

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

In Reply Refer To:
Midcontinent Independent System
Operator, Inc.
Docket No. ER15-104-000

December 12, 2004

Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
P.O. Box 4202
Carmel, IN 46082-4202

Attention: Jacob T. Krouse
Attorney for Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.

Reference: Filing of Amended and Restated Generator Interconnection Agreement

Dear Mr. Krouse:

On October 15, 2014, Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) submitted an Amended and Restated Generator Interconnection Agreement (Interconnection Agreement) between Mankato Energy Center, LLC (Interconnection Customer), Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation (Transmission Owner), and MISO. MISO requests that the Commission accept the Interconnection Agreement for filing effective October 16, 2014.

Please be advised that your filing is deficient and that additional information is required by the Commission in order to process the filing. Please provide the information requested below:

On page 2 of its transmittal letter, MISO states that this filing amends the original interconnection agreement to reflect that the Interconnection Customer is completing its build out of the Large Generating Facility, which requires installation of Network Upgrades on the Transmission Owner's Transmission System, and that Phase 1 has been completed and updates have been reflected in the Interconnection Agreement. MISO specifically discusses amendments to section 5.9 and section 11.4.1 of the Interconnection Agreement. MISO does not, however, discuss the fact that various appendices to the

Interconnection Agreement have also been amended. Among other changes, the Commercial Operation Date for Phase 2 of the project has been amended from June 1, 2007 to June 1, 2018.

With respect to the proposed new Commercial Operation Date of Phase 2 of the project, please provide an explanation for why the proposed extension of 11 years (June 1, 2007 to June 1, 2018) is appropriate under MISO's Generation Interconnection Procedures and this agreement. In your response, please explain all factors that MISO took into consideration in reaching its conclusion that the proposed extension is appropriate.

This letter is issued pursuant 18 C.F.R. § 375.307 (a)(1)(v) and is interlocutory. This letter is not subject to rehearing pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713. MISO must respond to this letter within 30 days of the date of this letter by making an amendment filing in accordance with the Commission's electronic tariff requirements.¹

Please also email an additional electronic copy of the response to Mr. Nicholas Snyder at Nicholas.Snyder@ferc.gov.

The information requested in this letter will constitute an amendment to your filing, and a new filing date will be established, pursuant to *Duke Power Company*, 57 FERC ¶ 61,215 (1991), upon receipt of MISO's electronic tariff filing. A notice of amendment will be issued upon receipt of your response.

Failure to respond to this deficiency letter within the time period specified may result in an order rejecting your filing. Until receipt of the amendment filing, a filing date will not be assigned to your filing.

Sincerely,

Penny Murrell, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation – Central

¹ *Electronic Tariff Filings*, 130 FERC ¶ 61,047, at PP 3-8 (2010) (an amendment filing must include at least one tariff record even though a tariff revision might not otherwise be needed).

Document Content(s)

ER15-104-000 deficiency letter.DOC.....1-2