

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of	:	Case No. 16-0395-EL-SSO
The Dayton Power and Light Company for	:	
Approval of Its Electric Security Plan	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of	:	Case No. 16-0396-EL-ATA
The Dayton Power and Light Company for	:	
Approval of Revised Tariffs	:	
	:	
In the Matter of the Application of	:	Case No. 16-0397-EL-AAM
The Dayton Power and Light Company for	:	
Approval of Certain Accounting Authority	:	
Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4905.13	:	

**AMENDED APPLICATION OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
FOR APPROVAL OF ITS ELECTRIC SECURITY PLAN**

Charles J. Faruki (0010417)
(Counsel of Record)
D. Jeffrey Ireland (0010443)
Jeffrey S. Sharkey (0067892)
FARUKI IRELAND & COX P.L.L.
110 North Main Street, Suite 1600
Dayton, OH 45402
Telephone: (937) 227-3705
Telecopier: (937) 227-3717
Email: cfaruki@ficlaw.com

Attorneys for The Dayton Power and
Light Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER.....	1
II. COMPETITIVE BIDDING.....	4
III. DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER.....	4
IV. RECONCILIATION RIDER	5
V. DISTRIBUTION DECOUPLING RIDER.....	5
VI. CLEAN ENERGY RIDER.....	6
VII. STANDARD OFFER RATE.....	6
VIII. CHANGES TO TARIFFS	6
IX. OTHER RIDERS.....	7
X. DP&L'S WITNESSES.....	7
XI. THE COMMISSION'S RULES	8
XII. TCRR-N WAIVER.....	14

1. Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.141 and § 4928.143, The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L") seeks Commission approval of an Electric Security Plan ("ESP") with a term of 2017 through 2023. DP&L files this application solely to carry out the withdraw of its Reliable Electricity Rider ("RER") and replace it with the Distribution Modernization Ride ("DMR"). This Application is designed to promote long-term customer benefits by:

- a. Retaining customers' option to shop for a competitive retail electric supplier
- b. Maintaining the 100% competitive bidding structure for non-shopping customers
- c. Introducing a distribution investment rider to support continued investment in distribution system reliability
- d. Introducing a clean energy rider that will facilitate future investment in renewable and advanced technologies
- e. Maintaining DP&L's financial integrity, its ability to finance transmission and distribution infrastructure modernization investments, and ultimately its ability to provide reliable, safe and stable customer service.

I. DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER

2. Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(h), § 4928.143(B)(2)(i) and § 4928.143(B)(2)(d), DP&L seeks approval of a Distribution Modernization Rider ("DMR"), during the ESP term in the amount of \$145 million per year. The DMR is necessary to allow DP&L to maintain its financial integrity and to allow DP&L to access equity and debt

capital in order to finance transmission and distribution infrastructure modernization investments.

3. Specifically, DP&L currently faces significant threats to its financial integrity, which threats include, but are not limited to:

- a. Its credit ratings have fallen or are on negative watch;
- b. Anemic load growth; and
- c. Historically low market prices.

4. Recent actions by credit rating agencies demonstrate DP&L's financial need. On June 27, 2016, S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") stated that the Supreme Court's decision reversing the Commission's decision in Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO "increases the likelihood of a weaker financial risk profile, reflecting weaker financial measures for DPL and DP&L that could result in a near term ratings downgrade." On August 30, 2016, S&P issued a Research Update that stated that "the rating outlook is negative." In that same Research Update, S&P further stated that "We could lower the ratings on DPL and DP&L over the next nine months if the company experiences adverse regulatory outcomes that weakened its financial ratios, including FFO to debt that is consistently at or below 9%." Similarly, on July 12, 2016, FitchRatings ("Fitch") revised the rating outlook for DP&L from stable to negative. Fitch explained that "[t]he resolution of the Negative Outlook will depend upon the amount, sustainability and timeliness of alternative regulatory relief from PUCO, as well as the companies' ability to refinance or repay the 2016 maturities in a timely manner with reasonable terms." The agency "continues to believe that the PUCO will ultimately authorize an alternative rider for DP&L to mitigate the Ohio Supreme Court ruling. However, the path and timing to that end are primary credit concerns." On August 11, 2016, Moody's Investor

Services issued a credit opinion that stated that "We believe that outcome of these different regulatory proceedings will be credit supportive for DP&L and DPL. This view considers PUCO's track record of balanced decisions between the end-users' interests and the utilities group's financial viability. However, the negative outlook captures the uncertainty as to the timing of these decisions and whether the terms of the ESP-III will be also subject to future disputes or judicial challenges."

5. Without approval of the Company's proposed DMR, both DP&L and its parent DPL Inc. would be unable to maintain their financial integrity. Specifically, both DPL Inc. and DP&L would:

- a. have insufficient cash flows to pay all normal course obligations including, but not limited to operating expenses, principle and interest, pension contributions, tax payments, and planned transmission and distribution capital expenditures;
- b. face an immediate downgrade of their current credit ratings to below investment grade level;
- c. be unable to pay down debt to appropriately capitalize the business; and
- d. be unable to provide a reasonable return to equity holders.

6. The cash flow from the DMR will be used to (a) pay interest obligations on existing debt at DPL and DP&L (b) make discretionary debt prepayments at DPL and DP&L (c) allow DP&L to make capital expenditures to modernize and/or maintain the Company's transmission and distribution infrastructure.

7. The Commission should approve DP&L's request for a DMR so that it can maintain its financial integrity and continue to provide safe and stable service to customers.

II. COMPETITIVE BIDDING

8. As part of its ESP plan, DP&L proposes to maintain 100% competitive bidding for its standard service offer load, in essentially the same process that exists currently. Two auctions will be conducted for procurement in the first period, June 1, 2017 to May 31, 2018. One auction will be held for subsequent 12 month periods with varying product lengths of 7, 12, 19, 24, 31, 36, and 43 months, for a total of eight auctions in the ESP. The 12-month delivery periods will align with the PJM calendar, with delivery period beginning on June 1st of each year and ending on May 31st of the following year, with an exception in the final period which will be June 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023.

9. DP&L plans for winning bidders of its auctions to supply Renewable Energy Credits sufficient to cover their obligation relating to the amount of SSO load that winning bidders are obligated to supply. The Commission should approve DP&L's plan because it is reasonable for the entity supplying the generation to supply the associated Renewable Energy Credits, given that DP&L is no longer supplying generation for SSO load.

III. DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENT RIDER

10. Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(h), DP&L seeks approval of a Distribution Investment Rider ("DIR").

11. The goal of the DIR is to allow DP&L to recover costs of addressing specific infrastructure needs, while allowing DP&L to continue providing safe and affordable delivery service.

12. The DIR is intended to address the following three areas:

- a. Equipment or conditions with potential failure risks;
- b. Technology migration; and
- c. Workforce adaptation.

13. The Distribution Investment Rider will initially be set at zero, and the Company will file a separate proceeding to recover those costs.

IV. RECONCILIATION RIDER

14. DP&L seeks Commission authorization to recover deferred Ohio Valley Electric Corporation ("OVEC") costs through the Reconciliation Rider.

15. The costs that DP&L seeks to recover are the difference between its OVEC expenses and the amounts that DP&L received from selling that generation into PJM's day-ahead markets, to the extent that those costs are not recovered through DP&L's Fuel Rider. The amount of \$10.5 million through December 31, 2015 is requested to set the initial rate for the Reconciliation Rider. The rider will have an annual true-up filing.

V. DISTRIBUTION DECOUPLING RIDER

16. DP&L seeks approval of a Distribution Decoupling Rider to account for the decoupling associated with energy efficiency requirements. This rider is proposed to be in place upon approval and after the distribution rate case is implemented. The purpose of this rider is to recover base distribution dollars that were not collected because of energy reductions from DP&L's energy efficiency programs. DP&L proposes that the Rider be set at zero initially, and that the details of the Rider be established in DP&L's Energy Efficiency Portfolio case.

VI. CLEAN ENERGY RIDER

17. DP&L seeks approval of a Clean Energy Rider that will facilitate future investment in renewable and advanced technologies consistent with state and federal policies. The Clean Energy Rider will recover currently unknown environmental compliance costs, including but not limited to green energy initiatives, environmental expenses, and decommissioning costs.

18. The Clean Energy Rider will initially be set at zero, and the Company will file a separate proceeding to recover those costs.

VII. STANDARD OFFER RATE

19. DP&L is proposing to combine the functions of its current CB Rate and CBT Rider into one tariff, the Standard Offer Rate. The Standard Offer Rate will be the tariff representing DP&L's retail generation charge as a result of its CBP auctions. The Standard Offer Rate will include any over- or under-recovery of supply costs associated with the CBP and recovery of costs to administer and implement the auction that were previously recovered through the CBT Rider. The rate will be an all-energy rate design, and will include a cash working capital component to compensate the Company for the revenue lag and expense leads associated with providing SSO Service. DP&L will continue to make a heating discount available during winter months for residential heating customers.

VIII. CHANGES TO TARIFFS

20. DP&L proposes a number of changes to its Tariffs, including updating the current PUCO No. 17 Generation Tariffs to a new PUCO No. 18 to bring the Generation Tariffs in line with the current needs since the generation rates have been blended with the

Competitive Bid Process. Tariffs that no longer apply are proposed to be eliminated and new tariffs are being renumbered to better organize the overall Tariff Sheets. DP&L proposes to update its Alternate Generation Supplier Coordination Tariff, Competitive Retail Generation Service Tariff, and maximum charge provisions of its Secondary and Primary tariffs.

IX. OTHER RIDERS

21. The riders that DP&L proposes in this case are structured assuming that the riders that DP&L proposed in Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR case are approved. Modifications to the riders in this case will be necessary for uncollectible dollars (bad debt) if the Uncollectible Rider is not approved in that case. Additionally, if the Commission concludes that the riders proposed in Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR case should be included in this case, then DP&L requests approval of the Regulatory Compliance Rider, Uncollectible Rider, and Storm Cost Recovery Rider that were proposed and fully supported in Case No. 15-1830-EL-AIR.

22. Additionally, to the extent there are changes in law, rule, or regulatory ruling, DP&L reserves the right to propose a new rider during the ESP term to address such issue. A potential future filing will not constitute a change to the terms of the ESP, but simply clarifies that unknown changes in the future may require recovery riders that cannot be predicted at this time.

X. DP&L'S WITNESSES

23. DP&L's witnesses supporting this Application are:

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Topic</u>
Robert J. Adams	Distribution Investment Rider rate design; typical bill impacts
Eric R. Brown	Competitive bidding process; renewable energy in competitive

<u>Witness</u>	<u>Topic</u>
	bidding process; competitive bidding prices; Standard Offer Rate design
David J. Crusey	Projected market prices
Claire E. Hale	DMR rate design; Clean Energy Rider
Kevin L. Hall	Distribution Investment Rider
Craig L. Jackson	DP&L's financial statements; DP&L's request for a DMR; cost of long-term debt; significantly excessive earnings test
Robert J. Lee	Competitive Bidding Plan
R. Jeffrey Malinak	Financial need for the DMR; ESP v. MRO test
Nathan C. Parke	Overall rate plan; tariff changes; Reconciliation Rider; Distribution Decoupling Rider
Thomas A. Raga	Overview of case filing

XI. THE COMMISSION'S RULES

24. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(1)(a)-(c), DP&L states that it is a member of the PJM RTO, that PJM has an independent market monitor function, and that a reliable source of electricity pricing information is provided by PJM.

25. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(a), a complete description of DP&L's Competitive Bidding Plan is contained in the testimony of Company Witnesses Brown and Lee.

26. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(b), projected generation, transmission, and distribution rate impacts by customer class are supported by the testimony of Company Witnesses Brown and Adams.

27. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(c), a detailed description of how DP&L's Competitive Bidding Plan ensures open, fair and transparent competitive solicitation is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

28. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(d), a detailed description of the customers' loads to be served by the winning bidders, and any known factors that may affect such customer loads is supported by the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

29. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(e), detailed descriptions of the generation-related services that are to be provided by the winning bidder are supported by the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

30. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(f), draft copies of all forms, contracts or agreements that must be executed during or upon the completion of the Competitive Bidding Plan are supported by the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

31. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(g), a description of the proposed methodology by which all bids will be evaluated is supported by the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

32. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(h), a discussion of alternative retail options that were considered, a description of the rate structure ultimately chosen, the rationale for selection of that rate structure, and the methodology by which DP&L

proposed to convert the winning bid(s) to retail rates is contained in Exhibit ERB-2, which is supported by the testimony of Company Witness Brown.

33. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(i), DP&L's plan to fund a consultant to operate the competitive bidding process is supported by the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

34. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(j), a discussion of generation service procurement options that were considered in development of the Competitive Bidding Plan are supported by the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

35. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(k), a description of DP&L's plan for the Competitive Bidding Plan to comply with the Alternative Energy Portfolio requirements of Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.64 is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Brown. DP&L's plans relating to the energy efficiency requirements and peak demand reduction requirements of Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.66 are contained in the testimony of Company Witness Parke.

36. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(2)(l), DP&L states that a discussion of known or anticipated obstacles that may create difficulties or barriers for the adoption of its proposed bidding process is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Lee.

37. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(3), DP&L states that its Corporate Separation Plan was approved in Case No. 13-2442-EL-UNC. DP&L anticipates

revisions to the plan when it separates its generation assets. DP&L is not operating under any waivers of Commission rules.

38. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(B)(4), DP&L states that its ESP will not affect governmental aggregation programs or implementation of Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.20(I), (J) & (K).

39. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(1), a complete description of DP&L's ESP is contained in the testimony of Company Witnesses Raga and Jackson.

40. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(2), pro forma financial projections of the effect of DP&L's ESP are supported by the testimony of Company Witness Jackson.

41. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(3), projected rate impacts by customer class/rate schedules are supported by the testimony of Company Witness Adams.

42. Paragraph 36 and the materials that it references satisfy Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(4).

43. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(5), DP&L states that its Operational Support Plan has been implemented and the Company is not aware of any outstanding problems with the implementation.

44. Paragraph 37 and the materials that it references satisfy Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(6).

45. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(7), DP&L states that it does not expect any nonbypassable charge that it proposes to have an effect on large-scale governmental aggregation.

46. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(8), a description of how DP&L's ESP is consistent with and advances the policies of this state identified in Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.02(A) to (N) is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Raga.

47. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(a), DP&L states that it is not seeking the automatic recovery of purchased power costs as permitted by Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(a) in this Application.

48. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(b), DP&L states that it is not seeking an unavoidable surcharge for construction, generation, or environmental expenditures for electric generation facilities pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(b) or (c).

49. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(c), DP&L seeks approval of a Distribution Modernization Rider, pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(d). A description of DP&L's DMR is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Jackson. A description and quantification of the DMR charges is contained in the testimony of Company Witnesses Jackson and Malinak. Support for other nonbypassable charges is contained in the testimony of Company Witnesses Parke and Hale.

50. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(d), DP&L states that it is not proposing any automatic increases or decreases to any component of its SSO price pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(e).

51. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(e), DP&L states that it is not proposing any provisions for the securitization of a phase-in recovery of the standard service offer price pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(f).

52. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(f), DP&L states that a description of provisions relating to transmission, ancillary, congestion or any related service pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(g) is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Parke.

53. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(g), DP&L states that a description of provisions regarding single issue ratemaking and provisions regarding distribution infrastructure and modernization incentives pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(B)(2)(h) is contained in the testimony of Company Witnesses Jackson, Malinak and Hall.

54. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(9)(h), DP&L states that a continuation of DP&L's existing Economic Development Rider ("EDR") is supported by the testimony of Company Witness Parke. DP&L's plan to continue its energy efficiency programs and the associated Energy Efficiency Rider are contained in the testimony of Company Witness Parke. A quantification of the projected effects on rates is contained in the testimony and exhibits of Company Witness Adams.

55. Pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-35-03(C)(10), a description of DP&L's plans related to the significantly excessive earnings tests in Ohio Rev. Code § 4928.143(E) and (F) is contained in the testimony of Company Witness Jackson.

XII. TCRR-N WAIVER

56. The Commission previously authorized DP&L to implement its TCRR-N. Sept. 4, 2013 Opinion and Order, p. 36 (Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO). DP&L intends to continue the TCRR-N during its ESP, and thus seeks a waiver of the requirement in Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-36-04(B) that transmission costs be recovered through a bypassable rider. DP&L also intends to continue the adjusted TCRR-N filing schedule authorized by the Commission. Thus DP&L seeks a waiver of certain sections of the Commission's April 15, 2009 Order in Case No. 08-777-EL-ORD, which was entered pursuant to Ohio Admin. Code § 4901:1-36-03(B), and which provides: "Each electric utility with an approved transmission cost recovery rider shall update the rider on an annual basis pursuant to a schedule set forth by commission order." The Commission April 15, 2009 Order directs that DP&L file its annual TCRR true-up application no later than February 15 of each year, for rates to become effective on May 1. DP&L requests that the Commission allow DP&L to file its annual application by March 15 of each year, for rates to be effective June 1.

WHEREFORE, DP&L requests that this Commission:

1. Approve the Distribution Modernization Rider;
2. Approve DP&L's competitive bidding plans;
3. Approve DP&L's Distribution Investment Rider;
4. Approve DP&L's Reconciliation Rider;

5. Approve DP&L's Distribution Decoupling Rider;
6. Approve DP&L's Clean Energy Rider;
7. Approve DP&L's Standard Offer Rates;
8. Approve DP&L's proposed Tariff changes;
9. Approve the other riders listed in Section IX;
10. Approve DP&L's request for a waiver;
11. That DP&L be granted accounting authority to defer for future recovery the over/under collection and the initial costs for the proposed true-up riders Standard Offer Rate, Reconciliation Rider, Distribution Investment Rider, Distribution Decoupling Rider, and Clean Energy Rider;
12. That the Commission issue such other orders as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles J. Faruki

Charles J. Faruki (0010417)

(Counsel of Record)

D. Jeffrey Ireland (0010443)

Jeffrey S. Sharkey (0067892)

FARUKI IRELAND & COX P.L.L.

110 North Main Street, Suite 1600

Dayton, OH 45402

Telephone: (937) 227-3705

Telecopier: (937) 227-3717

Email: cfaruki@ficlaw.com

Attorneys for The Dayton Power and
Light Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Amended Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan has been served via electronic mail upon the following counsel of record, this 11th day of October, 2016:

Thomas McNamee
Natalia Messenger
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Thomas.McNamee@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
Natalia.Messenger@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Attorneys for PUCO Staff

Kimberly W. Bojko
Danielle M. Ghiloni
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 North High Street, Suite 1300
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Bojko@carpenterlipps.com
Ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Manufacturers' Association
Energy Group

Kevin R. Schmidt
88 East Broad Street, Suite 1770
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Schmidt@sppgrp.com

Attorney for The Energy Professionals of Ohio

Jeffrey W. Mayes
Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160
Valley Forge Corporate Center
Eagleview, PA 19403
Email: Jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com

Frank P. Darr (Counsel of Record)
Matthew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users –
Ohio

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Kurt J. Boehm
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Email: dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLawfirm.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Energy Group

Joseph Olikier
IGS Energy
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, OH 43016
Email: joliker@igsenergy.com

Attorney for IGS Energy

Joel E. Sechler
Carpenter Lipps & Leland
280 N. High St., Suite 1300
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: Sechler@carpenterlipps.com

Evelyn R. Robinson
PJM Interconnection, LLC
2750 Monroe Blvd
Audubon, PA 19403
Email: evelyn.robinson@pjm.com

Attorney for Monitoring Analytics, LLC as
The Independent Market Monitor for PJM

Trent Dougherty
Ohio Environmental Council
1145 Chesapeake Ave., Suite 1
Columbus, OH 43212-3449
Email: tdougherty@the OEC.org

Attorney for the Ohio Environmental
Council and Environmental Defense Fund

William J. Michael
Kevin F. Moore
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
Email: William.Michael@occ.ohio.gov
Kevin.Moore@occ.ohio.gov

Attorneys for Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Michael D. Dortch
Richard R. Parsons
Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC
65 East State Street, Suite 200
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: mdortch@kravitzllc.com
rparsons@kravitzllc.com

Attorneys for Noble Americas
Energy Solutions LLC

Richard C. Sahli
Richard C. Sahli Law Office, LLC
981 Pinewood Lane
Columbus, OH 43230-3662
Email: rsahli@columbus.rr.com

Christopher M. Bzdok (pro hac vice)
Olson Bzdok & Howard, P.C.
420 East Front Street
Traverse City, MI 49686
chris@envlaw.com

Gregory J. Poulos
EnerNOC, Inc.
P.O. Box 29492
Columbus, OH 43229
Email: gpoulos@enernoc.com

Attorneys for EnerNOC, Inc.

Angela Paul Whitfield
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 Plaza, Suite 1300
280 North High Street
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: paul@carpenterlipps.com

Attorney for The Kroger Company

Colleen Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
P.O. Box 1793
Findlay, OH 45839-1793
Email: cmooney@ohiopartners.org

Attorney for Ohio Partners for Affordable
Energy

Madeline Fleisher
Environmental Law & Policy Center
21 West Broad Street, Suite 500
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: mfleisher@elpc.org

Attorneys for The Environmental Law &
Policy Center

Steven D. Lesser
James F. Lang
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
41 South High Street
1200 Huntington Center
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: slessor@calfee.com
jlang@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

Attorneys for The City of Dayton and
Honda of America Mfg., Inc.

Tony G. Mendoza, Staff Attorney (pro hac vice)
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
2101 Webster Street, 13th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
Email: tony.mendoza@sierraclub.org

Attorneys for Sierra Club

Michael J. Settineri
Stephen M. Howard
Gretchen L. Petrucci
Ilya Batikov
William A. Sieck
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: mjsettineri@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com
glpetrucci@vorys.com
ibatikov@vorys.com
wasieck@vorys.com

Attorneys for Dynegy Inc.,
PJM Power Providers Group, and
Retail Energy Supply Association

Michelle Grant
Dynegy Inc.
601 Travis Street, Suite 1400
Houston, TX 77002
Email: michelle.d.grant@dynegy.com

Attorneys for Dynegy Inc.

Glen Thomas
1060 First Avenue, Suite 400
King of Prussia, PA 19406
Email: gthomas@gtpowergroup.com

Sharon Theodore
Electric Power Supply Association
1401 New York Ave. NW 11th Floor
Washington, DC
Email: stheodore@epsa.org

Lisa M. Hawrot
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
Century Centre Building
1233 Main Street, Suite 4000
Wheeling, WV 26003
Email: lhawrot@spilmanlaw.com

Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
Email: dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Carrie M. Harris
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
310 First Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 90
Roanoke, VA 24002-0090
Email: charris@spilmanlaw.com

Steve W. Chriss
Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory
Analysis
Greg Tillman
Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory
Analysis
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
2001 SE 10th Street
Bentonville, AR 72716-0550
Email: Stephen.Chriss@walmart.com
Greg.Tillman@walmart.com

Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP
and Sam's East, Inc.

Evelyn R. Robinson
2750 Monroe Boulevard
Audubon, PA 19403
Email: evelyn.robinson@pjm.com

Attorney for PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Richard L. Sites
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 3rd Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620
Email: rick.sites@ohiohospitals.org

Laura Chappelle
201 North Washington Square, Suite 910
Lansing, MI 48933
Email: laurac@chappelleconsulting.net

Attorneys for PJM Power Providers Group

Ellis Jacobs
Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc.
130 West Second Street, Suite 700 East
Dayton, OH 45402
Email: ejacobs@ablelaw.org

Attorney for Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition

Amy B. Spiller
Jeanne W. Kingery
Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke-Energy Ohio, Inc.
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Email: amy.spiller@duke-energy.com
jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com
elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke-Energy Ohio, Inc.

Devin D. Parram
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: dparram@taftlaw.com

Attorney for People Working
Cooperatively, Inc.

Matthew W. Warnock
Dylan F. Borchers
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
Email: mwarnock@bricker.com
dborchers@bricker.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Hospital Association

Terrence N. O'Donnell
Raymond D. Seiler
Dickinson Wright PLLC
150 East Gay Street, Suite 2400
Columbus, OH 43215
Email: todollell@dickinsonwright.com
rseiler@dickinsonwright.com

Attorneys for Mid-Atlantic Renewable
Energy Coalition

John R. Doll
Matthew T. Crawford
Doll, Jansen & Ford
111 West First Street, Suite 1100
Dayton, OH 45402-1156
Email: jdoll@djflawfirm.com
mcrawford@djflawfirm.com

Attorneys for Utility Workers of
America Local 175

/s/ Jeffrey S. Sharkey
Jeffrey S. Sharkey

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/11/2016 3:17:36 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0395-EL-SSO, 16-0396-EL-ATA, 16-0397-EL-AAM

Summary: Amended Application Amended Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan electronically filed by Mr. Charles J. Faruki on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company