i>Primary Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge	36	\$110.00	\$3,960	\$117.00	\$4,212
Charge per kW (Facilities)	9,527	\$3.75	\$35,726	\$3.98	\$37,917
First 100,000 kWh	491,863	5.9694 ¢	\$29,361	6.3315 ¢	\$31,142
All add'l kWh	0	5.0123 ¢	\$0	5.3163 ¢	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Subtotal	<u>491,863</u>		<u>\$69,047</u>		<u>\$73,271</u>
<i>44KV or Higher</i>					
Customer Charge	24	\$110.00	\$2,640	\$117.00	\$2,808
Charge per kW (Facilities)	38,097	\$3.75	\$142,864	\$3.98	\$151,626
First 100,000 kWh	2,267,423	4.6964 ¢	\$106,487	4.9813 ¢	\$112,947
All add'l kWh	528,653	4.1149 ¢	\$21,754	4.3418 ¢	\$22,953
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Subtotal	<u>2,796,076</u>		<u>\$273,745</u>		<u>\$290,334</u>
Total	<u>3,287,939</u>		<u>\$342,792</u>		<u>\$363,605</u>
<b>Schedule No. 23 - Distribution Voltage - Small Customer - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	936,624	\$9.00	\$8,429,616	\$10.00	\$9,366,240
kW over 15 (May - Sept)	375,308	\$8.00	\$3,002,464	\$8.35	\$3,133,822
kW over 15 (Oct - Apr)	363,172	\$8.05	\$2,923,535	\$8.40	\$3,050,645
Voltage Discount	9,858	(\$0.45)	(\$4,436)	(\$0.47)	(\$4,633)
First 1,500 kWh (May - Sept)	307,226,245	10.8148 ¢	\$33,225,904	11.3180 ¢	\$34,771,866
All Add'l kWh (May - Sept)	310,947,215	6.0632 ¢	\$18,853,352	6.3453 ¢	\$19,730,534
First 1,500 kWh (Oct - Apr)	429,169,061	9.9544 ¢	\$42,721,205	10.4175 ¢	\$44,708,687
All Add'l kWh (Oct - Apr)	371,983,629	5.5772 ¢	\$20,746,271	5.8409 ¢	\$21,727,192
Seasonal Service	0	\$108.00	\$0	\$120.00	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	<u>1,419,326,150</u>		<u>\$129,897,911</u>		<u>\$136,484,353</u>



**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No.31 - Back-Up, Maintenance, and Supplementary Power - Composite</b>					
<i>Secondary Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge per month	0	\$116.00	\$0	\$123.00	\$0
Facilities Charge, per kW month	0	\$4.26	\$0	\$4.52	\$0
Back-up Power Charge					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.5868	\$0	\$0.6224	\$0
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.2934	\$0	\$0.3112	\$0
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$55.28	\$0	\$58.64	\$0
<i>Primary Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge per month	35	\$527.00	\$18,213	\$559.00	\$19,319
Facilities Charge, per kW month	166,568	\$3.35	\$558,003	\$3.55	\$591,316
Back-up Power Charge					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	1,073,035	\$0.5710	\$612,703	\$0.6032	\$647,255
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	79,254	\$0.2855	\$22,627	\$0.3016	\$23,903
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$39.84	\$0	\$42.26	\$0
<i>Transmission Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge per month	13	\$590.00	\$7,930	\$626.00	\$8,413
Facilities Charge, per kW month	71,234	\$1.90	\$135,345	\$2.02	\$143,893
Back-up Power Charge					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	90,487	\$0.4485	\$40,583	\$0.4757	\$43,045
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	6,354	\$0.2243	\$1,425	\$0.2379	\$1,512
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$38.36	\$0	\$40.69	\$0
Subtotal			\$1,396,829		\$1,478,656
<i>Supplemental billed at Schedule 6/8/9 rate</i>					
<b>Schedule 8</b>					
Facilities kW	58,046	\$4.22	\$244,954	\$4.48	\$260,046
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$13.81	\$0	\$14.65	\$0
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	58,046	\$9.94	\$576,977	\$10.54	\$611,805
Voltage Discount	58,046	(\$1.01)	(\$58,626)	(\$1.07)	(\$62,109)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	4,826,571	4.4812 ¢	\$216,288	4.7533 ¢	\$229,421
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	17,172,547	3.5078 ¢	\$602,379	3.7208 ¢	\$638,956
Off-Peak kWh	22,120,759	3.0227 ¢	\$668,644	3.2050 ¢	\$708,970
<b>Schedule 9</b>					
Facilities kW	52,999	\$1.94	\$102,818	\$2.06	\$109,178
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	52,999	\$12.18	\$645,528	\$12.92	\$684,747
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	0	\$8.26	\$0	\$8.76	\$0
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	4,903,975	4.0588 ¢	\$199,043	4.3052 ¢	\$211,126
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	213,357	3.0520 ¢	\$6,512	3.2373 ¢	\$6,907
Off-Peak kWh	10,541,630	2.5488 ¢	\$268,685	2.7033 ¢	\$284,972
Subtotal			\$3,473,202		\$3,684,019
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total (Aggregated)	59,778,839		\$4,870,031		\$5,162,675

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Present Price	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	Price	Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars
<b>Contract 1</b>					
Customer Charge	12		\$2,413		
kW High Load Hours	879,806		\$4,202,718		
kW Low Load Hours	1,294,638		\$5,078,880		
kWh High Load Hours	231,454,981		\$7,456,076		
kWh Low Load Hours	312,515,610		\$7,484,749		
Total	<u>543,970,591</u>		<u>\$24,224,835</u>		<u>\$25,134,441</u>
<b>Contract 2</b>					
Customer Charge	12				
Interruptible kWh	717,800,152		\$26,946,218		
Total	<u>717,800,152</u>		<u>\$26,946,218</u>		<u>\$28,634,610</u>
<b>Contract 3 - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	12	\$590.00	\$7,080	\$626.00	\$7,512
Facilities Charge per kW - Back-Up	693,457	\$1.90	\$1,317,568	\$2.02	\$1,400,783
kW Back-Up					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	6,274,249	\$0.4485	\$2,814,001	\$0.4757	\$2,984,660
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.2243	\$0	\$0.2379	\$0
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$38.36	\$0	\$40.69	\$0
kWh Supplemental					
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	334,617	\$12.18	\$4,075,635	\$12.92	\$4,323,252
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	1,495,903	\$8.26	\$12,356,159	\$8.76	\$13,104,110
kWh Supplemental					
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	83,697,066	4.0588 ¢	\$3,397,097	4.3052 ¢	\$3,603,326
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	449,581,129	3.0520 ¢	\$13,721,216	3.2373 ¢	\$14,554,290
Off-Peak kWh	838,320,905	2.5488 ¢	\$21,367,123	2.7033 ¢	\$22,662,329
Total	<u>1,371,599,100</u>		<u>\$59,055,879</u>		<u>\$62,640,262</u>
<b>Rate No. 77 - Security Lighting, 08THIK0077</b>					
Customer	1				
20,000 Mercury Vapor	972	\$17.7751	\$17,277	\$17.7751	\$17,277
All kWh	135,421				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	<u>135,421</u>		<u>\$17,277</u>		<u>\$17,277</u>
<b>Lighting Contract - Post Top Lighting - 08PTLD000N/08PTLD000R</b>					
Energy Only Res	62	\$2.18	\$135	\$2.18	\$135
Energy Only Non-Res	213	\$2.1858	\$466	\$2.1858	\$466
Subtotal	<u>275</u>		<u>\$601</u>		<u>\$601</u>
KWH Included	<u>7,972</u>				
Customers	5				
Unbilled	0				\$0
Total	<u>7,972</u>		<u>\$601</u>		<u>\$601</u>

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	<u>Forecasted Units</u>	<u>Present Price</u>	<u>Forecasted Revenue Dollars</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Price</u>	<u>Step 1 - 10/12/2012 Revenue Dollars</u>
<b>Annual Guarantee Adjustment</b>					
Residential			\$36,561		\$36,561
Commercial			\$3,441,282		\$3,441,282
Industrial			\$842,691		\$842,691
Irrigation			\$206,453		\$206,453
Public Street & Highway Lighting			\$4,662		\$4,662
Other Sales Public Authorities			\$0		\$0
Total AGA			\$4,531,648		\$4,531,648
<b>TOTAL - ALL CLASSES</b>	23,734,642,547		\$1,772,847,498		\$1,872,847,608

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No. 1- Residential Service</b>				
Total Customer	8,214,897			
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	8,074,116	\$5.00	\$40,370,580	\$5.00 \$40,370,580
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	7,581	\$10.00	\$75,810	\$10.00 \$75,810
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	1,248,801,465	8.5684 ¢	\$107,002,305	8.8498 ¢ \$110,516,432
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	1,034,266,177	11.1759 ¢	\$115,588,554	11.5429 ¢ \$119,384,311
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	584,936,494	13.9914 ¢	\$81,840,805	14.4508 ¢ \$84,528,003
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	3,463,390,447			
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>1,745,473,822</i>	<i>8.5684 ¢</i>	<i>\$149,559,179</i>	<i>8.8498 ¢ \$154,470,942</i>
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>1,717,916,625</i>	<i>9.5739 ¢</i>	<i>\$164,471,620</i>	<i>9.8913 ¢ \$169,924,287</i>
Minimum 1 Phase	133,006	\$7.00	\$931,042	\$7.00 \$931,042
Minimum 3 Phase	194	\$14.00	\$2,714	\$14.00 \$2,714
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0	\$84.00 \$0
kWh in Minimum	1,397,932			
kWh in Minimum - Summer	619,354			
kWh in Minimum - Winter	778,578			
Unbilled	0		\$0	\$0
Total	6,332,792,515		\$659,842,609	\$680,204,121

<b>Schedule No. 3- Residential Service</b>				
Total Customer	420,054			
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	418,089	\$5.00	\$2,090,445	\$5.00 \$2,090,445
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	240	\$10.00	\$2,400	\$10.00 \$2,400
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	69,051,504	8.5684 ¢	\$5,916,609	8.8498 ¢ \$6,110,920
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	45,544,092	11.1759 ¢	\$5,089,962	11.5429 ¢ \$5,257,109
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	14,206,867	13.9914 ¢	\$1,987,740	14.4508 ¢ \$2,053,006
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	157,368,786			
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>88,771,226</i>	<i>8.5684 ¢</i>	<i>\$7,606,274</i>	<i>8.8498 ¢ \$7,856,076</i>
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>68,597,560</i>	<i>9.5739 ¢</i>	<i>\$6,567,462</i>	<i>9.8913 ¢ \$6,785,190</i>
Minimum 1 Phase	1,725	\$7.00	\$12,073	\$7.00 \$12,073
Minimum 3 Phase	0	\$14.00	\$0	\$14.00 \$0
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0	\$84.00 \$0
kWh in Minimum	20,531			
kWh in Minimum - Summer	8,862			
kWh in Minimum - Winter	11,669			
Unbilled	0		\$0	\$0
Total	286,191,780		\$29,272,965	\$30,167,219

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No. 2 - Residential Service Optional Time-of-Day</b>				
Total Customer	4,325			
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	4,240	\$5.00	\$21,200	\$5.00 \$21,200
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	0	\$10.00	\$0	\$10.00 \$0
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	285,071	4.2415 ¢	\$12,091	4.3560 ¢ \$12,418
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	1,033,062	(1.5905) ¢	(\$16,431)	(1.6334) ¢ (\$16,874)
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	682,832	8.5684 ¢	\$58,508	8.8498 ¢ \$60,429
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	470,837	11.1759 ¢	\$52,620	11.5429 ¢ \$54,348
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	199,280	13.9914 ¢	\$27,882	14.4508 ¢ \$28,798
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,906,444			
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	941,736	8.5684 ¢	\$80,692	8.8498 ¢ \$83,342
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	964,708	9.5739 ¢	\$92,360	9.8913 ¢ \$95,422
Minimum 1 Phase	85	\$7.00	\$598	\$7.00 \$598
Minimum 3 Phase	0	\$14.00	\$0	\$14.00 \$0
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0	\$84.00 \$0
kWh in Minimum	582			
kWh in Minimum - Summer	236			
kWh in Minimum - Winter	346			
Unbilled	0		\$0	\$0
Total	3,259,975		\$329,520	\$339,681
<b>Schedule No. 6 - Composite</b>				
Customer Charge	161,759	\$53.00	\$8,573,227	\$54.00 \$8,734,986
All kW (May - Sept)	7,236,066	\$17.69	\$128,006,008	\$18.12 \$131,117,516
All kW (Oct - Apr)	8,823,903	\$14.20	\$125,299,423	\$14.54 \$128,299,550
Voltage Discount	612,787	(\$0.91)	(\$557,636)	(\$0.93) (\$569,892)
All kWh	5,746,434,279	0.0000		
kWh (May - Sept)	2,584,270,137	3.7230 ¢	\$96,212,377	3.8127 ¢ \$98,530,468
kWh (Oct - Apr)	3,162,164,142	3.4321 ¢	\$108,528,636	3.5143 ¢ \$111,127,934
Seasonal Service	0	\$636.00	\$0	\$648.00 \$0
Unbilled	0		\$0	\$0
Total	5,746,434,279		\$466,062,035	\$477,240,562
<b>Schedule No. 6B - Demand Time-of-Day Option - Composite</b>				
Customer Charge	384	\$53.00	\$20,352	\$54.00 \$20,736
All On-peak kW (May - Sept)	28,701	\$17.69	\$507,721	\$18.12 \$520,062
All On-peak kW (Oct - Apr)	32,100	\$14.20	\$455,820	\$14.54 \$466,734
Voltage Discount	0	(\$0.91)	\$0	(\$0.93) \$0
All kWh	21,133,170			
kWh (May-Sept)	11,014,981	3.7230 ¢	\$410,088	3.8127 ¢ \$419,968
kWh (Oct-Apr)	10,118,189	3.4321 ¢	\$347,266	3.5143 ¢ \$355,584
Seasonal Service	0	\$636.00	\$0	\$648.00 \$0
Unbilled	0		\$0	\$0
Total	21,133,170		\$1,741,247	\$1,783,084

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013		
	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	
<b>Schedule No. 6A - Energy Time-of-Day Option - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	28,731	\$53.00	\$1,522,743	\$54.00	\$1,551,474
Facilities kW (May - Sept)	861,704	\$6.26	\$5,394,267	\$6.41	\$5,523,523
Facilities kW (Oct - Apr)	1,039,237	\$5.25	\$5,455,994	\$5.38	\$5,591,095
Voltage Discount	32,411	(\$0.59)	(\$19,122)	(\$0.60)	(\$19,447)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	57,731,948	11.4530 ¢	\$6,612,040	11.7307 ¢	\$6,772,362
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	58,399,436	3.4482 ¢	\$2,013,729	3.5318 ¢	\$2,062,551
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	85,611,702	9.5735 ¢	\$8,196,036	9.8056 ¢	\$8,394,741
Off-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	75,991,996	2.8920 ¢	\$2,197,689	2.9603 ¢	\$2,249,591
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>277,735,082</b>		<b>\$31,373,376</b>		<b>\$32,125,890</b>

**Schedule No. 7 - Security Area Lighting**

*MERCURY VAPOR LAMPS*

4,000 Lumen Energy Only	23	\$5.68	\$131	\$5.68	\$131.00
7,000 Lumen	44,936	\$16.38	\$736,052	\$16.38	\$736,052
7,000 Lumen Energy Only	265	\$8.05	\$2,133	\$8.05	\$2,133
20,000 Lumen	11,546	\$26.78	\$309,202	\$26.78	\$309,202

*SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS*

5,600 Lumen New Pole	3,488	\$14.60	\$50,925	\$14.60	\$50,925
5,600 Lumen No New Pole	1,747	\$12.23	\$21,366	\$12.23	\$21,366
9,500 Lumen New Pole	23,053	\$15.47	\$356,630	\$15.47	\$356,630
9,500 Lumen No New Pole	22,349	\$13.31	\$297,465	\$13.31	\$297,465
16,000 Lumen New Pole	2,610	\$19.46	\$50,791	\$19.46	\$50,791
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	2,641	\$17.13	\$45,240	\$17.13	\$45,240
22,000 Lumen	118	\$21.07	\$2,486	\$21.07	\$2,486
27,500 Lumen New Pole	3,232	\$23.51	\$75,984	\$23.51	\$75,984
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	3,175	\$21.23	\$67,405	\$21.23	\$67,405
50,000 Lumen New Pole	1,165	\$28.30	\$32,970	\$28.30	\$32,970
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	1,834	\$25.99	\$47,666	\$25.99	\$47,666

*SODIUM VAPOR FLOOD LAMPS*

16,000 Lumen New Pole	4,676	\$19.46	\$90,995	\$19.46	\$90,995
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	5,069	\$17.13	\$86,832	\$17.13	\$86,832
27,500 Lumen New Pole	1,127	\$23.51	\$26,496	\$23.51	\$26,496
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	1,609	\$21.23	\$34,159	\$21.23	\$34,159
50,000 Lumen New Pole	9,901	\$28.30	\$280,198	\$28.30	\$280,198
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	11,569	\$25.99	\$300,678	\$25.99	\$300,678

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>METAL HALIDE LAMPS</b>					
12,000 Lumen New Pole	0	\$29.40	\$0	\$29.40	\$0
12,000 Lumen No New Pole	242	\$21.79	\$5,273	\$21.79	\$5,273
19,500 Lumen New Pole	104	\$34.34	\$3,571	\$34.34	\$3,571
19,500 Lumen No New Pole	92	\$27.43	\$2,524	\$27.43	\$2,524
32,000 Lumen New Pole	415	\$36.69	\$15,226	\$36.69	\$15,226
32,000 Lumen No New Pole	535	\$29.72	\$15,900	\$29.72	\$15,900
107,000 Lumen New Pole	23	\$57.58	\$1,324	\$57.58	\$1,324
107,000 Lumen No New Pole	104	\$49.10	\$5,106	\$49.10	\$5,106
Subtotal	157,648		\$2,964,728		\$2,964,728
kWh Included	12,321,574				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Customers	7,865				
Total (kWh)	12,321,574		\$2,964,728		\$2,964,728
<b>Schedule No. 8 - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	3,565	\$66.00	\$235,290	\$68.00	\$242,420
Facilities kW	4,772,324	\$4.48	\$21,380,012	\$4.62	\$22,048,137
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	1,975,920	\$14.65	\$28,947,228	\$15.10	\$29,836,392
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	2,667,179	\$10.54	\$28,112,067	\$10.87	\$28,992,236
Voltage Discount	1,901,244	(\$1.07)	(\$2,034,331)	(\$1.10)	(\$2,091,368)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	250,201,729	4.7533 ¢	\$11,892,839	4.8999 ¢	\$12,259,635
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	596,020,623	3.7208 ¢	\$22,176,735	3.8356 ¢	\$22,860,967
Off-Peak kWh	1,230,693,339	3.2050 ¢	\$39,443,722	3.3019 ¢	\$40,636,263
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	2,076,915,691		\$150,153,562		\$154,784,682
<b>Schedule No. 9 - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	1,710	\$240.00	\$410,400	\$247.00	\$422,370
Facilities kW	8,310,024	\$2.06	\$17,118,649	\$2.12	\$17,617,251
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	3,430,491	\$12.92	\$44,321,944	\$13.32	\$45,694,140
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	4,733,270	\$8.76	\$41,463,445	\$9.03	\$42,741,428
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	471,006,782	4.3052 ¢	\$20,277,784	4.4379 ¢	\$20,902,810
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,240,617,545	3.2373 ¢	\$40,162,512	3.3371 ¢	\$41,400,648
Off-Peak kWh	2,826,442,915	2.7033 ¢	\$76,407,231	2.7873 ¢	\$78,781,443
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	4,538,067,242		\$240,161,965		\$247,560,090
<b>Schedule No. 9A - Energy TOD - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	108	\$240.00	\$25,920	\$247.00	\$26,676
Facilities Charge per kW	247,208	\$2.06	\$509,248	\$2.12	\$524,081
On-Peak kWh	24,112,579	7.9520 ¢	\$1,917,432	8.2002 ¢	\$1,977,280
Off-Peak kWh	18,605,127	3.4185 ¢	\$636,016	3.5251 ¢	\$655,849
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	42,717,706		\$3,088,616		\$3,183,886

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No. 10 – Irrigation</b>					
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. – Primary	6	\$117.00	\$702	\$121.00	\$726
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. – Secondary	2,641	\$36.00	\$95,076	\$37.00	\$97,717
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	11,758	\$14.00	\$164,612	\$14.00	\$164,612
All On-Season kW	374,044	\$6.83	\$2,554,721	\$7.04	\$2,633,270
Voltage Discount	4,469	(\$1.91)	(\$8,536)	(\$1.97)	(\$8,804)
First 30,000 kWh	79,033,048	6.8016 ¢	\$5,375,512	7.0156 ¢	\$5,544,643
All add'l kWh	49,786,304	5.0274 ¢	\$2,502,957	5.1855 ¢	\$2,581,669
<b>Total On Season</b>	<b>128,819,352</b>		<b>\$10,685,044</b>		<b>\$11,013,833</b>
Post Season					
Customers	5,098	\$14.00	\$71,372	\$14.00	\$71,372
kWh	42,136,180	4.6589 ¢	\$1,963,082	4.8055 ¢	\$2,024,854
<b>Total Post Season</b>	<b>42,136,180</b>		<b>\$2,034,454</b>		<b>\$2,096,226</b>
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>TOTAL RATE 10</b>	<b>170,955,532</b>		<b>\$12,719,498</b>		<b>\$13,110,059</b>
<b>Schedule No. 10-TOD</b>					
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. – Primary	3	\$117.00	\$351	\$121.00	\$363
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. – Secondary	260	\$36.00	\$9,360	\$37.00	\$9,620
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	1,144	\$14.00	\$16,016	\$14.00	\$16,016
All On-Season kW	46,123	\$6.83	\$315,020	\$7.04	\$324,706
Voltage Discount kW	2,564	(\$1.91)	(\$4,897)	(\$1.97)	(\$5,051)
On-Peak kWh	2,538,780	13.4376 ¢	\$341,151	13.8603 ¢	\$351,883
Off-Peak kWh	9,267,796	3.8815 ¢	\$359,730	4.0252 ¢	\$373,047
<b>Total On Season</b>	<b>11,806,576</b>		<b>\$1,036,731</b>		<b>\$1,070,584</b>
Post Season					
Customers	551	\$14.00	\$7,714	\$14.00	\$7,714
kWh	4,517,896	4.6589 ¢	\$210,484	4.8055 ¢	\$217,107
<b>Total Post Season</b>	<b>4,517,896</b>		<b>\$218,198</b>		<b>\$224,821</b>
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>TOTAL RATE 10-TOD</b>	<b>16,324,472</b>		<b>\$1,254,929</b>		<b>\$1,295,405</b>
<b>Schedule No. 11 - Street Lighting - Company-Owned System</b>					
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps (HPS)</i>					
5,600 Lumen – Functional	40,532	\$11.80	\$478,278	\$11.80	\$478,278
9,500 Lumen – Functional	220,174	\$12.78	\$2,813,824	\$12.78	\$2,813,824
9,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	136	\$11.50	\$1,564	\$11.50	\$1,564
9,500 Lumen - S1	301	\$46.54	\$14,009	\$46.54	\$14,009
9,500 Lumen - S2	170	\$38.05	\$6,469	\$38.05	\$6,469
16,000 Lumen – Functional	19,524	\$16.94	\$330,737	\$16.94	\$330,737
16,000 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	84	\$15.25	\$1,281	\$15.25	\$1,281
16,000 Lumen - S1	1,223	\$47.83	\$58,496	\$47.83	\$58,496
16,000 Lumen - S2	742	\$39.34	\$29,190	\$39.34	\$29,190
27,500 Lumen – Functional	26,455	\$21.14	\$559,259	\$21.14	\$559,259
27,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	42	\$19.03	\$799	\$19.03	\$799
27,500 Lumen - S1	1,173	\$51.48	\$60,386	\$51.48	\$60,386



**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
27,500 Lumen - S2	0	\$43.01	\$0	\$43.01	\$0
50,000 Lumen – Functional	11,790	\$26.02	\$306,776	\$26.02	\$306,776
125,000 Lumen	0	\$51.54	\$0	\$51.54	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps (MH)</i>					
9,000 Lumen - S1	42	\$48.74	\$2,047	\$48.74	\$2,047
9,000 Lumen - S2	513	\$40.27	\$20,659	\$40.27	\$20,659
12,000 Lumen – Functional	117	\$20.13	\$2,355	\$20.13	\$2,355
12,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$50.65	\$0	\$50.65	\$0
12,000 Lumen - S2	1,540	\$42.17	\$64,942	\$42.17	\$64,942
19,500 Lumen – Functional	337	\$22.13	\$7,458	\$22.13	\$7,458
19,500 Lumen - S1	84	\$53.69	\$4,510	\$53.69	\$4,510
19,500 Lumen - S2	373	\$45.20	\$16,860	\$45.20	\$16,860
32,000 Lumen – Functional	10	\$25.78	\$258	\$25.78	\$258
32,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$55.33	\$0	\$55.33	\$0
32,000 Lumen - S2	0	\$46.86	\$0	\$46.86	\$0
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps (No New Service) (MV)</i>					
4,000 Lumen	8,417	\$11.09	\$93,345	\$11.09	\$93,345
7,000 Lumen	10,540	\$13.83	\$145,768	\$13.83	\$145,768
10,000 Lumen	281	\$19.40	\$5,451	\$19.40	\$5,451
10,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$17.46	\$0	\$17.46	\$0
20,000 Lumen	1,685	\$24.43	\$41,165	\$24.43	\$41,165
<i>Incandescent Lamps (No New Service) (INC)</i>					
500 Lumen	0	\$11.99	\$0	\$11.99	\$0
600 Lumen	126	\$4.24	\$534	\$4.24	\$534
2,500 Lumen	21	\$17.11	\$359	\$17.11	\$359
4,000 Lumen	332	\$20.43	\$6,783	\$20.43	\$6,783
6,000 Lumen	550	\$23.82	\$13,101	\$23.82	\$13,101
10,000 Lumen	21	\$31.47	\$661	\$31.47	\$661
<i>Fluorescent Lamps (No New Service) (FLOUR)</i>					
21,000 Lumen	10	\$27.85	\$279	\$27.85	\$279
<i>Special Service (No New Service)</i>					
50,000 Lumen – Flood	42	\$39.04	\$1,640	\$39.04	\$1,640
Subtotal	347,387		\$5,089,243		\$5,089,243
kWh Included	17,077,687				
Customers	834				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	17,077,687		\$5,089,243		\$5,089,243

**Schedule No. 12 - Street Lighting - Customer-Owned System**

**1. Energy Only, No Maintenance**

*High Pressures Sodium Vapor Lamps*

5,600 Lumen	112,356	\$1.83	\$205,611	\$1.83	\$205,611
9,500 Lumen	141,986	\$2.50	\$354,965	\$2.50	\$354,965
16,000 Lumen	126,742	\$3.66	\$463,876	\$3.66	\$463,876
27,500 Lumen	54,240	\$6.52	\$353,645	\$6.52	\$353,645
50,000 Lumen	74,391	\$10.02	\$745,398	\$10.02	\$745,398

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>					
9,000 Lumen	6,486	\$2.55	\$16,539	\$2.55	\$16,539
12,000 Lumen	18,071	\$4.46	\$80,597	\$4.46	\$80,597
19,500 Lumen	29,386	\$6.17	\$181,312	\$6.17	\$181,312
32,000 Lumen	28,769	\$9.77	\$281,073	\$9.77	\$281,073
<i>Non-listed Luminaries kWh</i>	8,033,000	6.5279 ¢	\$524,386	6.5279 ¢	\$524,386
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	48,815,242		\$3,207,402		\$3,207,402
<i>Unbilled</i>					
<i>Total</i>	48,815,242		\$3,207,402		\$3,207,402
<i>Customer</i>	445				
<b>2a - Partial Maintenance (No New Service)</b>					
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>					
2,500 Lumen or Less	78	\$8.96	\$699	\$8.96	\$699
2,500 Lumen or Less @ 85%	0	\$7.62	\$0	\$7.62	\$0
4,000 Lumen	35	\$12.19	\$427	\$12.19	\$427
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>					
4,000 Lumen	48	\$4.64	\$223	\$4.64	\$223
7,000 Lumen	563	\$7.00	\$3,941	\$7.00	\$3,941
10,000 Lumen	0	\$9.08	\$0	\$9.08	\$0
20,000 Lumen	82	\$13.33	\$1,093	\$13.33	\$1,093
54,000 Lumen	0	\$28.38	\$0	\$28.38	\$0
<i>High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>					
5,600 Lumen	29,589	\$4.08	\$120,723	\$4.08	\$120,723
9,500 Lumen	12,331	\$5.37	\$66,217	\$5.37	\$66,217
9,500 Lumen @ 85%	10	\$4.56	\$46	\$4.56	\$46
9,500 Lumen - Decorative	7,256	\$6.96	\$50,502	\$6.96	\$50,502
16,000 Lumen	3,205	\$6.52	\$20,897	\$6.52	\$20,897
16,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$5.54	\$0	\$5.54	\$0
16,000 Lumen - Decorative	954	\$8.27	\$7,890	\$8.27	\$7,890
22,000 Lumen	0	\$8.26	\$0	\$8.26	\$0
27,500 Lumen	5,284	\$9.59	\$50,674	\$9.59	\$50,674
27,500 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$8.16	\$0	\$8.16	\$0
27,500 Lumen - Decorative	107	\$11.93	\$1,277	\$11.93	\$1,277
50,000 Lumen	9,005	\$14.00	\$126,070	\$14.00	\$126,070
50,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$11.89	\$0	\$11.89	\$0
50,000 Lumen - Decorative	156	\$15.56	\$2,427	\$15.56	\$2,427
125,000 Lumen	0	\$26.38	\$0	\$26.38	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>					
9,000 Lumen - Decorative	458	\$9.19	\$4,209	\$9.19	\$4,209
12,000 Lumen	943	\$13.57	\$12,797	\$13.57	\$12,797
12,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$11.54	\$0	\$11.54	\$0
12,000 Lumen - Decorative	642	\$11.09	\$7,120	\$11.09	\$7,120
19,500 Lumen	575	\$13.71	\$7,883	\$13.71	\$7,883
19,500 Lumen - Decorative	4,421	\$14.13	\$62,469	\$14.13	\$62,469
32,000 Lumen	397	\$14.58	\$5,788	\$14.58	\$5,788
32,000 Lumen - Decorative	471	\$15.79	\$7,437	\$15.79	\$7,437

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<i>Fluorescent Lamps</i>					
1,000 Lumen	3	\$3.75	\$11	\$3.75	\$11
21,800 Lumen	68	\$13.92	\$947	\$13.92	\$947
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	4,499,863		\$561,767		\$561,767
<i>Unbilled</i>					
<i>Total</i>	4,499,863		\$561,767		\$561,767
<i>Customer</i>	235				
<b>2b - Full Maintenance (No New Service)</b>					
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>					
6,000 Lumen	32	\$17.73	\$567	\$17.73	\$567
10,000 Lumen	11	\$23.40	\$257	\$23.40	\$257
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>					
7,000 Lumen	42	\$8.03	\$337	\$8.03	\$337
20,000 Lumen	0	\$15.30	\$0	\$15.30	\$0
54,000 Lumen	85	\$32.48	\$2,761	\$32.48	\$2,761
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>					
5,600 Lumen	5,268	\$4.68	\$24,654	\$4.68	\$24,654
9,500 Lumen	16,472	\$6.16	\$101,468	\$6.16	\$101,468
9,500 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$5.55	\$0	\$5.55	\$0
16,000 Lumen	5,387	\$7.47	\$40,241	\$7.47	\$40,241
16,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$6.72	\$0	\$6.72	\$0
22,000 Lumen	0	\$9.44	\$0	\$9.44	\$0
27,500 Lumen	3,409	\$10.99	\$37,465	\$10.99	\$37,465
50,000 Lumen	2,395	\$16.02	\$38,368	\$16.02	\$38,368
50,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$14.42	\$0	\$14.42	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>					
12,000 Lumen	1,064	\$15.58	\$16,577	\$15.58	\$16,577
19,500 Lumen	628	\$15.73	\$9,878	\$15.73	\$9,878
32,000 Lumen	853	\$16.72	\$14,262	\$16.72	\$14,262
107,000 Lumen	85	\$33.05	\$2,809	\$33.05	\$2,809
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	2,114,324		\$289,644		\$289,644
<i>Unbilled</i>					
<i>Total</i>	2,114,324		\$289,644		\$289,644
<i>Customer</i>	102				
kWh Street Lighting	55,429,429		\$4,058,813		\$4,058,813
Customers	782				
Unbilled			\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>55,429,429</b>		<b>\$4,058,813</b>		<b>\$4,058,813</b>

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule 15.1 - Metered Outdoor Nighttime Lighting</b>					
Annual Facility Charge	20,558	\$11.00	\$226,138	\$11.00	\$226,138
Annual Customer Charge	531	\$72.50	\$38,498	\$72.50	\$38,498
Annual Minimum Charge	0	\$127.50	\$0	\$127.50	\$0
Monthly Customer Charge	6,467	\$6.20	\$40,095	\$6.20	\$40,095
All kWh	15,717,486	5.3437 ¢	\$839,895	5.3437 ¢	\$839,895
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,717,486</b>		<b>\$1,144,626</b>		<b>\$1,144,626</b>
<b>Schedule 15.2 - Traffic Signal Systems</b>					
Customer Charge	29,744	\$5.50	\$163,592	\$5.50	\$163,592
All kWh	5,662,763	8.0670 ¢	\$456,815	8.4049 ¢	\$475,950
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,662,763</b>		<b>\$620,407</b>		<b>\$639,542</b>
<b>Schedule No. 21 - Electric Furnace Operations - Limited Service - Industrial</b>					
<i>Primary Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge	36	\$117.00	\$4,212	\$121.00	\$4,356
Charge per kW (Facilities)	9,527	\$3.98	\$37,917	\$4.10	\$39,061
First 100,000 kWh	491,863	6.3315 ¢	\$31,142	6.5264 ¢	\$32,101
All add'l kWh	0	5.3163 ¢	\$0	5.4799 ¢	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Subtotal	491,863		\$73,271		\$75,518
<i>44KV or Higher</i>					
Customer Charge	24	\$117.00	\$2,808	\$121.00	\$2,904
Charge per kW (Facilities)	38,097	\$3.98	\$151,626	\$4.10	\$156,198
First 100,000 kWh	2,267,423	4.9813 ¢	\$112,947	5.1346 ¢	\$116,423
All add'l kWh	528,653	4.3418 ¢	\$22,953	4.4977 ¢	\$23,777
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Subtotal	2,796,076		\$290,334		\$299,302
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,287,939</b>		<b>\$363,605</b>		<b>\$374,820</b>
<b>Schedule No. 23 - Distribution Voltage - Small Customer - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	936,624	\$10.00	\$9,366,240	\$10.00	\$9,366,240
kW over 15 (May - Sept)	375,308	\$8.35	\$3,133,822	\$8.55	\$3,208,883
kW over 15 (Oct - Apr)	363,172	\$8.40	\$3,050,645	\$8.60	\$3,123,279
Voltage Discount	9,858	(\$0.47)	(\$4,633)	(\$0.48)	(\$4,732)
First 1,500 kWh (May - Sept)	307,226,245	11.3180 ¢	\$34,771,866	11.6096 ¢	\$35,667,738
All Add'l kWh (May - Sept)	310,947,215	6.3453 ¢	\$19,730,534	6.5088 ¢	\$20,238,932
First 1,500 kWh (Oct - Apr)	429,169,061	10.4175 ¢	\$44,708,687	10.6859 ¢	\$45,860,577
All Add'l kWh (Oct - Apr)	371,983,629	5.8409 ¢	\$21,727,192	5.9947 ¢	\$22,299,303
Seasonal Service	0	\$120.00	\$0	\$120.00	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,419,326,150</b>		<b>\$136,484,353</b>		<b>\$139,760,220</b>

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No.31 - Back-Up, Maintenance, and Supplementary Power - Composite</b>					
<i>Secondary Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge per month	0	\$123.00	\$0	\$127.00	\$0
Facilities Charge, per kW month	0	\$4.52	\$0	\$4.66	\$0
Back-up Power Charge					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.6224	\$0	\$0.6419	\$0
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.3112	\$0	\$0.3210	\$0
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$58.64	\$0	\$60.48	\$0
<i>Primary Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge per month	35	\$559.00	\$19,319	\$577.00	\$19,941
Facilities Charge, per kW month	166,568	\$3.55	\$591,316	\$3.66	\$609,639
Back-up Power Charge					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	1,073,035	\$0.6032	\$647,255	\$0.6248	\$670,432
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	79,254	\$0.3016	\$23,903	\$0.3124	\$24,759
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$42.26	\$0	\$43.59	\$0
<i>Transmission Voltage</i>					
Customer Charge per month	13	\$626.00	\$8,413	\$646.00	\$8,682
Facilities Charge, per kW month	71,234	\$2.02	\$143,893	\$2.08	\$148,167
Back-up Power Charge					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	90,487	\$0.4757	\$43,045	\$0.4906	\$44,393
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	6,354	\$0.2379	\$1,512	\$0.2453	\$1,559
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$40.69	\$0	\$41.97	\$0
Subtotal			\$1,478,656		\$1,527,572
<i>Supplemental billed at Schedule 6/8/9 rate</i>					
<b>Schedule 8</b>					
Facilities kW	58,046	\$4.48	\$260,046	\$4.62	\$268,173
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$14.65	\$0	\$15.10	\$0
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	58,046	\$10.54	\$611,805	\$10.87	\$630,960
Voltage Discount	58,046	(\$1.07)	(\$62,109)	(\$1.10)	(\$63,851)
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	4,826,571	4.7533 ¢	\$229,421	4.8999 ¢	\$236,497
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	17,172,547	3.7208 ¢	\$638,956	3.8356 ¢	\$658,670
Off-Peak kWh	22,120,759	3.2050 ¢	\$708,970	3.3019 ¢	\$730,405
<b>Schedule 9</b>					
Facilities kW	52,999	\$2.06	\$109,178	\$2.12	\$112,358
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	52,999	\$12.92	\$684,747	\$13.32	\$705,947
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	0	\$8.76	\$0	\$9.03	\$0
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	4,903,975	4.3052 ¢	\$211,126	4.4379 ¢	\$217,634
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	213,357	3.2373 ¢	\$6,907	3.3371 ¢	\$7,120
Off-Peak kWh	10,541,630	2.7033 ¢	\$284,972	2.7873 ¢	\$293,827
Subtotal			\$3,684,019		\$3,797,740
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total (Aggregated)	59,778,839		\$5,162,675		\$5,325,312

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Contract 1</b>					
Customer Charge	12				
kW High Load Hours	879,806				
kW Low Load Hours	1,294,638				
kWh High Load Hours	231,454,981				
kWh Low Load Hours	312,515,610				
Total	543,970,591		\$25,134,441		\$26,081,792
<b>Contract 2</b>					
Customer Charge	12				
Interruptible kWh	717,800,152				\$0
Total	717,800,152		\$28,634,610		\$30,487,147
<b>Contract 3 - Composite</b>					
Customer Charge	12	\$626.00	\$7,512	\$646.00	\$7,752
Facilities Charge per kW - Back-Up	693,457	\$2.02	\$1,400,783	\$2.08	\$1,442,391
kW Back-Up					
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	6,274,249	\$0.4757	\$2,984,660	\$0.4906	\$3,078,147
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.2379	\$0	\$0.2453	\$0
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$40.69	\$0	\$41.97	\$0
kWh Supplemental					
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	334,617	\$12.92	\$4,323,252	\$13.32	\$4,457,098
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	1,495,903	\$8.76	\$13,104,110	\$9.03	\$13,508,004
kWh Supplemental					
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	83,697,066	4.3052 ¢	\$3,603,326	4.4379 ¢	\$3,714,392
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	449,581,129	3.2373 ¢	\$14,554,290	3.3371 ¢	\$15,002,972
Off-Peak kWh	838,320,905	2.7033 ¢	\$22,662,329	2.7873 ¢	\$23,366,519
Total	1,371,599,100		\$62,640,262		\$64,577,275
<b>Rate No. 77 - Security Lighting, 08THIK0077</b>					
Customer	1				
20,000 Mercury Vapor	972	\$17.7751	\$17,277	\$17.7751	\$17,277
All kWh	135,421				
Unbilled	0		\$0		\$0
Total	135,421		\$17,277		\$17,277
<b>Lighting Contract - Post Top Lighting - 08PTLD000N/08PTLD000R</b>					
Energy Only Res	62	\$2.18	\$135	\$2.18	\$135
Energy Only Non-Res	213	\$2.1858	\$466	\$2.1858	\$466
Subtotal	275		\$601		\$601
KWH Included	7,972				
Customers	5				
Unbilled	0				\$0
Total	7,972		\$601		\$601

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013**

	Forecasted Units	Step 1 - 10/12/2012		Step 2 - 9/1/2013	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Annual Guarantee Adjustment</b>					
Residential			\$36,561		\$36,561
Commercial			\$3,441,282		\$3,441,282
Industrial			\$842,691		\$842,691
Irrigation			\$206,453		\$206,453
Public Street & Highway Lighting			\$4,662		\$4,662
Other Sales Public Authorities			\$0		\$0
Total AGA			\$4,531,648		\$4,531,648
<b>TOTAL - ALL CLASSES</b>	<b>23,734,642,547</b>		<b>\$1,872,847,608</b>		<b>\$1,926,847,721</b>

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 1 - State of Utah  
Residential Service  
Step 1 - Effective 10/12/2012**

kWh	Summer				Winter			
	Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
	Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
100	\$13.00	\$14.17	\$1.17	9.0%	\$13.31	\$14.17	\$0.86	6.5%
200	\$21.73	\$23.08	\$1.35	6.2%	\$22.36	\$23.08	\$0.72	3.2%
300	\$30.47	\$31.99	\$1.52	5.0%	\$31.41	\$31.99	\$0.58	1.8%
400	\$39.21	\$40.90	\$1.69	4.3%	\$40.47	\$40.90	\$0.43	1.1%
500	\$49.97	\$52.53	\$2.56	5.1%	\$49.52	\$50.86	\$1.34	2.7%
600	\$60.73	\$64.15	\$3.42	5.6%	\$58.57	\$60.82	\$2.25	3.8%
700	\$71.49	\$75.77	\$4.28	6.0%	\$67.62	\$70.78	\$3.16	4.7%
719 w					\$69.34	\$72.67	\$3.33	4.8%
767 a	\$78.65	\$83.51	\$4.86	6.2%	\$73.64	\$77.40	\$3.76	5.1%
800	\$82.25	\$87.40	\$5.15	6.3%	\$76.67	\$80.73	\$4.06	5.3%
833 s	\$85.82	\$91.24	\$5.42	6.3%				
900	\$93.02	\$99.02	\$6.00	6.5%	\$85.72	\$90.69	\$4.97	5.8%
1,000	\$103.78	\$110.64	\$6.86	6.6%	\$94.78	\$100.65	\$5.87	6.2%
1,100	\$117.16	\$125.19	\$8.03	6.9%	\$103.83	\$110.60	\$6.77	6.5%
1,200	\$130.55	\$139.74	\$9.19	7.0%	\$112.88	\$120.56	\$7.68	6.8%
1,300	\$143.93	\$154.30	\$10.37	7.2%	\$121.93	\$130.52	\$8.59	7.0%
1,400	\$157.32	\$168.85	\$11.53	7.3%	\$130.98	\$140.47	\$9.49	7.2%
1,500	\$170.71	\$183.40	\$12.69	7.4%	\$140.03	\$150.43	\$10.40	7.4%
2,000	\$237.63	\$256.15	\$18.52	7.8%	\$185.29	\$200.21	\$14.92	8.1%
3,000	\$371.49	\$401.66	\$30.17	8.1%	\$275.81	\$299.78	\$23.97	8.7%
4,000	\$505.35	\$547.17	\$41.82	8.3%	\$366.33	\$399.35	\$33.02	9.0%
5,000	\$639.21	\$692.68	\$53.47	8.4%	\$456.84	\$498.92	\$42.08	9.2%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.  
w: Winter average usage; a: Annual average usage; s: Summer average usage.



**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 23 - State of Utah  
General Service - Distribution Voltage  
Step 1 - Effective 10/12/2012**

kW Load Size	kWh	Summer				Winter			
		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
		Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
0 to 15	200	\$31.92	\$33.97	\$2.05	6.4%	\$30.13	\$32.09	\$1.96	6.5%
	500	\$65.63	\$69.24	\$3.61	5.5%	\$61.16	\$64.56	\$3.40	5.6%
	1,000	\$121.80	\$128.03	\$6.23	5.1%	\$112.86	\$118.67	\$5.81	5.1%
	2,000	\$209.47	\$219.78	\$10.31	4.9%	\$193.54	\$203.12	\$9.58	4.9%
20	5,000	\$439.99	\$460.90	\$20.91	4.8%	\$409.17	\$428.79	\$19.62	4.8%
	7,500	\$597.46	\$625.70	\$28.24	4.7%	\$554.02	\$580.49	\$26.47	4.8%
	10,000	\$754.93	\$790.50	\$35.57	4.7%	\$698.87	\$732.19	\$33.32	4.8%
25	7,500	\$639.01	\$669.07	\$30.06	4.7%	\$595.83	\$624.12	\$28.29	4.7%
	10,000	\$796.48	\$833.87	\$37.39	4.7%	\$740.68	\$775.82	\$35.14	4.7%
	12,500	\$953.95	\$998.67	\$44.72	4.7%	\$885.53	\$927.51	\$41.98	4.7%
30	10,000	\$838.04	\$877.24	\$39.20	4.7%	\$782.49	\$819.45	\$36.96	4.7%
	12,500	\$995.51	\$1,042.04	\$46.53	4.7%	\$927.34	\$971.15	\$43.81	4.7%
	15,000	\$1,152.98	\$1,206.84	\$53.86	4.7%	\$1,072.19	\$1,122.84	\$50.65	4.7%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 6 - State of Utah  
General Service - Distribution Voltage  
Step 1 - Effective 10/12/2012**

kW Load Size	kWh	Summer				Winter			
		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
		Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
50	5,000	\$1,116	\$1,172	\$56	5.1%	\$929	\$976	\$47	5.0%
	10,000	\$1,299	\$1,365	\$66	5.1%	\$1,098	\$1,154	\$55	5.1%
	20,000	\$1,667	\$1,751	\$84	5.1%	\$1,437	\$1,510	\$73	5.1%
100	20,000	\$2,540	\$2,668	\$128	5.1%	\$2,138	\$2,246	\$108	5.1%
	40,000	\$3,275	\$3,440	\$165	5.1%	\$2,815	\$2,958	\$142	5.1%
	60,000	\$4,010	\$4,212	\$203	5.1%	\$3,492	\$3,669	\$177	5.1%
200	40,000	\$5,021	\$5,274	\$254	5.0%	\$4,217	\$4,430	\$213	5.0%
	80,000	\$6,491	\$6,818	\$328	5.1%	\$5,571	\$5,853	\$282	5.1%
	120,000	\$7,960	\$8,362	\$402	5.1%	\$6,926	\$7,277	\$351	5.1%
500	100,000	\$12,463	\$13,093	\$629	5.0%	\$10,454	\$10,982	\$528	5.0%
	200,000	\$16,138	\$16,953	\$815	5.1%	\$13,840	\$14,540	\$700	5.1%
	300,000	\$19,812	\$20,813	\$1,001	5.1%	\$17,226	\$18,099	\$872	5.1%
1,000	200,000	\$24,868	\$26,124	\$1,256	5.0%	\$20,849	\$21,902	\$1,053	5.0%
	400,000	\$32,217	\$33,844	\$1,627	5.1%	\$27,622	\$29,019	\$1,397	5.1%
	600,000	\$39,566	\$41,564	\$1,998	5.1%	\$34,394	\$36,136	\$1,742	5.1%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Monthly Billing Comparison**  
**Schedule 8 - State of Utah**  
**General Service - Distribution Voltage > 1 MW**  
**Step 1 - Effective 10/12/2012**

kW Load Size <sup>2</sup>	On-Peak kWh	On-Peak kWh %	Summer				Winter				
			Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		
			Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%	
1,000	365,000	60%	\$32,539	\$34,517	\$1,978	6.1%	\$26,304	\$27,898	\$1,594	6.1%	
		50%	\$31,986	\$33,930	\$1,944	6.1%	\$26,120	\$27,703	\$1,582	6.1%	
		40%	\$31,433	\$33,343	\$1,910	6.1%	\$25,936	\$27,507	\$1,571	6.1%	
	511,000	60%	\$38,452	\$40,788	\$2,336	6.1%	\$31,330	\$33,229	\$1,899	6.1%	
		50%	\$37,677	\$39,966	\$2,288	6.1%	\$31,073	\$32,955	\$1,882	6.1%	
		40%	\$36,903	\$39,144	\$2,241	6.1%	\$30,815	\$32,681	\$1,866	6.1%	
	657,000	60%	\$44,364	\$47,058	\$2,694	6.1%	\$36,357	\$38,560	\$2,203	6.1%	
		50%	\$43,368	\$46,001	\$2,633	6.1%	\$36,026	\$38,208	\$2,182	6.1%	
		40%	\$42,373	\$44,944	\$2,572	6.1%	\$35,695	\$37,856	\$2,161	6.1%	
	2,000	730,000	60%	\$64,967	\$68,919	\$3,952	6.1%	\$52,496	\$55,681	\$3,184	6.1%
			50%	\$63,861	\$67,744	\$3,884	6.1%	\$52,129	\$55,289	\$3,161	6.1%
			40%	\$62,755	\$66,570	\$3,816	6.1%	\$51,761	\$54,898	\$3,138	6.1%
1,022,000		60%	\$76,791	\$81,459	\$4,668	6.1%	\$62,549	\$66,342	\$3,793	6.1%	
		50%	\$75,243	\$79,815	\$4,573	6.1%	\$62,034	\$65,794	\$3,760	6.1%	
		40%	\$73,694	\$78,171	\$4,478	6.1%	\$61,519	\$65,247	\$3,728	6.1%	
1,314,000		60%	\$88,615	\$94,000	\$5,385	6.1%	\$72,602	\$77,003	\$4,402	6.1%	
		50%	\$86,624	\$91,886	\$5,262	6.1%	\$71,939	\$76,299	\$4,360	6.1%	
		40%	\$84,633	\$89,773	\$5,139	6.1%	\$71,277	\$75,595	\$4,318	6.1%	
4,000		1,460,000	60%	\$129,822	\$137,721	\$7,899	6.1%	\$104,881	\$111,245	\$6,364	6.1%
			50%	\$127,609	\$135,373	\$7,763	6.1%	\$104,145	\$110,463	\$6,318	6.1%
			40%	\$125,397	\$133,024	\$7,627	6.1%	\$103,409	\$109,680	\$6,271	6.1%
	2,044,000	60%	\$153,470	\$162,803	\$9,332	6.1%	\$124,986	\$132,568	\$7,582	6.1%	
		50%	\$150,373	\$159,515	\$9,142	6.1%	\$123,956	\$131,473	\$7,517	6.1%	
		40%	\$147,276	\$156,227	\$8,951	6.1%	\$122,926	\$130,377	\$7,452	6.1%	
	2,628,000	60%	\$177,119	\$187,884	\$10,765	6.1%	\$145,091	\$153,891	\$8,800	6.1%	
		50%	\$173,137	\$183,657	\$10,520	6.1%	\$143,767	\$152,483	\$8,716	6.1%	
		40%	\$169,155	\$179,430	\$10,275	6.1%	\$142,442	\$151,074	\$8,632	6.1%	
	6,000	2,190,000	60%	\$194,676	\$206,524	\$11,847	6.1%	\$157,265	\$166,810	\$9,544	6.1%
			50%	\$191,358	\$203,001	\$11,643	6.1%	\$156,162	\$165,636	\$9,475	6.1%
			40%	\$188,040	\$199,478	\$11,439	6.1%	\$155,058	\$164,463	\$9,405	6.1%
3,066,000		60%	\$230,149	\$244,146	\$13,997	6.1%	\$187,423	\$198,794	\$11,371	6.1%	
		50%	\$225,504	\$239,214	\$13,711	6.1%	\$185,878	\$197,151	\$11,273	6.1%	
		40%	\$220,858	\$234,282	\$13,425	6.1%	\$184,333	\$195,508	\$11,176	6.1%	
3,942,000		60%	\$265,622	\$281,768	\$16,146	6.1%	\$217,581	\$230,778	\$13,198	6.1%	
		50%	\$259,649	\$275,427	\$15,778	6.1%	\$215,594	\$228,666	\$13,072	6.1%	
		40%	\$253,676	\$269,086	\$15,410	6.1%	\$213,607	\$226,554	\$12,946	6.1%	
10,000		3,650,000	60%	\$324,386	\$344,129	\$19,743	6.1%	\$262,034	\$277,939	\$15,905	6.1%
			50%	\$318,855	\$338,257	\$19,402	6.1%	\$260,195	\$275,983	\$15,788	6.1%
			40%	\$313,325	\$332,386	\$19,062	6.1%	\$258,355	\$274,027	\$15,672	6.1%
	5,110,000	60%	\$383,507	\$406,832	\$23,325	6.1%	\$312,297	\$331,246	\$18,949	6.1%	
		50%	\$375,765	\$398,613	\$22,848	6.1%	\$309,722	\$328,508	\$18,786	6.1%	
		40%	\$368,022	\$390,393	\$22,372	6.1%	\$307,146	\$325,769	\$18,623	6.1%	
	6,570,000	60%	\$442,629	\$469,536	\$26,907	6.1%	\$362,560	\$384,553	\$21,994	6.1%	
		50%	\$432,674	\$458,968	\$26,294	6.1%	\$359,248	\$381,033	\$21,784	6.1%	
		40%	\$422,719	\$448,400	\$25,681	6.1%	\$355,937	\$377,512	\$21,575	6.1%	

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

<sup>2</sup> Assumes customer monthly peak occurs during On-Peak hours.

**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Monthly Billing Comparison**  
**Schedule 9 - State of Utah**  
**General Service - Transmission Voltage**  
**Step 1 - Effective 10/12/2012**

kW Load Size <sup>2</sup>	On-Peak kWh	On-Peak kWh %	Summer				Winter				
			Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		
			Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%	
500	182,500	60%	\$14,164	\$15,023	\$858	6.1%	\$10,979	\$11,643	\$664	6.0%	
		50%	\$13,878	\$14,719	\$841	6.1%	\$10,884	\$11,542	\$658	6.0%	
		40%	\$13,591	\$14,415	\$824	6.1%	\$10,788	\$11,441	\$652	6.0%	
	255,500	60%	\$16,787	\$17,805	\$1,018	6.1%	\$13,144	\$13,939	\$795	6.1%	
		50%	\$16,386	\$17,379	\$993	6.1%	\$13,010	\$13,797	\$787	6.1%	
		40%	\$15,985	\$16,954	\$969	6.1%	\$12,876	\$13,655	\$779	6.0%	
	328,500	60%	\$19,410	\$20,587	\$1,177	6.1%	\$15,308	\$16,235	\$927	6.1%	
		50%	\$18,894	\$20,040	\$1,145	6.1%	\$15,136	\$16,052	\$916	6.1%	
		40%	\$18,379	\$19,492	\$1,114	6.1%	\$14,964	\$15,870	\$906	6.1%	
	1,000	365,000	60%	\$28,053	\$29,756	\$1,703	6.1%	\$21,683	\$22,997	\$1,314	6.1%
			50%	\$27,480	\$29,147	\$1,668	6.1%	\$21,492	\$22,794	\$1,302	6.1%
			40%	\$26,906	\$28,539	\$1,633	6.1%	\$21,301	\$22,591	\$1,291	6.1%
511,000		60%	\$33,299	\$35,320	\$2,021	6.1%	\$26,011	\$27,588	\$1,577	6.1%	
		50%	\$32,496	\$34,468	\$1,972	6.1%	\$25,744	\$27,304	\$1,560	6.1%	
		40%	\$31,694	\$33,617	\$1,923	6.1%	\$25,477	\$27,020	\$1,544	6.1%	
657,000		60%	\$38,545	\$40,884	\$2,339	6.1%	\$30,340	\$32,179	\$1,839	6.1%	
		50%	\$37,513	\$39,789	\$2,277	6.1%	\$29,996	\$31,814	\$1,818	6.1%	
		40%	\$36,481	\$38,695	\$2,214	6.1%	\$29,652	\$31,450	\$1,797	6.1%	
2,000		730,000	60%	\$55,830	\$59,221	\$3,392	6.1%	\$43,089	\$45,704	\$2,614	6.1%
			50%	\$54,683	\$58,005	\$3,322	6.1%	\$42,707	\$45,298	\$2,591	6.1%
			40%	\$53,537	\$56,789	\$3,252	6.1%	\$42,325	\$44,893	\$2,567	6.1%
	1,022,000	60%	\$66,321	\$70,350	\$4,028	6.1%	\$51,747	\$54,886	\$3,139	6.1%	
		50%	\$64,716	\$68,647	\$3,931	6.1%	\$51,212	\$54,319	\$3,107	6.1%	
		40%	\$63,111	\$66,944	\$3,833	6.1%	\$50,677	\$53,751	\$3,074	6.1%	
	1,314,000	60%	\$76,813	\$81,478	\$4,665	6.1%	\$60,404	\$64,069	\$3,665	6.1%	
		50%	\$74,750	\$79,289	\$4,539	6.1%	\$59,716	\$63,339	\$3,623	6.1%	
		40%	\$72,686	\$77,100	\$4,414	6.1%	\$59,029	\$62,609	\$3,581	6.1%	
	4,000	1,460,000	60%	\$111,383	\$118,152	\$6,769	6.1%	\$85,903	\$91,117	\$5,214	6.1%
			50%	\$109,090	\$115,720	\$6,630	6.1%	\$85,139	\$90,306	\$5,167	6.1%
			40%	\$106,797	\$113,288	\$6,490	6.1%	\$84,375	\$89,495	\$5,121	6.1%
2,044,000		60%	\$132,367	\$140,409	\$8,043	6.1%	\$103,217	\$109,482	\$6,265	6.1%	
		50%	\$129,157	\$137,004	\$7,847	6.1%	\$102,148	\$108,347	\$6,199	6.1%	
		40%	\$125,947	\$133,599	\$7,652	6.1%	\$101,078	\$107,212	\$6,134	6.1%	
2,628,000		60%	\$153,350	\$162,666	\$9,316	6.1%	\$120,532	\$127,847	\$7,315	6.1%	
		50%	\$149,223	\$158,288	\$9,065	6.1%	\$119,157	\$126,388	\$7,231	6.1%	
		40%	\$145,096	\$153,910	\$8,813	6.1%	\$117,781	\$124,928	\$7,147	6.1%	
6,000		2,190,000	60%	\$166,937	\$177,084	\$10,147	6.1%	\$128,716	\$136,531	\$7,814	6.1%
			50%	\$163,497	\$173,435	\$9,938	6.1%	\$127,570	\$135,314	\$7,744	6.1%
			40%	\$160,058	\$169,786	\$9,728	6.1%	\$126,424	\$134,098	\$7,674	6.1%
	3,066,000	60%	\$198,412	\$210,469	\$12,057	6.1%	\$154,688	\$164,078	\$9,390	6.1%	
		50%	\$193,597	\$205,361	\$11,764	6.1%	\$153,084	\$162,376	\$9,292	6.1%	
		40%	\$188,782	\$200,253	\$11,471	6.1%	\$151,479	\$160,673	\$9,194	6.1%	
	3,942,000	60%	\$229,887	\$243,854	\$13,967	6.1%	\$180,660	\$191,626	\$10,966	6.1%	
		50%	\$223,697	\$237,287	\$13,590	6.1%	\$178,597	\$189,437	\$10,840	6.1%	
		40%	\$217,506	\$230,719	\$13,213	6.1%	\$176,534	\$187,248	\$10,714	6.1%	

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

<sup>2</sup> Assumes customer monthly peak occurs during On-Peak hours.

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 10 - State of Utah  
Irrigation and Soil Drainage Pumping Power Service - Distribution Voltage  
Step 1 - Effective 10/12/2012**

kW Load Size	kWh	Irrigation Season				Post-Irrigation Season				
		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		
		Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%	
10	300	3,000	\$281	\$298	\$17	6.1%	\$151	\$160	\$9	6.1%
	500	5,000	\$414	\$439	\$25	6.1%	\$242	\$257	\$15	6.1%
	700	7,000	\$547	\$581	\$33	6.1%	\$334	\$354	\$20	6.1%
20	300	6,000	\$548	\$581	\$33	6.1%	\$288	\$306	\$18	6.1%
	500	10,000	\$814	\$863	\$49	6.1%	\$470	\$499	\$29	6.1%
	700	14,000	\$1,080	\$1,146	\$65	6.1%	\$653	\$693	\$40	6.1%
50	300	15,000	\$1,348	\$1,429	\$82	6.1%	\$699	\$741	\$42	6.1%
	500	25,000	\$2,014	\$2,136	\$122	6.1%	\$1,155	\$1,225	\$70	6.1%
	700	35,000	\$2,593	\$2,750	\$157	6.0%	\$1,611	\$1,708	\$97	6.1%
100	300	30,000	\$2,681	\$2,843	\$162	6.1%	\$1,383	\$1,467	\$84	6.1%
	500	50,000	\$3,666	\$3,887	\$222	6.0%	\$2,295	\$2,434	\$139	6.0%
	700	70,000	\$4,650	\$4,931	\$281	6.0%	\$3,208	\$3,402	\$194	6.0%
200	300	60,000	\$4,827	\$5,119	\$292	6.0%	\$2,751	\$2,918	\$166	6.0%
	500	100,000	\$6,796	\$7,207	\$411	6.0%	\$4,576	\$4,853	\$277	6.0%
	700	140,000	\$8,765	\$9,295	\$530	6.0%	\$6,401	\$6,788	\$387	6.0%
300	300	90,000	\$6,972	\$7,394	\$422	6.0%	\$4,120	\$4,369	\$249	6.0%
	500	150,000	\$9,926	\$10,526	\$600	6.0%	\$6,857	\$7,272	\$415	6.0%
	700	210,000	\$12,879	\$13,658	\$779	6.0%	\$9,594	\$10,174	\$580	6.0%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment. Not including annual customer service charge.

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 1 - State of Utah  
Residential Service  
Step 2 - Effective 9/1/2013**

kWh	Summer				Winter			
	Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
	Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
100	\$14.17	\$14.46	\$0.29	2.0%	\$14.17	\$14.46	\$0.29	2.0%
200	\$23.08	\$23.67	\$0.59	2.6%	\$23.08	\$23.67	\$0.59	2.6%
300	\$31.99	\$32.87	\$0.88	2.8%	\$31.99	\$32.87	\$0.88	2.8%
400	\$40.90	\$42.08	\$1.18	2.9%	\$40.90	\$42.08	\$1.18	2.9%
500	\$52.53	\$54.08	\$1.55	3.0%	\$50.86	\$52.36	\$1.50	2.9%
600	\$64.15	\$66.08	\$1.93	3.0%	\$60.82	\$62.65	\$1.83	3.0%
700	\$75.77	\$78.09	\$2.32	3.1%	\$70.78	\$72.94	\$2.16	3.1%
719 w					\$72.67	\$74.89	\$2.22	3.1%
767 a	\$83.51	\$86.08	\$2.57	3.1%	\$77.40	\$79.78	\$2.38	3.1%
800	\$87.40	\$90.09	\$2.69	3.1%	\$80.73	\$83.22	\$2.49	3.1%
833 s	\$91.24	\$94.07	\$2.83	3.1%				
900	\$99.02	\$102.10	\$3.08	3.1%	\$90.69	\$93.51	\$2.82	3.1%
1,000	\$110.64	\$114.10	\$3.46	3.1%	\$100.65	\$103.80	\$3.15	3.1%
1,100	\$125.19	\$129.13	\$3.94	3.1%	\$110.60	\$114.08	\$3.48	3.1%
1,200	\$139.74	\$144.16	\$4.42	3.2%	\$120.56	\$124.37	\$3.81	3.2%
1,300	\$154.30	\$159.19	\$4.89	3.2%	\$130.52	\$134.66	\$4.14	3.2%
1,400	\$168.85	\$174.22	\$5.37	3.2%	\$140.47	\$144.94	\$4.47	3.2%
1,500	\$183.40	\$189.25	\$5.85	3.2%	\$150.43	\$155.23	\$4.80	3.2%
2,000	\$256.15	\$264.39	\$8.24	3.2%	\$200.21	\$206.67	\$6.46	3.2%
3,000	\$401.66	\$414.68	\$13.02	3.2%	\$299.78	\$309.54	\$9.76	3.3%
4,000	\$547.17	\$564.97	\$17.80	3.3%	\$399.35	\$412.41	\$13.06	3.3%
5,000	\$692.68	\$715.26	\$22.58	3.3%	\$498.92	\$515.27	\$16.35	3.3%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.  
w: Winter average usage; a: Annual average usage; s: Summer average usage.

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 23 - State of Utah  
General Service - Distribution Voltage  
Step 2 - Effective 9/1/2013**

kW Load Size	kWh	Summer				Winter			
		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
		Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
0 to 15	200	\$33.97	\$34.57	\$0.60	1.8%	\$32.09	\$32.65	\$0.56	1.7%
	500	\$69.24	\$70.75	\$1.51	2.2%	\$64.56	\$65.96	\$1.40	2.2%
	1,000	\$128.03	\$131.06	\$3.03	2.4%	\$118.67	\$121.46	\$2.79	2.4%
	2,000	\$219.78	\$225.17	\$5.39	2.5%	\$203.12	\$208.11	\$4.99	2.5%
20	5,000	\$460.90	\$472.43	\$11.53	2.5%	\$428.79	\$439.61	\$10.82	2.5%
	7,500	\$625.70	\$641.48	\$15.78	2.5%	\$580.49	\$595.30	\$14.81	2.6%
	10,000	\$790.50	\$810.52	\$20.02	2.5%	\$732.19	\$750.99	\$18.80	2.6%
25	7,500	\$669.07	\$685.89	\$16.82	2.5%	\$624.12	\$639.97	\$15.85	2.5%
	10,000	\$833.87	\$854.93	\$21.06	2.5%	\$775.82	\$795.66	\$19.84	2.6%
	12,500	\$998.67	\$1,023.97	\$25.30	2.5%	\$927.51	\$951.35	\$23.84	2.6%
30	10,000	\$877.24	\$899.34	\$22.10	2.5%	\$819.45	\$840.33	\$20.88	2.5%
	12,500	\$1,042.04	\$1,068.38	\$26.34	2.5%	\$971.15	\$996.02	\$24.87	2.6%
	15,000	\$1,206.84	\$1,237.43	\$30.59	2.5%	\$1,122.84	\$1,151.71	\$28.87	2.6%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Monthly Billing Comparison  
Schedule 6 - State of Utah  
General Service - Distribution Voltage  
Step 2 - Effective 9/1/2013**

kW Load Size	kWh	Summer				Winter			
		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
		Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
50	5,000	\$1,172	\$1,200	\$28	2.4%	\$976	\$999	\$23	2.3%
	10,000	\$1,365	\$1,398	\$33	2.4%	\$1,154	\$1,181	\$27	2.4%
	20,000	\$1,751	\$1,793	\$42	2.4%	\$1,510	\$1,545	\$36	2.4%
100	20,000	\$2,668	\$2,732	\$64	2.4%	\$2,246	\$2,299	\$53	2.4%
	40,000	\$3,440	\$3,523	\$83	2.4%	\$2,958	\$3,028	\$70	2.4%
	60,000	\$4,212	\$4,314	\$101	2.4%	\$3,669	\$3,757	\$87	2.4%
200	40,000	\$5,274	\$5,402	\$127	2.4%	\$4,430	\$4,536	\$106	2.4%
	80,000	\$6,818	\$6,983	\$165	2.4%	\$5,853	\$5,993	\$140	2.4%
	120,000	\$8,362	\$8,564	\$202	2.4%	\$7,277	\$7,451	\$174	2.4%
500	100,000	\$13,093	\$13,410	\$317	2.4%	\$10,982	\$11,244	\$262	2.4%
	200,000	\$16,953	\$17,363	\$410	2.4%	\$14,540	\$14,888	\$348	2.4%
	300,000	\$20,813	\$21,316	\$503	2.4%	\$18,099	\$18,532	\$433	2.4%
1,000	200,000	\$26,124	\$26,757	\$633	2.4%	\$21,902	\$22,426	\$524	2.4%
	400,000	\$33,844	\$34,663	\$819	2.4%	\$29,019	\$29,713	\$694	2.4%
	600,000	\$41,564	\$42,569	\$1,005	2.4%	\$36,136	\$37,001	\$865	2.4%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.



**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Monthly Billing Comparison**  
**Schedule 8 - State of Utah**  
**General Service - Distribution Voltage > 1 MW**  
**Step 2 - Effective 9/1/2013**

kW Load Size <sup>2</sup>	On-Peak kWh	On-Peak kWh %	Summer				Winter				
			Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		
			Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%	
1,000	365,000	60%	\$34,517	\$35,580	\$1,063	3.1%	\$27,898	\$28,764	\$866	3.1%	
		50%	\$33,930	\$34,974	\$1,044	3.1%	\$27,703	\$28,562	\$859	3.1%	
		40%	\$33,343	\$34,368	\$1,025	3.1%	\$27,507	\$28,360	\$853	3.1%	
	511,000	60%	\$40,788	\$42,043	\$1,255	3.1%	\$33,229	\$34,258	\$1,029	3.1%	
		50%	\$39,966	\$41,195	\$1,229	3.1%	\$32,955	\$33,975	\$1,020	3.1%	
		40%	\$39,144	\$40,346	\$1,203	3.1%	\$32,681	\$33,692	\$1,010	3.1%	
	657,000	60%	\$47,058	\$48,506	\$1,448	3.1%	\$38,560	\$39,752	\$1,193	3.1%	
		50%	\$46,001	\$47,415	\$1,414	3.1%	\$38,208	\$39,388	\$1,180	3.1%	
		40%	\$44,944	\$46,324	\$1,380	3.1%	\$37,856	\$39,024	\$1,168	3.1%	
	2,000	730,000	60%	\$68,919	\$71,043	\$2,124	3.1%	\$55,681	\$57,411	\$1,730	3.1%
			50%	\$67,744	\$69,831	\$2,087	3.1%	\$55,289	\$57,006	\$1,717	3.1%
			40%	\$66,570	\$68,619	\$2,049	3.1%	\$54,898	\$56,601	\$1,703	3.1%
1,022,000		60%	\$81,459	\$83,968	\$2,509	3.1%	\$66,342	\$68,399	\$2,057	3.1%	
		50%	\$79,815	\$82,271	\$2,456	3.1%	\$65,794	\$67,832	\$2,038	3.1%	
		40%	\$78,171	\$80,575	\$2,403	3.1%	\$65,247	\$67,265	\$2,019	3.1%	
1,314,000		60%	\$94,000	\$96,893	\$2,893	3.1%	\$77,003	\$79,387	\$2,383	3.1%	
		50%	\$91,886	\$94,712	\$2,825	3.1%	\$76,299	\$78,658	\$2,359	3.1%	
		40%	\$89,773	\$92,530	\$2,757	3.1%	\$75,595	\$77,930	\$2,334	3.1%	
4,000		1,460,000	60%	\$137,721	\$141,967	\$4,246	3.1%	\$111,245	\$114,703	\$3,458	3.1%
			50%	\$135,373	\$139,544	\$4,171	3.1%	\$110,463	\$113,894	\$3,431	3.1%
			40%	\$133,024	\$137,120	\$4,096	3.1%	\$109,680	\$113,084	\$3,404	3.1%
	2,044,000	60%	\$162,803	\$167,818	\$5,015	3.1%	\$132,568	\$136,679	\$4,111	3.1%	
		50%	\$159,515	\$164,424	\$4,910	3.1%	\$131,473	\$135,546	\$4,073	3.1%	
		40%	\$156,227	\$161,031	\$4,804	3.1%	\$130,377	\$134,413	\$4,035	3.1%	
	2,628,000	60%	\$187,884	\$193,668	\$5,784	3.1%	\$153,891	\$158,655	\$4,764	3.1%	
		50%	\$183,657	\$189,305	\$5,648	3.1%	\$152,483	\$157,198	\$4,716	3.1%	
		40%	\$179,430	\$184,942	\$5,513	3.1%	\$151,074	\$155,741	\$4,667	3.1%	
	6,000	2,190,000	60%	\$206,524	\$212,892	\$6,369	3.1%	\$166,810	\$171,996	\$5,187	3.1%
			50%	\$203,001	\$209,256	\$6,256	3.1%	\$165,636	\$170,782	\$5,146	3.1%
			40%	\$199,478	\$205,621	\$6,142	3.1%	\$164,463	\$169,568	\$5,105	3.1%
3,066,000		60%	\$244,146	\$251,668	\$7,522	3.1%	\$198,794	\$204,960	\$6,166	3.1%	
		50%	\$239,214	\$246,578	\$7,364	3.1%	\$197,151	\$203,260	\$6,109	3.1%	
		40%	\$234,282	\$241,488	\$7,205	3.1%	\$195,508	\$201,560	\$6,052	3.1%	
3,942,000		60%	\$281,768	\$290,443	\$8,675	3.1%	\$230,778	\$237,924	\$7,146	3.1%	
		50%	\$275,427	\$283,899	\$8,472	3.1%	\$228,666	\$235,738	\$7,072	3.1%	
		40%	\$269,086	\$277,355	\$8,268	3.1%	\$226,554	\$233,553	\$6,999	3.1%	
10,000		3,650,000	60%	\$344,129	\$354,742	\$10,613	3.1%	\$277,939	\$286,582	\$8,643	3.1%
			50%	\$338,257	\$348,682	\$10,425	3.1%	\$275,983	\$284,558	\$8,575	3.1%
			40%	\$332,386	\$342,622	\$10,236	3.1%	\$274,027	\$282,534	\$8,507	3.1%
	5,110,000	60%	\$406,832	\$419,367	\$12,535	3.1%	\$331,246	\$341,521	\$10,276	3.1%	
		50%	\$398,613	\$410,884	\$12,271	3.1%	\$328,508	\$338,688	\$10,180	3.1%	
		40%	\$390,393	\$402,401	\$12,007	3.1%	\$325,769	\$335,855	\$10,085	3.1%	
	6,570,000	60%	\$469,536	\$483,993	\$14,457	3.1%	\$384,553	\$396,461	\$11,908	3.1%	
		50%	\$458,968	\$473,086	\$14,118	3.1%	\$381,033	\$392,819	\$11,786	3.1%	
		40%	\$448,400	\$462,179	\$13,779	3.1%	\$377,512	\$389,176	\$11,664	3.1%	

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

<sup>2</sup> Assumes customer monthly peak occurs during On-Peak hours.

**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Monthly Billing Comparison**  
**Schedule 9 - State of Utah**  
**General Service - Transmission Voltage**  
**Step 2 - Effective 9/1/2013**

kW Load Size <sup>2</sup>	On-Peak kWh	On-Peak kWh %	Summer				Winter			
			Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
			Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
500	182,500	60%	\$15,023	\$15,484	\$461	3.1%	\$11,643	\$11,999	\$356	3.1%
		50%	\$14,719	\$15,170	\$452	3.1%	\$11,542	\$11,895	\$353	3.1%
		40%	\$14,415	\$14,857	\$442	3.1%	\$11,441	\$11,790	\$350	3.1%
	255,500	60%	\$17,805	\$18,352	\$547	3.1%	\$13,939	\$14,366	\$427	3.1%
		50%	\$17,379	\$17,913	\$534	3.1%	\$13,797	\$14,220	\$422	3.1%
		40%	\$16,954	\$17,474	\$521	3.1%	\$13,655	\$14,073	\$418	3.1%
	328,500	60%	\$20,587	\$21,220	\$633	3.1%	\$16,235	\$16,732	\$498	3.1%
		50%	\$20,040	\$20,656	\$616	3.1%	\$16,052	\$16,544	\$492	3.1%
		40%	\$19,492	\$20,092	\$599	3.1%	\$15,870	\$16,357	\$487	3.1%
1,000	365,000	60%	\$29,756	\$30,670	\$914	3.1%	\$22,997	\$23,701	\$704	3.1%
		50%	\$29,147	\$30,043	\$896	3.1%	\$22,794	\$23,492	\$698	3.1%
		40%	\$28,539	\$29,417	\$878	3.1%	\$22,591	\$23,284	\$692	3.1%
	511,000	60%	\$35,320	\$36,406	\$1,086	3.1%	\$27,588	\$28,434	\$846	3.1%
		50%	\$34,468	\$35,529	\$1,061	3.1%	\$27,304	\$28,142	\$838	3.1%
		40%	\$33,617	\$34,652	\$1,035	3.1%	\$27,020	\$27,850	\$830	3.1%
	657,000	60%	\$40,884	\$42,142	\$1,258	3.1%	\$32,179	\$33,168	\$988	3.1%
		50%	\$39,789	\$41,015	\$1,225	3.1%	\$31,814	\$32,792	\$977	3.1%
		40%	\$38,695	\$39,887	\$1,192	3.1%	\$31,450	\$32,416	\$967	3.1%
2,000	730,000	60%	\$59,221	\$61,043	\$1,822	3.1%	\$45,704	\$47,105	\$1,402	3.1%
		50%	\$58,005	\$59,790	\$1,785	3.1%	\$45,298	\$46,688	\$1,390	3.1%
		40%	\$56,789	\$58,537	\$1,748	3.1%	\$44,893	\$46,270	\$1,378	3.1%
	1,022,000	60%	\$70,350	\$72,515	\$2,166	3.1%	\$54,886	\$56,572	\$1,686	3.1%
		50%	\$68,647	\$70,761	\$2,114	3.1%	\$54,319	\$55,987	\$1,669	3.1%
		40%	\$66,944	\$69,007	\$2,062	3.1%	\$53,751	\$55,403	\$1,652	3.1%
	1,314,000	60%	\$81,478	\$83,988	\$2,510	3.1%	\$64,069	\$66,038	\$1,969	3.1%
		50%	\$79,289	\$81,732	\$2,443	3.1%	\$63,339	\$65,287	\$1,948	3.1%
		40%	\$77,100	\$79,476	\$2,377	3.1%	\$62,609	\$64,536	\$1,926	3.1%
4,000	1,460,000	60%	\$118,152	\$121,789	\$3,637	3.1%	\$91,117	\$93,913	\$2,796	3.1%
		50%	\$115,720	\$119,283	\$3,563	3.1%	\$90,306	\$93,079	\$2,772	3.1%
		40%	\$113,288	\$116,777	\$3,489	3.1%	\$89,495	\$92,244	\$2,748	3.1%
	2,044,000	60%	\$140,409	\$144,734	\$4,325	3.1%	\$109,482	\$112,846	\$3,364	3.1%
		50%	\$137,004	\$141,225	\$4,221	3.1%	\$108,347	\$111,678	\$3,331	3.1%
		40%	\$133,599	\$137,716	\$4,118	3.1%	\$107,212	\$110,509	\$3,297	3.1%
	2,628,000	60%	\$162,666	\$167,678	\$5,012	3.1%	\$127,847	\$131,779	\$3,932	3.1%
		50%	\$158,288	\$163,167	\$4,879	3.1%	\$126,388	\$130,277	\$3,889	3.1%
		40%	\$153,910	\$158,656	\$4,746	3.1%	\$124,928	\$128,774	\$3,846	3.1%
6,000	2,190,000	60%	\$177,084	\$182,535	\$5,452	3.1%	\$136,531	\$140,722	\$4,191	3.1%
		50%	\$173,435	\$178,776	\$5,341	3.1%	\$135,314	\$139,469	\$4,155	3.1%
		40%	\$169,786	\$175,016	\$5,230	3.1%	\$134,098	\$138,217	\$4,119	3.1%
	3,066,000	60%	\$210,469	\$216,952	\$6,483	3.1%	\$164,078	\$169,121	\$5,043	3.1%
		50%	\$205,361	\$211,689	\$6,328	3.1%	\$162,376	\$167,368	\$4,992	3.1%
		40%	\$200,253	\$206,426	\$6,173	3.1%	\$160,673	\$165,615	\$4,942	3.1%
	3,942,000	60%	\$243,854	\$251,369	\$7,515	3.1%	\$191,626	\$197,521	\$5,894	3.1%
		50%	\$237,287	\$244,602	\$7,315	3.1%	\$189,437	\$195,267	\$5,830	3.1%
		40%	\$230,719	\$237,835	\$7,116	3.1%	\$187,248	\$193,013	\$5,765	3.1%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment.

<sup>2</sup> Assumes customer monthly peak occurs during On-Peak hours.

**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Monthly Billing Comparison**  
**Schedule 10 - State of Utah**  
**Irrigation and Soil Drainage Pumping Power Service - Distribution Voltage**  
**Step 2 - Effective 9/1/2013**

kW Load Size	kW	kWh	Irrigation Season				Post-Irrigation Season			
			Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change		Monthly Billing <sup>1</sup>		Change	
			Present	Proposed	\$	%	Present	Proposed	\$	%
10	300	3,000	\$298	\$307	\$9	3.0%	\$160	\$165	\$5	2.8%
	500	5,000	\$439	\$453	\$13	3.0%	\$257	\$265	\$8	3.0%
	700	7,000	\$581	\$598	\$18	3.1%	\$354	\$365	\$11	3.0%
20	300	6,000	\$581	\$599	\$18	3.0%	\$306	\$315	\$9	3.0%
	500	10,000	\$863	\$890	\$27	3.1%	\$499	\$514	\$15	3.0%
	700	14,000	\$1,146	\$1,181	\$35	3.1%	\$693	\$714	\$21	3.1%
50	300	15,000	\$1,429	\$1,473	\$44	3.1%	\$741	\$764	\$23	3.1%
	500	25,000	\$2,136	\$2,202	\$66	3.1%	\$1,225	\$1,263	\$38	3.1%
	700	35,000	\$2,750	\$2,835	\$86	3.1%	\$1,708	\$1,762	\$53	3.1%
100	300	30,000	\$2,843	\$2,932	\$88	3.1%	\$1,467	\$1,512	\$46	3.1%
	500	50,000	\$3,887	\$4,009	\$121	3.1%	\$2,434	\$2,510	\$76	3.1%
	700	70,000	\$4,931	\$5,085	\$154	3.1%	\$3,402	\$3,508	\$107	3.1%
200	300	60,000	\$5,119	\$5,278	\$160	3.1%	\$2,918	\$3,009	\$91	3.1%
	500	100,000	\$7,207	\$7,432	\$225	3.1%	\$4,853	\$5,005	\$152	3.1%
	700	140,000	\$9,295	\$9,586	\$291	3.1%	\$6,788	\$7,001	\$213	3.1%
300	300	90,000	\$7,394	\$7,624	\$231	3.1%	\$4,369	\$4,506	\$137	3.1%
	500	150,000	\$10,526	\$10,855	\$329	3.1%	\$7,272	\$7,500	\$228	3.1%
	700	210,000	\$13,658	\$14,086	\$428	3.1%	\$10,174	\$10,494	\$320	3.1%

<sup>1</sup> Including HELP, DSM, EBA, REC and applicable adjustment. Not including annual customer service charge.